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#### Abstract

Q203 (telacebec) is an imidazopyridine amide (IPA) targeting the respiratory $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ supercomplex of the mycobacterial electron transport chain (ETC). Aiming for a better understanding of the molecular mechanism of action of IPA, 27 analogues were prepared through a seven-step synthetic scheme. Oxygen consumption assay was designed to test the inhibition of purified Mycobacterium smegmatis $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ by these compounds. The assay results generally supported structure-activity relationship information obtained from the structure of $M$. smegmatis $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ bound to $\mathrm{Q}^{203}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of Q203 and compound 27 was $99 \pm 32$ and $441 \pm 138 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively. All IPAs including Q203 showed no inhibition of mitochondrial ETC, proving their selectivity against mycobacteria. In vitro Mycobacterium tuberculosis growth inhibition and $M$. smegmatis $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ binding did not correlate perfectly. These observations  suggest that further investigation into the mechanisms of resistance in different mycobacterial species is needed to understand the lack of the correlation pattern between $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ inhibition and cellular activity.


## - INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the oldest and most pervasive respiratory diseases and remains one of the leading causes of death worldwide. ${ }^{1-4}$ In 2021, 10.6 million new TB infections and 1.6 million deaths were reported. ${ }^{1}$ The disease is caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis ( $M t b$ ), a slow-growing acid-fast bacterium that can be transmitted by air droplets. TB bacilli can adapt to the high oxidative stress levels in human macrophages and survive for years by downregulating their metabolism and entering a state of dormancy until the host's immune status weakens, and they become active. ${ }^{5}$ Long-term combination therapy, most commonly a combination of isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol, and problems with patient compliance with the prescribed regimen, lead to the continuous emergence of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant $M t b$. The emergence of drug resistance emphasizes the need to better understand the mechanism of action of existing anti-TB drugs to optimize their activity, as well as discover new and better TB targets. ${ }^{6}$ Among the challenges that face TB drug development are the slow growth and pathogenicity of $M t b$, the latter requiring handling of the pathogen in BSL-3 facilities. To overcome these challenges, the fast-growing non-pathogenic Mycobacterium smegmatis (Msmeg) is often used as a model due to structural similarities with $M t b$ for known and putative drug targets. ${ }^{7}$ Handling of Msmeg requires lower biosafety laboratories (BSL$2)$, easing assay conduction. ${ }^{8,9}$

Mycobacterial cellular respiration is a promising target for TB treatment. ${ }^{6,10}$ Cellular respiration results in the production of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the chemical energy currency in cells, using energy from the oxidation of nutrients. Cellular respiration needs the combined activities of the electron transport chain (ETC) and ATP synthase. The ETC is a series of membrane-embedded protein complexes that establish a transmembrane proton motive force, using energy from a series of redox reactions. The proton motive force drives ATP synthesis by the ATP synthase. Structural differences between mycobacterial ETC complexes compared to ETC complexes from eukaryotic mitochondria and other bacteria allow selective inhibition of mycobacterial respiration.

Electron Transport Chain (ETC). The transfer of electrons from complex III to IV is different in mycobacteria than that in mitochondria. Complexes III and IV in mycobacteria form an obligatory supercomplex (CIII2CIV2), rather than existing as two separate entities (Figure 1). ${ }^{11,12}$ Instead of ubiquinone, mycobacteria use menaquinone (MK) as a membrane-
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Figure 1. Mycobacterial ETC. Mycobacteria have two types of NADH dehydrogenases, NDH-1 (complex I) and NDH-2. Electrons from redox reactions in NDH-1, NDH-2, and complex II (succinate dehydrogenase, SDH ) are transported via menaquinone (MK, electron carrier) to the mycobacterial respiratory supercomplex $\left(\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}\right)$. Menaquinol $\left(\mathrm{MKH}_{2}\right)$ is oxidized in the QcrB subunit of complex III, and the resulting electrons are transported via an anchored domain ( QcrC ) to complex IV where oxygen is reduced to water. The $\mathrm{QcrB}^{\text {cre }}$ subunit has two MK-binding sites: $Q_{0}$, where $\mathrm{MKH}_{2}$ is oxidized to MK and $Q_{i}$ where MK is reduced to $\mathrm{MKH}_{2}$ in a process known as the $Q$ cycle. Q203 binds at the $Q_{0}$ site, preventing the oxidation of $\mathrm{MKH}_{2}$ to MK . The cytochrome $b d$ complex ${ }^{16}$ can also oxidize $\mathrm{MKH}_{2}$ to MK and reduce $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, functioning as an alternative pathway when $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ is inhibited.
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Figure 2. Examples of imidazopyridine amide analogues. A, B, and C from. ${ }^{18,25,26}$ D has approximately the same MIC as Q203; an analogue to compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ later in this study. ${ }^{22}$
embedded electron carrier. Complex III has three subunits: QcrA, QcrB, and an anchored QcrC domain with two c-type hemes that transfer electrons to complex IV. There are two MKbinding sites in the QcrB subunit of complex III, one where $\mathrm{MKH}_{2}$ is oxidized and the other where MK is reduced in a process known as the Q cycle. The oxidation site for $\mathrm{MKH}_{2}$ (Qo) is the target of Q203 (telacebec). Telacebec was involved and is present in clinical studies: a first-in-human trial (clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT02530710); a phase 1 ascending multiple-dose study (NCT02858973); and a phase 2a multiple-dose trial for the evaluation of early bactericidal activity (NCT03563599) where it showed a dose-dependent reduction
in the load of viable mycobacteria in the sputum. It was also tested for its effect on an inflammation biomarker in coronavirus patients (NCT04847583). ${ }^{11,13-15}$

Imidazopyridine Amides (IPA). Q203 belongs to the chemical class of imidazopyridine amides (IPAs). The imidazopyridine system is synthetically relatively easy to construct and modify. IPA analogues have been designed by many research groups. For example, Marvin Miller's group reported several IPA analogues (Figure 2A-C) with good activity (micromolar to nanomolar) and selectivity against mycobacteria as well as good PK parameters. ${ }^{17-19}$ Q203 resulted from the modifications of an IPA hit compound


Figure 3. Q203 is shown on the left. The tail was replaced with a benzoxazole that is less lipophilic compared to the tail of Q203.
Scheme 1. General Synthetic Scheme, (A) Synthesis of imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acids, (B) Synthesis of 2-substituted benzo[d] oxazole-5-methylamine, and (C) Synthesis of 1-24 and 26 ${ }^{a}$

A


C

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: (A) (i) 2-aminopyridine, EtOH , reflux overnight; overnight. (ii) $N$-Bromosuccinimide (NBS), $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 2 aminopyridine, $30 \mathrm{~min}-1 \mathrm{~h}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (iii) Ester hydrolysis: $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ (3:1, v/v), reflux overnight. (B) (iv) thionylchloride, DCM/DMF, room temperature, 1 h ( v ) 3-amino-4 hydroxybenzonitrile, methanesulfonic acid, dioxane, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (vi) di-tert-butyl-dicarbonate, NiCl 2.6 H 2 O , $\mathrm{NaBH} 4, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, overnight; (vii) 4 M HCl in dioxane, $2 \mathrm{~h} . n=1$ or 3 . (C) (viii) Amide coupling: HATU, DMF, DIPEA, room temperature, 1 h .
identified from screening a library of $\sim 120,000$ compounds. ${ }^{11,20}$ It targets the mycobacterial respiratory $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$, also known as cytochrome $b c c-a a_{3}$. It was reported that a long hydrophobic tail increases the potency of IPAs, suggesting that it helps in
penetrating the cell membrane. ${ }^{21}$ In vitro potency is high indeed, for example, Q203 has an $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ of 2.7 nM . However, its high lipophilicity and poor solubility, ${ }^{22}$ leading to an extended halflife of $321.12 \pm 227.29 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{23}$ necessitate searching for IPAs with

Scheme 2. Synthesis of $\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: (i) HATU, DIPEA, DMF, room temperature, 1 h . This compound was previously described in the literature. ${ }^{18}$
Scheme 3. Synthesis of $27^{a}$



1 g
27
${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: (i) phthalimide, DIAD, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in dry THF, room temperature, 4 h . (ii) Hydrazine $\left(50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \mathrm{MeOH}$, overnight,
room temperature. (iii) (1) 7 c , DIPEA, dry DMF, 10 min ; (2) HATU, stir 20 min ; and (3) 1g, room temperature, 1 h .
good potency and better pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters. Despite its low minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and extended half-life, Q203 is given in doses up to $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ in clinical trials (NCT03563599).
To solve the high lipophilicity problem of Q203, a series of analogues with fused ring systems in the tail part were synthesized by different research groups. ${ }^{22,24}$ Fused ring systems helped greatly reduce the $\log P$ value and thus the overall lipophilicity of Q203.
Kang et al. 2017 synthesized a series of analogues with different heterocycles and compared them to Q203 in terms of their metabolic stability and both extracellular and intracellular antimycobacterial activity against $M t b$. An IPA analogue with a benzoxazole ring in the tail (Figure 2D) showed comparable activity and PK parameters to Q203 but a much lower $\log P$ value.

Design Based on the Target-Ligand Structure. The recently published model of Q203 bound to the Msmeg respiratory $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ gave insights into the drug-target interactions. ${ }^{15}$ It showed three main interactions in the head region (IP) of Q203, namely, a halogen bond between the chlorine at C-6 and the carbonyl of Leu 166, a hydrogen bond between the N of the IPA and His 368, and van der Waals interactions between the ethyl group and Ile 178. Although not detected, a hydrogen bond between Asp 309 in Msmeg and N1 of IPA is also plausible due to their distance of $\sim 3.5 \AA$. In the neck region of Q203, a hydrogen bond between the carbonyl oxygen and Thr 308 was found. Finally, a $\pi-\pi$ interaction was observed between Phe 156 and the benzyl group in the tail. ${ }^{15}$

The IPAs reported here were devised for an improved understanding of the molecular mechanism of action, making use of the molecular assay facilities in our laboratories and in particular trying to approach the long-term goal of targeting problematic mycobacteria that are not sensitive to Q203. These mycobacteria include $M$. abscessus and the $M$. avium complex, non-tuberculous mycobacteria of emerging importance. ${ }^{20}$ The
insensitivity may be due to PK differences IPAs display in different mycobacteria or differences in target binding and inhibition. We decided to synthesize IPA analogues with a shorter tail than Q203 and variations of substituents in the head to explore the details of binding to $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$. Compound D (Figure 2) was chosen as the lead compound. The benzoxazole ring system has the advantage of decreasing the high lipophilicity of Q203 and being hydrophobic enough to allow the membrane penetration. ${ }^{22}$ We designed and synthesized a set of analogues with changes in the $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ groups (Figure 3). In total, 28 compounds were synthesized and tested biochemically against the Msmeg $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$, as well as in vitro against Mtb, Msmeg, and M. abscessus for growth inhibition.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Synthesis and Physicochemical Characterization.

 Through a seven-step synthetic scheme, a series of 27 analogues were synthesized, 25 of which had the benzoxazole heterocycle in their side chain. The general scheme was divided into three major parts; synthesis of the imidazopyridine scaffold (Scheme 1A), synthesis of the benzoxazole side chain (Scheme 1B), and amide coupling (Schemes 1C and 2). The synthesis of compound 27 (Scheme 3) was slightly different from the general scheme.Scheme 1A: the imidazopyridine scaffold was synthesized through a one-pot reaction in which halogenation and cyclization occurred in the same reaction flask. The advantage of this method is that the highly reactive brominated product can react directly with the nucleophile (aminopyridine) once it is formed.

Scheme 1B: different synthetic methods of benzoxazoles have been reported due to their importance as a compound class with various pharmacological activities. ${ }^{27}$ They are usually synthesized through the condensation of $o$-aminophenol and benzoic acids or benzoic acid derivatives. After several trials, we were


Figure 4. Oxygen belongs to biochemical assays. (A) 2,3-dimethyl [1,4]naphthoquinone (DMW) was reduced by NDH-2 using electrons from the oxidation of NADH . The reduced $\mathrm{DMWH}_{2}$ is re-oxidized to DMW by the $\mathrm{Msmeg} \mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}(\mathrm{SC})$ catalyzing the reduction of oxygen to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (B) Rate of oxygen consumption in case of no inhibitor "-Q203" (orange), with inhibitor "+Q203" (green), and baseline auto-oxidation in the absence of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$, "-SC-Q203" (blue). The red arrow represents the point where NADH was injected.
able to obtain the benzoxazole side chain (1d and 2d) with $\sim 30-50 \%$ yield by using methane sulfonic acid as a catalyst.
The selective reduction of the benzonitrile to the primary benzylamine was a challenging step. Borane dimethylsulfide and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduced the imine functional group of the benzoxazole ring together with the nitrile group, leading to ring opening. Starting with the reduction of the educt, 3-amino-4-hydroxybenzonitrile, to avoid ring opening, followed by amide coupling between the formed amine and the imidazopyridine-3carboxylic acid was not successful. To selectively reduce the nitrile without affecting the benzoxazole ring, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was used as the reducing agent with $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a catalyst. ${ }^{28,29}$ However, the primary amine could not be isolated from the reaction mixture. The masses of a secondary amine and primary amide were also detected in ESI-MS. To solve this problem, di-tert-butyl-dicarbonate was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting boc-protected primary amine was easily isolated from the reaction mixture through column chromatography. Stirring in 4 M HCl in dioxane for 1 h was sufficient to precipitate the primary amine as a hydrochloride salt ( $\mathbf{1 e}$ and 2 e ).

Scheme 1C: coupling of the imidazopyridine carboxylic acids and the benzoxazole side chain was achieved by using either HATU or PyBOP. With HATU, amide formation was faster than that with PyBOP, leading to complete conversion after 1 h . It is noteworthy that the order of adding the reactants in this reaction made a difference in the yield. First, the acid and DIPEA were dissolved in DMF. Then, HATU was added, and the mixture was left to stir for 20 min before adding the amine. This order allowed enough time for the formation of the activated ester before the amine was added. Afterward, brine was added to precipitate the product. Washing thoroughly with brine helped remove DMF and DIPEA. Finally, washing with a small amount of MeOH removed the remaining impurities.
Scheme 2: coupling of $\mathbf{1 5 c}$ to the benzylamine was performed using HATU as described above.

Scheme 3: the tail of compound 27 consists of three isoprene units rather than a 2 -substituted benzoxazole heterocycle. It was synthesized via coupling of an imidazopyridine carboxylic acid
with $E$-farnesyl amine, which was synthesized from $E$-farnesol in two steps. The first step was the synthesis of $N$-farnesylphthalimide (1f) from farnesol. This reaction is a variation of the Mitsunobu reaction. The second step was the deprotection of the $N$-farnesylpthalimide to farnesyl amine ( $\mathbf{1 g}$ ) with hydrazine hydrate. ${ }^{30}$ The conversion of farnesyl bromide to farnesyl amine following Gabriel's synthesis was also successful but with much lower yields.

Biochemical Assays. To quantify the inhibition of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$, we employed $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ activity assay using a Clark-type oxygen electrode. In contrast to our recent study where the electron donor 2,3-dimethyl [1,4]naphthoquinone (DMW) was reduced chemically, ${ }^{15}$ here we used an assay where DMW was reduced to $\mathrm{DMWH}_{2}$ by adding the NADH dehydrogenase enzyme from Caldalkalibacillus thermarum (NDH-2) to the reaction mixture (Figure 4A). ${ }^{31}$ The enzymatic reduction of DMW was found to be more reliable than chemical reduction. In assay, NADH is added in excess to the chamber of the oxygen electrode to initiate the rapid reduction of all the DMW to $\mathrm{DMWH}_{2}$, which then allows a slower reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ (Figure 4B, orange curve). This reduction of oxygen proceeds until all oxygen in the chamber is consumed (Figure 4B, orange curve). The specific activity of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ in this assay was found to be $340 \pm 24 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \mathrm{s}$ ( $\pm$ s.e. from five separate measurements each from a different batch of protein), $\sim 3$-fold higher than measurements with chemically reduced DMW. ${ }^{15}$ As in our previous studies with chemically reduced DMW, ${ }^{15}$ there was residual $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ activity even at high concentrations of Q203 (Figure 4B, green curve vs blue curve). All compounds, including Q203, were tested for binding and inhibition of the purified $\mathrm{Msmeg} \mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ via this assay.

None of the Compounds Tested Inhibited Caldalkalibacillus thermarum NDH-2 nor Bos tausus Complex I. NADH oxidation assays confirmed that all the compounds tested including Q203 did not inhibit NDH-2, supporting the validity of the oxygen consumption assay results. The oxidation of NADH was monitored spectrophotometrically at 340 nm (Figure S2). By monitoring the NADH oxidation at 340 nm in

Table 1. Average Percent Inhibition at Particular Concentrations of $\operatorname{Msmeg} \mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ by Q203 and IPA Analogues ${ }^{a}$
(

| CODE | Structure | SOLUBILITY* <br> [ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ] | average <br> \% inhibition <br> $[10 \mu \mathrm{M}] \pm$ S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 |  | n.d | $21 \pm 4$ |
| 15 |  | < 54 | $10 \pm 14$ |
| 16 |  | < 54 | $9 \pm 8$ |
| 17 |  | n.d | $49 \pm 13$ |
| 18 |  | n.d | $49 \pm 18$ |
| 19 |  | < 54 | $42 \pm 17$ |
| 20 |  | < 54 | $45 \pm 10$ |
| 21 |  | < 54 | $45 \pm 15$ |
| 22 |  | < 54 | $49 \pm 22$ |
| 23 |  | < 54 | $29 \pm 3$ |
| $24^{22}$ |  | < 54 | $20 \pm 2$ |
| $25^{18}$ |  | < 54 | $0 \pm 8$ |
| 26 |  | < 54 | $46 \pm 6$ |
| 27 |  | 73 | $88 \pm 1$ |

${ }^{a}$ Experiments were performed in triplicates to calculate the standard deviation (mean $\pm$ s.e., $n=3$ independent assays). ${ }^{b} 54 \mu \mathrm{M}$ has been previously reported as the nephelometric detection limit for compounds with a molecular weight of $500 .{ }^{38}$
the presence of sub-mitochondrial particles (SMPs), it was confirmed that at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 27$ and Q203 do not inhibit mitochondrial complex I (Figure S3).

Compound 27 Showed Inhibition of $\mathrm{ClII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ that was Comparable to Q203. Compound 27 was synthesized to test the effect of a tail that resembles MK on binding to the active site and electron transfer. This compound had the same head and neck as Q203 and a short isoprene tail, similar to the natural substrate (MK). At the tested concentration ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), compound 27 showed $88 \%$ inhibition of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ activity, which is similar to inhibition by Q203 (85\%). Unexpectedly, the substitution of the $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ in the IP with a hydrogen atom showed
better percentage inhibition despite the possibility of a halogen bond formation by the chlorine atom. Compound $244^{22}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl})$ showed only $20 \%$ inhibition, while compound $26\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ showed $50 \%$ inhibition. These differences imply that the main binding interactions between the IPAs and $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ are between N1 of the imidazopyridine head with His 368 and between the carbonyl O-atom in the neck with Thr 308 (Figure 3). No significant inhibition was detected in compounds with methyl ${ }^{18}\left(R^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, trifluoromethyl $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, or trifluoromethoxy ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ ) in the head region (Table 1). Bromosubstituted analogues ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Br}$ ), however, showed approximately 50\% inhibition (Table 1 and Figure S1).

The structure-activity relationship (SAR) was based on electron cryomicroscopy data of Q203 bound to the active site, and the results of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ activity assays supported the hypothesis that the main interactions are in the head and not in the tail. A single concentration $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was chosen to screen all analogues, then the compound which showed high percentage inhibition was then tested at different concentrations. $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ is a very high concentration considering the reported $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ of Q203 ( 2.7 nM ) and $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ of compound $24(<40 \mathrm{nM})$ in growth inhibition assays.

Q203 and Compound $27 \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ Values. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ against Msmeg $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ of both Q203 and 27 was determined through the repetition of the oxygen consumption assay with different concentrations of test compounds ( $10,1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 100$, and 10 nM ). The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for Q203 and compound 27 were calculated as $99 \pm 32$ and $441 \pm 138 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively (mean $\pm$ s.e., $n=4$ independent assays from biological triplicates, Figure 5). These values show that Q203 and 27 have relatively similar potencies on the enzyme, supporting the hypothesis that the tail has no significant effect on the binding.

Q203, 24, and 27 Do Not Inhibit Mitochondrial Cytochrome bc (CIII). Compounds (Q203, 24, ${ }^{22}$ and 27) that showed activity against Msmeg did not show activity against bovine mitochondrial cytochrome $b c_{1}$ (complex III) nor Candida albicans mitochondrial cytochrome $b c_{1}$, confirming their specificity against the mycobacterial $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$. Although 24 did not show activity in Msmeg inhibition assay, it showed high activity in the in vitro Mtb growth inhibition assays. Oxygen consumption assays, where Q203, 24, ${ }^{22}$ or 27 were incubated with SMPs at concentrations of 10 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, were performed. The results showed some inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ no inhibition was detected (Figure 6). To further confirm the specificity of Q203, 24, ${ }^{22}$ and 27, the reduction of cytochrome $c$ was monitored spectrophotometrically at 550 nm to measure the activity of purified mitochondrial $\mathrm{CIII}_{2}$ from C. albicans. No inhibition was observed at the concentrations tested (10 and 1 $\mu \mathrm{M})$, confirming the specificity of Q203 and analogues to mycobacteria (Figure S4).

Growth Inhibition Assays. In $M t b$ in vitro growth inhibition assays, Compounds $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 4}$ showed an MIC $_{90}$ of 0.2 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, while $24^{22}$ showed $\sim 5 \times$ higher potency ( MIC $_{90} \leq 0.04$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$. This finding is consistent with the previously reported $\mathrm{MIC}_{80}$ of compound $24(0.027 \mu \mathrm{M}) .{ }^{22}$ Br-substitution at C-7 in compounds $5-8$ led to a 50 -fold decrease in activity $(\geq 10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ instead of bromine or chlorine led to a $\sim 125$-fold decrease in activity (Table S1). These results were rather consistent with the results of the $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ activity assays, suggesting that a group bigger than bromine at C6 or C7 is not well tolerated. Contradictory to the results of the oxygen consumption assays described above, replacing the halogen at C6 or C7 with a hydrogen atom led to a decrease in activity. For instance, compound $26\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ showed a $\sim 250$-fold decrease in activity compared to $24^{22}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Cl}\right)$. In addition, compound 24 in Msmeg $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ assays did not show strong inhibition (Table 1), unlike its high in vitro Mtb activity. Compound 27 showed MIC $_{90}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ contrary to its high activity in the Msmeg $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ assay. One explanation for this observation could be that the efflux mechanisms could also contribute to the high in vitro MIC of 27 .

Msmeg and M. abscessus were not susceptible to all compounds analyzed with $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values $>25,50$, or $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table S1). It was reported that combining Verapamil with Q203 increases its potency in vitro and ex vivo. ${ }^{32}$ However, no change in activity


Figure 5. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of Q203 (A) and compound 27 (B). The rate of oxygen consumption was measured after incubating the $\mathrm{Msmeg} \mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ with different concentrations of Q203 and 27 to calculate their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for Q 203 and 27 were $99 \pm 32$ and $441 \pm 138 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively (mean $\pm$ s.e., $n=4$ independent assays). The assays were repeated on different days and using different batches of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$, which could explain the high s.e. values. Nevertheless, these values show that Q203 and 27 have relatively similar potencies on the enzyme, supporting the hypothesis that the tail has no significant effect on the binding.
was detected when we combined verapamil with the test compounds in Msmeg assays. Polymorphisms in the QcrB subunit of $M$. abscessus were previously reported to be the reason for its insensitivity to Q203. ${ }^{33}$ However, superimposing the QcrB subunit of $M t b$ (PDB:7e1w), ${ }^{34}$ Msmeg (PDB:7rh7), ${ }^{15}$ and an AlphaFold model (UniProt: A0A0U1AIY2) ${ }^{35,36}$ of $M$. abscessus showed that these mutations do not affect the binding site. The models were superimposed using UCSF Chimera software (Figure S5). ${ }^{37}$

The in vitro inactivity against Msmeg and $M$. abscessus could be related to the compensatory mechanism by the cytochrome $b d$ complex, other unknown compensatory mechanisms, or different binding affinities in different species. The Q203-bound to Mtb CIII model ${ }^{34}$ showed that Q203 forms three hydrogen bonds compared to the two shown in Msmeg. The halogen in $M t b$ forms a halogen bond with a water molecule, which in turn forms a hydrogen bond with a Tyr $164 .{ }^{34}$ In Msmeg, the halogen directly forms a halogen bond with the carbonyl of Leu 166 in QcrB. ${ }^{15}$


Figure 6. Comparison of the activity in SMPs. Rotenone, KCN, and antimycin A were used as positive controls. The graph shows that Q203, 24, and 27 inhibit complex III at $10 \mu \mathrm{M} ; 80,30$, and $50 \%$ inhibition respectively, while at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, they show no inhibition (mean $\pm$ s.d., $n=3$ independent assays).

## - CONCLUSIONS

With the panel of IPAs prepared and tested for this investigation, data were generated that allow some generalizations on the IPA$\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ interaction in Msmeg, tentative correlation with in vitro activities, and extrapolation to other mycobacterial species.

As for SAR of the IPAs, a halogen substituent at C6/C7 of the IP moiety is important for $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ inhibitory activity. Substitution at C6 leads to a stronger effect than that at C7. Electron cryomicroscopy data, corroborated by in silico models, show that a halogen bond ${ }^{39}$ can be formed with Tyr $164^{34}$ in $M t b$ and the carbonyl of Leu166 in Msmeg. ${ }^{15}$ A substituent bigger than bromine at $\mathrm{C} 6 / \mathrm{C} 7$ is not tolerated. More generally, the NADH oxidation assays for both the NDH-2 enzyme and the SMPs support that the head of the IPA, not the tail, affected specificity. None of the compounds tested showed activity against bovine mitochondrial complex I or Caldalalibacillus thermarum NDH-2 despite both of these enzymes having a quinone-binding site. The inactivity in these assays for compound 27, which has an isoprene tail similar to the natural quinone substrate, thus allowing binding through the tail, further supports the hypothesis that binding and in particular specificity of binding to a mycobacterial $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ mainly rests in the head region.
Mostly, no generally applicable correlation could be made between the results in the Msmeg $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ oxygen consumption assay and the Msmeg and Mtb growth inhibition assays. For example, the strong inhibition by compound 27 in the Msmeg $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ binding assays did not translate to high potency in the $M t b$ assays. The opposite was observed in the case of compound 24: weak inhibition of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ and strong growth inhibition of $M t b$. However, for Msmeg, all compounds did not inhibit or only weakly inhibited growth, regardless of any inhibition in the $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ oxygen consumption or Mtb growth inhibition assays.
This lack of a general correlation pattern between assays implies that inhibition of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ activity is not sufficient to translate into growth inhibition. This finding may be due to either efflux or alternative resistance mechanisms the mycobacteria can resort to, or the activity of the cytochrome $b d$ terminal
oxidase as a rescue mechanism when $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ is inhibited. The cytochrome $b d$ oxidase in $M t b$ has previously been shown to prevent bactericidal activity of Q203 despite its low MIC (nM). Bactericidal activity against $M t b$ can only be achieved through the combination of Q203 with a cytochrome $b d$ inhibitor. ${ }^{40}$ In M. abscessus, the cytochrome bd complex has been reported to have no effect on susceptibility to Q203 and other IPAs. Therefore, other yet unknown mechanisms must be the reason for the insensitivity of $M$. abscessus to Q203. ${ }^{33}$ In addition, PK parameters such as solubility, permeation through human and bacterial cell membranes, and bacterial metabolism of the IPAs could play a role in limiting or preventing growth inhibitory activity. The similarity of the binding sites of the Msmeg and Mtb $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ electron cryostructures supports the hypothesis that the resistance could be due to one of the above reasons (Figure S5A). Furthermore, the Msmeg $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ electron cryomicroscopy structure and M. abscessus AlphaFold QcrB model (Figure S5B) displayed even higher similarity, but we did not observe growth inhibition of M. abscessus with any of the IPAs tested.

The findings reported here will be investigated further to assess the role of the tail in IPA activity, evaluate the role of cytochrome $b d$ and expression level of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ for bacteriostatic or bactericidal activity, and to improve PK parameters of novel IPAs compared to Q203. Activity against other mycobacterial species will also be studied.

## - EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

General Procedures. Reagents obtained from SigmaAldrich, abcr, TCI, and enamine were used without further purification. All organic solvents used were of pure analytical grade. Dioxane and THF were dried over molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$ ), while DCM was dried over $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. Column chromatography was carried out using silica-gel $40-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh with Heptane: EtOAc: Heptane and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}$. Flash chromatography was performed on puriFlash 430 (Interchim, Montluçon, France). Prepacked columns with silica gel of $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size were used. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using TLC silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates (Merck). Mass spectrometry was performed on APCI-MS (Advion expression CMS; Ithaca, NY, USA). The flow rate used was $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$, and the super soft method was used to avoid fragmentation. $m / z$ range 10 to 1000 with an acquisition speed was $10,000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ units/sec. The ESI-MS spectra were recorded on LCQ-Classic, Thermo Finnigan; direct injection). For the high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), a Q ExactiveTM Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) was used. Melting points (mp) were measured on a Kofler bench apparatus. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 , 500 and $126,101 \mathrm{MHz}$, respectively, using a lampe-vnmrs 400 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ shifts are referenced to the residual protonated solvent signal $\left[\delta 7.26\right.$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta 3.31,4.87$ for $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, and $\delta$ 2.5 for 11.5 trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)], and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts are referenced to the deuterated solvent signal ( $\delta 77.0$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta 49.0$ for $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, and $\delta 164.2$ for TFA/DMSO). Abbreviations: s: singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double doublet $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplets, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, p $=$ pentet, and $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. Assignments were proven by HSQC. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million ( ppm ), and all coupling constants ( $J$ ) are given in Hz . In most ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, some quaternary carbon atoms were covered by noise due to low concentrations and solubility in organic solvents. The purities of the tested compounds were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The purity of the final
compounds was $95 \%$ or higher. The instrument used was from Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan). Pump: two LC-10AD pumps, Detector: SPD-M10A VP PDA detector, and Sampler: SILHT autosampler. Analytical HPLC: LiChrospher column RP18, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, and 10 cm length was used, and the solvent system was $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5$ to $95+0.05 \%$ TFA over 30 min. Preparative HPLC: NUCLEODUR 100-5 C18 ec column was used and, the used mobile phase was acetonitrile (ACN): water, 5 to $95 \%$ ACN $+0.1 \%$ formic acid over 30 min . Samples were dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}, 1: 1$. Peaks were detected at $\lambda$ $=254 \mathrm{~nm}$.

Synthesis of the Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid Scaffold. 2-Ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acids. A solution of NBS ( $3.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then, ethyl-3-oxopentanoate ( $3.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) was added with a syringe and left to stir for 30 min . After complete addition, the color of the solution changes from yellow to colorless. Afterward 1 equiv 2 -aminopyridine ( 2.89 mmol ) was added and stirred for 30 min to 1 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To stop the reaction, saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution was added, then the mixture was extracted three times with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the product was purified by flash column chromatography (gradient mobile phase, Heptane: EtOAc from 90:1 to 66:34) to give a pale-yellow solid ( $\mathbf{1 - 8 b}$, Scheme $1(\mathrm{~A})) .{ }^{41}$ The yield ranged from 15 to $30 \%$ depending on the substituents on the pyridine.
The purified ester was dissolved in absolute ethanol ( 30 mL ) followed by the addition of an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{LiOH}(10$ mL ). The ratio of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. The reaction mixture was left to reflux overnight. After evaporating EtOH on the Rotavap, 1 N HCl was added dropwise until pH dropped to 4. The formed pale solid residue was filtered and washed with water and then dried in the desiccator to give $\mathbf{1 - 8 c} .^{42}$ The yield obtained was up to $90 \%$.

2-Methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acids. Ethyl-2-chloroacetoacetate ( 6.075 mmol ) was added to 25 mL of EtOH , followed by 2-aminopyridine ( 1 equiv). The reaction was left to reflux $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and 20 mL of EtOAc was added. The organic phase was extracted $3 \times$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (Heptane: EtOAc, $4: 1$ ) to give a solid ( $9-15 b$ ), Scheme $1(A)){ }^{25}$ The ester hydrolysis was performed in the same way as mentioned above $(9-15 c) .{ }^{42}$

Ethyl-6-bromo-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (1b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, yellow solid, $17 \%$ yield, ESI-MS: $m / z$ $295.06[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.26$ (EtOAc: Heptane, 1:2), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 9.51$ (dd, $J=1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.52 (dd, $J=9.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

6-Bromo-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (1c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, beige powder, $70 \%$, ESI-MS: $m / z 271.23$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4$, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-7-bromo-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (2b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, yellow solid, APCI-MS: $m / z 297,299$ $\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+}$, this compound was directly taken to the next step without further purification.

7-Bromo-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (2c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white powder, $74 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z$
269.1, $271.1\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-6-(trifluoromethyl)-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3carboxylate (3b). $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $27 \%$ yield, APCIMS: $m / z 287.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ (dd, $J=9.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-Ethyl-6-(trifluoromethyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (3c). $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $88 \%$ yield, APCIMS: $m / z 259.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{cd}_{3}$ od) : $\delta 9.82$ $(\mathrm{dp}, J=2.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H).

Ethyl-7-(trifluoromethyl)-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3carboxylate (4b). $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $28 \%$ yield, APCIMS: $m / z 287.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.44(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dp}, J=1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

7-(Trifluoromethyl)-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (4c). $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white powder, $93 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 259.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.1$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-6-(trifluoromethoxy)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (5b). $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, pale yellow, $22 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 303.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.44(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.7,2.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-Ethyl-6-(trifluoromethoxy)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (5c). $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white powder, $73 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 275.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.7,2.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-7-(trifluoromethoxy)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (6b). $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, pale yellow, $17 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 303.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.36$ (dd, $J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-Ethyl-7-(trifluoromethoxy)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (6c). $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white powder, $42 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 275.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{tt}, J=2.4$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,2.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-6-chloro-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate ( $7 b$ b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, pale yellow solid, $28 \%$ yield, ESI-MS: $m / z 253.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ $9.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (dd, $J=9.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

6-Chloro-2-ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (7c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white powder, $42 \%$ yield, ESI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $223.11[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.51$
(dd, $J=2.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H).

Ethyl 2-Ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (8b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, orange solid, $5 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 219.0$ [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.33$ (dt, $J=7.0$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.9,6.8$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{td}, J=6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
2-Ethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (8c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, orange solid, $90 \%$ yield, ESI-MS: $m / z 189.17$ $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (ddd, $J=8.5,7.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-6-bromo-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (9b). $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, pale yellow solid, $39 \%$ yield, APCIMS: $m / z 283.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

6-Bromo-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (9c). $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Beige solid, $65 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z$ $255.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.60(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=2.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68$ (s, 3H).

Ethyl-7-bromo-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (10b). $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, pale yellow solid, $18 \%$ yield, APCIMS: $m / z$ 283.0, $285.0\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. This compound was taken directly to the next step without further purification.

7-Bromo-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (10c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $70 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m /$ $z 255.1,257.1\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol$\left.d_{4}\right): \delta 9.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-6-(trifluoromethyl)-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (11b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, beige powder, $22 \%$, APCI-MS: $m / z 273.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.79-9.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

6-(Trifluoromethyl)-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3carboxylic Acid (11c). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$, light orange solid, $82 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 273.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.91-9.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (dd, $J=9.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-7-(trifluoromethyl)-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (12b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $17 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 272.9[\mathrm{M}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroformd): $\delta 9.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.14 (dd, $J=7.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

7-(Trifluoromethyl)-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3carboxylate (12c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, pale yellow, $65 \%$ yield, APCIMS: $m / z 272.9[\mathrm{M}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.69$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-6-(trifluoromethoxy)-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]-pyridine-3-carboxylate (13b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white powder, $21 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 289.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. This compound was taken directly to the next step without further purification.

6-(Trifluoromethoxy)-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3carboxylic Acid (13c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $85 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 261.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-2-methyl-7-(trifluoromethoxy)imidazo[1,2-a]-pyridine-3-carboxylate (14b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white powder, $22 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 288.9$ [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. This compound was taken directly to the next step without further purification.

2-Methyl-7-(trifluoromethoxy)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3carboxylic Acid (14c). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $43 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 261.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Methanol$\left.d_{4}\right): \delta 9.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-2,7-dimethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate (15b). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, pale yellow solid, $17 \%$, APCI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $219.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.14$ (dd, $J=7.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (dd, $J=7.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-$ $2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2,7-Dimethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (15c). $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $39 \%$ yield, ESI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $191.28[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.45$ (dd, $J=7.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 2-Substituted 1,3-Benzoxazole-5-methylamine. 2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-5-carbonitrile (1d). To a suspension of 3-amino-4-hydroxybenzonitrile (5.7 mmol ) in dioxane, 4 -chlorobenzoylchloride ( 5.7 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then, methanesulfonic acid ( $17.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added carefully. The reaction was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After the evaporation of dioxane, a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added carefully $(\sim 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Effervescence was observed due to the release of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The formed brown precipitate was filtered and washed thoroughly with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This precipitate was recrystallized using hot isopropanol (70\%) to get ly in the form of reddishbrown crystals. ${ }^{43}$ Yield $=54 \%$, APCI-MS: $m / z 255.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 164.2,153.1$, 142.5, 138.9, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 124.6, 124.5, 118.6, 111.9, 108.8 .

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-5-methylamine (1e). ly $(0.208 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Di-tert-butyl-dicarbonate ( $0.416,2$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 0.02 $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added. After cooling the mixture to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) was added portionwise and left to stir vigorously at room temperature overnight. $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diethylenetriamine was added before the evaporation of the solvent. The mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with $10 \%$ citric acid, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated, and then purified with column chromatography using EtOAc/ heptane (1:2) as the mobile phase. To the purified 1eBoc, 4 M HCl in dioxane was added $(3-5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 2 h . As a workup, diethyl ether was added, and the formed precipitate was filtered and dried to give a grayish-white solid. ${ }^{28}$ $90 \%$ yield, ESI-MS: $m / z 259.16[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 8.32-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (dd, $J=8.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(Trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-5-carbonitrile (2d). Under argon, 4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzoic acid ( 4.85 mmol ) was suspended in dry DCM ( 20 mL ), then a catalytic amount of DMF (3 drops) was added followed by thionyl chloride ( 2 equiv). The colorless suspension was left to stir at room temperature for 2 h . The solvent was co-evaporated with toluene to get rid of excess remaining thionyl chloride. ${ }^{44}$ The produced 4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzoyl chloride oil was directly added to a suspension of 3 -amino-4-hydroxybenzonitrile ( $4.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dioxane. The procedure continues in the same manner as mentioned above for (1d); however, 3y crystals were paler and gave a lower yield ( $\sim 30 \%$ ). APCI-MS: $m / z 305[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ $8.42-8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{t}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.64(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.45-7.26 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 163.8,153.1,152.2\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.5,129.8$, 129.35, 124.7, 124.5, 121.6, $120.2\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{OCF}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=265 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 118.8, 111.9, 108.8.

2-(4-(Trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-5-methylamine (2e). 3 y ( 0.208 mmol ) was suspended in dry MeOH $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Di-tert-butyl-dicarbonate ( $0.416,2$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added. After cooling the mixture to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) was added portionwise and left to stir vigorously at room temperature overnight. $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diethylenetriamine was added before the evaporation of the solvent. The mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with $10 \%$ citric acid, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated, and then 4 M HCl in dioxane was added $(3-5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 2 h . As a workup, diethyl ether was added, and the formed precipitate was filtered and dried to give a gray precipitate. ${ }^{28} 73 \%$ yield, ESI-MS: $m / z 309.32[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta$ $8.43-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Farnesyl Amine ${ }^{30}$ (Scheme 3). 2-((2E,6E)-3,7,11-trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (1f). In a 100 mL round-bottom flask, E-farnesol, phthalimide ( 1.2 equiv), and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( 1.3 equiv) were stirred in anhydrous THF ( 25 mL ) in the dark. 1.3 equiv DIAD was added dropwise, and then, the mixture was left stirring at room temperature for $4 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with heptane ( $3 \times$ 50 mL ). The collected organic phases were washed with brine before drying over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then filtered. N farnesylphthalimide (1f) was isolated by flash column chromatography (gradient elution, heptane/EtOAc, 100:0 to 50:50). Colorless oil, $72 \%$ yield, APCI-MS: $m / z 352.1$ [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 7.83$ (dd, $J=5.4$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{tq}, J=7.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.09-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=2.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ 168.1, 140.6, 135.3, 133.7, 132.3, 131.2, 124.3, 123.6, 123.1, 118.0, 39.6, 39.5, 35.8, 26.7, 26.2, 25.6, 17.6, 16.3, 15.9.
(2E,6E)-3,7,11-trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-amine (1g). The purified $\mathbf{1 f}$ was dissolved in MeOH , and then, hydrazine hydrate ( $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) was added. The solution was left to stir at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then diluted with an equal amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acidified with conc. HCl ( $\mathrm{pH}<2$ ), and washed with diethyl ether. The organic phase was discarded. The aqueous phase was basified with solid KOH to
$\mathrm{pH}>10$ and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting oil was passed through a silica gel plug with $3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to yield 4 z as a colorless oil, APCI-MS: $m / z 222.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 5.25$ (tp, $J=6.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.13-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Synthesis of Imidazopyridine Amide Analogues (127). Method $A$. In a round-bottom flask covered with aluminum foil (for light exclusion), $\mathbf{n c}, \mathbf{1 z}$, or $\mathbf{3 z}$ ( 1 equiv) and DIPEA (5 equiv) were dissolved in DMF ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under argon. PyBOP (1.1 equiv), dissolved in DMF, was added through a septum over 30 min , and the reaction was left to stir overnight at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to stop the reaction. The desired product crushed out and was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ several times to get rid of DMF (1-5).

Method B. Under an inert atmosphere, nc and DIPEA (5 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF. The yellow solution was left to stir for 10 min before adding HATU ( 1.1 equiv). The solution got darker in color as it stirred for $30 \mathrm{~min} . \mathbf{1 z}$ or $3 \mathrm{z}(1$ equiv) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Brine ( 50 mL ) was added to precipitate the product. The filtered product was washed several times with water and EtOAc and one time with MeOH to get rid of DMF and impurities (6-27).

6-Bromo-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (1). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, beige solid, $29 \%$ yield, mp $260-262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z$ 509.0379, $511.0354\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{BrCl},{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrCl}\right)$ [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.63$ (dd, CH (IPA), $J=1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{CH}, J=1.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.53-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{IPA}), J=9.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.41 (dd, CH, $J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (dd, CH (IPA), $J=$ $9.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right.$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d): $\delta 162.8$, 161.1, 151.29, 150.31, 144.6, 142.6, 137.9, 135.1, 130.4, 129.3, 128.9, 128.4, 125.5, 125.1, 119.1, 117.2, 114.8, 110.9, 108.1, 43.6, 23.6, 13.2. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.44$ (DCM: $3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ).

6-Bromo-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxa-zol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (2). $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, beige solid, $40 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{mp} 285-288{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z$ 495.52, $497.019\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{BrCl},{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrCl}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.67$ (dd, CH (IPA), $J=$ $1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ (dd, CH, $J=$ $1.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.47 (dd, CH (IPA), $J=9.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.45-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH} J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, J\right.$ $=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.8,161.1,150.3,144.5,142.6,137.9,135.1$, 130.5, 129.3, 128.8, 128.4, 125.5, 125.1, 119.04, 117.01, 110.9, 108.2, 43.5, 16.8. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.22$ (DCM: $\left.2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

6-Bromo-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (3). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, pale pink solid, $70 \%$ yield, mp 228 $232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 559.0577,561.0559\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.65$ (dd, CH (IPA), $J=1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{CH}, J=1.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ (dd, CH (IPA), $J=9.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.00\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $1.42\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta$ 162.5, 161.1, 151.3, 150.3, 144.6, 142.5, 137.8, 135.1, 130.4, 129.4, 128.4, 124.2 (q, $\mathrm{OCF}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=267$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 125.2,122.4,121.1,119.1,117.2,116.5,110.9,108.1,43.5$, 23.6, 13.2. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.23$ (CHCl3: $\left.1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

6-Bromo-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (4). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, pale pink solid, $20 \%$ yield, mp $251-255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 545.32,547.0411\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.77-9.48$ (m, CH (IPA), 1H), 8.37-8.19 (m, 2CH, 2H), 7.82-7.75 (m, CH, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ (d, CH (IPA), $J=9.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (d, CH (IPA), $J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $\mathrm{CH}, J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.5,161.1,151.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 150.3$, 145.8, 144.5, 142.5, 135.2, 130.6, 129.4, 128.4, 125.5, 125.2, $121.6,121.1,119.1,116.9,115.5,110.9,108.2,43.5,16.8 . R_{\mathrm{f}}=$ $0.28\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

7-Bromo-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (5). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $50 \%$ yield, mp $294-296{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 509.0374,511.0351,513.0325\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{BrCl},{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrCl}\right.$, ${ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}$ ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ 9.33 (dd, $J=7.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (dd, $J=1.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3$, $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.04 (dd, $J=7.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.3,161.1,142.6,135.1,129.3$, 128.9, 128.4, 128.1, 125.5, 125.1, 121.2, 119.1, 117.0, 110.9, 43.6, 23.6, 13.2. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.1$ (EtOAc: Heptane: DCM, 1:1:1).

7-Bromo-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxa-zol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (6). $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $7 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{mp} 281-285^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESIHRMS: $m / z 495.0227,497.0202\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{BrCl},{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrCl}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.8$, 161.1, 150.3, 142.6, 138.00, 135.1, 129.3, 128.9, 128.4, 125.5, 125.1, 121.4, 119.1, 118.8, 117.1, 110.9, 43.5, 16.8. (purified by preparative HPLC), $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.15$ (DCM: $\left.2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

7-Bromo-2-ethyl- N -((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (7). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $77 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{mp} 266-270$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 559.0582$, $561.0561\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ ${ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.33$ (dd, $J=7.4,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33-8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (dd, $J=1.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ 161.1, 142.5, 135.1, 129.4, 128.4, 125.2, 121.1, 119.1, 119.1, 117.1, 110.9, 43.5, 23.5, 13.2. (purified by preparative HPLC). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3$ (DCM: $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ).

7-Bromo-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (8). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $22 \%$ yield, mp $272-275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 545.0422$, $547.0401\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br},{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right)$
$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38-8.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroformd): $\delta 162.5,151.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 150.4,142.5,135.0,129.4$, $128.5,125.5,125.3,124.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{OCF}_{3},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=271.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.4$, 121.1, 119.3, 110.9, 43.6, 11.5.92\% purity (HPLC), $R_{f}=0.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$. For the purification of this compound, a column was prepared using the mobile phase $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (99:1). This compound was not further purified as it did not show activity in biochemical and in vitro assays.

6-Trifluoromethyl-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-ben-zoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (9). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $6 \%$ yield, mp $255-257{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 499.1144$ [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ], ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.89(\mathrm{dq}, J=2.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.59 (dd, $J=8.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.8,160.9$, 152.4, 150.3, 146.0, 142.6, 138.01, 134.8, 129.3, 128.9, 127.4 (q, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.4,125.1,123.5\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=271.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.9(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.1,117.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=34.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.3,115.6$, 110.9, 43.6, 23.6, 13.1.

6-Trifluoromethyl-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (10). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $39 \%$ yield, mp 251$254{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 485.0989[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 10.01-9.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (dd, $J=8.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28-6.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroformd): $\delta 162.8,160.9,150.3,146.9,142.6,138.0,134.9,129.3$, 128.9, 125.4, 125.1, $123.4\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=274.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.1\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=\right.$ $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.1,117.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=34.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.1,110.9,43.6$, 16.8.

6-Trifluoromethyl-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (11). $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, beige solid, $73 \%$ yield, mp 234-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 549.1363$ [M + H], ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.88(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{CH}$ (IPA) $J=2.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (d, CH (IPA), $J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.49 (dd, CH (IPA), $J=9.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, CH, $J=8.4$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.03\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 162.5,160.9,152.4,151.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $150.4,146.0,142.6,134.9,129.4,127.5\left(\mathrm{q}^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.5$, $125.2,123.5\left(\mathrm{q}^{1}{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=272.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.1$, $119.1,117.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=34.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.3,115.6,111.0,43.6,23.6$, 13.1. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25$ (DCM: $\left.2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

6-Trifluoromethyl-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (12). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, pale pink solid, $71 \%$ yield, mp 199-202 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 535.1191[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.89$ (br s, CH (IPA), 1H), $8.34-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{IPA}) J=9.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (d, CH (IPA), $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.5,160.7,151.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $150.4,142.5,134.9,129.4,127.4\left(q^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.5,125.2$, $123.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=273.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.5\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.5(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCF}_{3},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=261.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.1,119.2,118.0\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=35.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 116.9, 110.9, 43.6, 16.6. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.12\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

7-Trifluoromethyl-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-ben-zoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (13). This compound was synthesized following method A . $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, beige solid, $70 \%$ yield, mp $265-268^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESIHRMS: $m / z 499.1134[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.55$ (dt, CH (IPA), $J=7.4,2.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.28-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ (dt, CH (IPA), $J=2.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.10 (dd, CH (IPA) $J=7.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.03\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ 171.1, 160.9, 160.7, 152.5, 150.3, 149.8, 142.6, 138.0, 129.3, 129.0, 128.9, 125.4, 125.1, 119.1, $117.5\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=\right.$ $279.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.4,116.1,114.5\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 112.9,110.9$, $108.9\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 43.6,23.5$, 13.1. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25(\mathrm{DCM}: 1 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH})$.

7-Trifluoromethyl-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (14). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, white solid, $3 \%$ yield, mp 257$260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 485.0978[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.58$ (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19$ (d, $J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ 162.9, 160.9, 150.3, 146.9, 142.6, 138.0, 134.9, 129.3, 128.95, 128.9, 125.4, 125.1, 119.1, $117.6\left(\mathrm{q}^{1}{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=280.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 110.9,109.0$ $\left(\mathrm{q}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 43.6$, 16.8. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.24(\mathrm{DCM}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH})$.

7-Trifluoromethyl-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (15). $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $47 \%$ yield, mp 258-261 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 549.1348[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta 9.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50-8.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{TFA} /$ DMSO): $\delta 166.5,161.9,158.9$ (q, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 150.8, 145.8, $141.2,140.6,133.7,132.5,131.6,131.1,123.4,119.5,118.3$ (q, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=34.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.1,117.1,116.7,115.7,115.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=282.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 115.24,45.91,21.12$, 12.85. Some signals are covered by the solvent signal. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.21$ (DCM: $\left.1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

7-Trifluoromethyl-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3carboxamide (16). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $80 \%$ yield, mp 276-277 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 535.1192[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.59$ (dt, $J=7.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.34-8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.5,160.9,150.4,146.9,144.3,142.6$, $134.9,129.4,128.9,125.2,121.9,121.1\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.2$, $117.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=275.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.5,114.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 111.0$, $109.0\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 43.6,16.8 .100 \%$ purity (HPLC, the
compound was purified using preparative HPLC). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2$ (DCM: 2\% MeOH).

6-Trifluoromethoxy-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (17). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $10 \%$ yield, mp 264$266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 515.1093[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.64-9.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.13$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58$ (dd, $J=8.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30-6.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.8,160.9$, $150.3,142.6,138.0,134.9,129.3,128.9,125.4,125.1,124.1(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=260.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.3,119.1,116.8,110.9,43.6,23.6,13.1 . R_{\mathrm{f}}=$ $0.23\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

6-Trifluoromethoxy-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (18). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $42 \%$ yield, mp 256$260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 501.0937[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.71-9.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.09$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58$ (dd, $J=8.4,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (ddd, $J=9.6,2.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.9,161.0,150.3,146.7,144.3,142.6,138.6$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 138.0,135.0,129.3,128.9,127.8,125.4,125.1$, 122.8, 122.2, $120.5\left(\mathrm{q}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=263.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.06,116.74,110.95$, $43.51,16.89 . R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.12\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

6-Trifluoromethoxy-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (19). $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, white solid, $60 \%$ yield, mp $248-251^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 565.13[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.61$ (s, 1H), 8.29 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.42 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 162.5,161.0,152.2,151.6$ ( $q, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $150.4,144.5,142.6,138.6(q, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.0,129.4,125.5$, $125.2,122.7,122.3,121.6\left(q,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=261.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.4\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=\right.$ 258.5 Hz ), 121.1, 119.1, 116.9, 115.7, 110.9, 43.5, 23.7, 13.2. $R_{f}$ $=0.37\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

6-Trifluoromethoxy-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (20). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, white solid, $55 \%$ yield, mp 239-240 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 551.1141[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.62$ (s, CH (IPA), 1H), 8.358.18 (m, 2CH, 2H), $7.80(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ (d, CH (IPA), $J=9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40$ (d, CH (IPA), $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta$ 162.5, 160.6, $150.4,142.6,134.9,129.4,125.5,125.3,123.7,122.3,121.1$, $119.2,116.2,114.1,110.9,43.6,16.4 . R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \%\right.$ MeOH ).

7-Trifluoromethoxy-2-methyl-N_((2-(4_chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (21). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, beige solid, $40 \%$ yield, mp 261-263 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 501.0926[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.51$ (dd, $J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.26-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 162.9,161.1,150.3,148.0(q, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.6,146.0,138.0$, 135.1, 129.6, 129.3, 128.9, 125.5, 125.1, 119.1, 110.9, 107.9, 106.1, 43.5, 16.8. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.086\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

7-Trifluoromethoxy-2-ethyl- N -((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (22). $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, white solid, $50 \%$ yield, mp 251-255 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 565.1303[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.48$ (d, CH (IPA), $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$ (d, 2CH, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (d, $\mathrm{CH}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}$ (IPA), $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}$, CH (IPA), $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.00\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $1.42\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroformd): $\delta 161.1,151.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 150.3,148.0(\mathrm{q}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 146.0, 142.6, 135.1, 129.6, 129.4, 125.5, 125.2, 121.5, 121.1, $119.1,114.9,110.9,107.9,106.1,43.5,23.5,13.1 . R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.24$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

7-Trifluoromethoxy-2-methyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine3 -carboxamide (23). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, white solid, $25 \%$ yield, mp 255-259 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 551.1152[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.51$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.32$8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 162.5,161.1,151.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 150.4, 142.6, 135.1, 129.6, 129.4, 125.5, 125.2, $121.4\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=\right.$ $255.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=259.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.1,119.1,110.9$, 107.9, 106.0, 43.5, 16.8.

6-Chloro-2-ethyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (24). ${ }^{22} \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, pale pink solid, $29 \%$ yield, mp 240-242 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESI-HRMS: $m / z 515.1089[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.56$ (dd, $J=2.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.32-8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-$ $2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta$ 161.1, 150.3, 144.5, 142.5, 135.3, 133.9, 133.0, 129.4, 128.9, 128.3, 126.2, 125.5, 125.2, 121.6, 121.1, 119.1, 116.9, 111.0, 43.5, 23.6, 13.1.

N-benzyl-2,7-dimethylimidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (25). ${ }^{18} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$, white solid, mp $169-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESIHRMS: $m / z 280.144[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.31$ (dd, $J=7.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.40-7.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d): $\delta$ 161.5, 146.5, 145.3, 138.3, 128.8, 127.6, 127.3, 115.7, 115.0, 43.4, 21.3, 16.8. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.17$ (DCM: $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ).

2-Ethyl-N-((2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-benzoxa-zol-5-yl)methyl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (26). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, white solid, $25 \%$ yield, mp 229-233 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESIHRMS: $m / z 481.1476[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d): $\delta 9.43$ (dt, $J=7.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.32-8.24$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (ddd, $J=9.0,6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{td}, J=6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d): $\delta 162.4,161.5,151.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 150.9$,
150.3, 146.3, 142.5, 135.3, 129.4, 128.2, 126.9, 125.5, 125.2, 121.1, $120.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=259.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.1,116.7,114.6,113.2$, 110.9, 43.5, 23.6, 13.3. $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

6-Chloro-2-ethyl- $N$-((2E,6E)-3,7,11-trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)imidazo[1,2a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (27). $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}$, white solid, $8 \%$ yield, mp $101-106{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESIHRMS: $m / z 428.2459[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 9.48$ (dd, $J=2.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4$, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{tq}, J=7.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.76$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 160.9,151.0,144.3,140.9,135.5$, 131.3, 127.9, 126.1, 124.2, 123.6, 121.4, 119.5, 116.8, 115.3, $39.7,39.5,37.4,26.7,26.3,25.6,23.4,17.6,16.4,16.0,13.2 . R_{f}=$ $0.35\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

Nephelometric Solubility Assay. First, equidistant and logarithmic pre-dilutions were prepared in a 96 -well plate with round bottoms, using 10 mM stock solutions of the compounds and DMSO. Then, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each pre-dilution was added to 245 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of PBS buffer in a 96 well-plate with straight bottoms. Quadruplets were prepared for the blank (DMSO + PBS), and final concentrations (one plate/compound) were tested. The final concentrations measured started from $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.3125 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. A nephelometer (NEPHELOstar ${ }^{\text {plus }}$ ) was used to measure solubilities, and omega-data analysis software was used to evaluate the results.

Biochemical Assays. M. smegmatis Growth, Cell Lysis, and Protein Purification. The protocol followed was the same as described previously. ${ }^{15}$ An M. smegmatis strain with a $3 \times$ FLAG tag at the $C$ terminus of subunit QcrB was grown on $7 \mathrm{H} 9+$ hygromycin plates for 2 days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A colony from the plate was transferred to a 20 mL preculture of 7 H 9 (Sigma) supplemented with TDS ( $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, tryptone, $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ dextrose, 0.8 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl})$ and grown for 2 days in the dark at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 180 rpm before inoculating a 6 L culture and growing under the same conditions for 48 h . Cells were harvested by centrifugation for 20 $\min$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then, cell pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thawed cell pellets were resuspended in $\sim 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ of lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl , and 0.5 mM EDTA) and homogenized first with a Dounce homogenizer, then filtered with cheesecloth and passed through an Avestin homogenizer three times at 20 kpsi . Lysed cells were centrifuged at $39,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 30 min to remove cell debris. The supernatant was then centrifuged at $149,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 60 $\min$ (Beckmann 70 Ti rotor) to isolate the membranes. Membrane pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer ( $12 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$ membranes) before aliquoting in falcon tubes, freezing, and storing at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To isolate and purify $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$, thawed membranes were solubilized with $1 \%$ (w/v) dodecyl maltoside detergent (DDM), stirring for 45 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following addition of detergent, the solution was centrifuged at $149,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 50 min to remove insoluble material. The supernatant was filtered $(0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ before loading onto a column of 1.5 mL of Anti-FLAG M2 Affinity gel (Sigma). The column was washed with DTBS buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $0.02 \% \mathrm{DDM}$ ) and eluted with $6 \times 500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} 3 \times$ FLAG peptide. The purified protein was exchanged into 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl , and $0.003 \%$ (w/v) glycodiosgenin (GDN) using a 100 kDa molecular weight cut-off concentrator (Sigma). ${ }^{15}$

NDH-2 Purification from Caldalalibacillus thermarum Strain TA2.A1. Escherichia coli C41 (DE3) cells were transformed with the ppTRC99A-ndh vector ${ }^{31}$ and grown on LB + $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin plates overnight. A single colony was selected and grown in a 20 mL preculture in $\mathrm{LB}+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin at 220 rpm overnight which was used to inoculate a 1 L growth. The 1 L culture was grown under the same conditions as the preculture until the OD600 $\sim 0.6$ when the cells were induced with 1 mM isopropyl $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside and grown for 4 h before being harvested by centrifugation at $65,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 min and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were thawed and resuspended in 20 mL of NDH-2 lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.001 \%$ PMSF) and sonicated (Q Sonica Q500) for 10 min with 2 s pulses and 2 s pause between pulses and an amplitude of $30 \%$. After lysis, cell debris was spun down at $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 15 min . Membranes from the supernatant were then harvested at $125,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 h and resuspended in buffer A $[50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,20 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.05 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ DDM, and $0.001 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) PMSF]. Membranes were solubilized with $1 \%$ DDM at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . This solubilization was followed by ultracentrifugation at $125,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 h to remove insoluble material. The supernatant was filtered ( $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) before loading on a HisTrap column (5 mL ) previously equilibrated with 50 mL of buffer A before loading the sample at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and then washed with 25 mL of buffer A to remove the unbound sample. To elute, $55 \%$ buffer B [ 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,500 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 150 mM NaCl , $0.05 \% ~(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) DDM, and $0.001 \% ~(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ PMSF] was applied over 10 column volumes. Fractions with high absorbance at 280 nm were pooled and dialyzed against 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,150$ mM NaCl , and $0.05 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ DDM to remove imidazole.

Sub-Mitochondrial Particle (SMP) Preparation. Bovine hearts were kept on ice, and all subsequent steps were carried out at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fat, blood vessels, and connective tissues were removed from the hearts, and the remaining material was cut into $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ pieces before being ground with a meat grinder. For each minced heart, 1400 mL of buffer A ( 250 mM sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 2-mercaptoethanol) was added. The buffer was squeezed out of the mince through muslin. Buffer B ( 1600 mL per heart; 250 mM sucrose, 10 mM Tris- HCl pH 7.8 , 5 mM 2 -mercaptoethanol, 0.2 mM EDTA, and 1 mM Trissuccinate) was added, and 25 mL of Tris ( 2 M not pH adjusted) was added per heart. The suspension was blended for 30 s on high setting. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 1600 g for 15 min . The supernatant was filtered through muslin cloth, and mitochondria from the filtrate were harvested at $18,500 \mathrm{~g}$ for 27 min . The mitochondria were resuspended in 3600 mL of buffer B per heart and harvested again at $18,500 \mathrm{~g}$ for 27 min . A total of $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of mitochondria obtained per heart were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In a falcon tube, 0.5 mL of bovine heart mitochondria was added to 4 mL of the isotonic buffer [ 0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM MOPS, and 2 mM EDTA pH 8]. To form SMPs, the sample was sonicated while keeping the tube in a beaker of ice mixed with NaCl . The sonicator (Q Sonica Q500) was adjusted to provide ten 5 s pulses with a 30 s pause between pulses and an amplitude of $20 \%$. SMPs were then centrifuged at $16,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove mitochondrial debris. ${ }^{45,46}$

Oxygen Consumption Assays (Binding Assay). All oxygen consumption assays were performed with an Oxygraph Clark-type electrode (Hansatech). For assay of M. smegmatispurified proteins with inhibitors, 500 nM superoxide dismutase (SOD, Sigma), 25 nM purified $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$ (SC), 114 nM

NADH-dehydrogenase type II (NDH-2, purification described above), $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DMW (Sigma), and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of inhibitor in DMSO or DMSO alone were incubated in a $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction [GTBS: 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $0.003 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) GDN] at room temperature for 1 h . The reaction was initiated by injecting 1 mM NADH. To account for the effect of auto-oxidation of $\mathrm{DMWH}_{2}$, a baseline oxygen consumption was measured by monitoring oxygen consumption without the addition of the $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$. The rate of oxygen consumption was calculated with a Python script where the baseline was subtracted.

To calculate the MICs ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) of Q203 and compound 27, different concentrations of the two compounds (10, $1,00.1$, $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and blank) were tested using the above protocol. The assays were repeated four times on different days and using different batches of purified $\mathrm{CIII}_{2} \mathrm{CIV}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ were calculated with a Python script, and errors were estimated using Monte Carlo simulations. ${ }^{47}$ Briefly, the overall standard deviation $\sigma_{\text {data }}$ of the data was estimated by taking the average standard deviation of all the inhibitor concentrations. The best fit curve was calculated, and simulated data sets were created using the best fit parameters. Random errors $N\left(0, \sigma_{\text {data }}\right)$ (normal random numbers with standard deviation $\left.=\sigma_{\mathrm{data}}\right)$ were added to each data point, and the simulated data with errors were fit to extract an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. The addition of random errors and fitting was repeated 10,000 times, and the standard deviation of the 10,000 best fit parameters was taken to be the standard deviation of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. The Python matplotlib library was used to generate plots for figures.

To establish the specificity of compound 27 and Q203, oxygen consumption was measured with bovine heart SMPs. In $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of reaction buffer [ 0.25 M sucrose, $10 \mathrm{mM} 3-(\mathrm{N}$ morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) pH 8.0, and 2 mM EDTA], $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of SMPs was incubated at room temperature with different concentrations ( 10 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 1 h . The reaction was initiated by adding 10 mM NADH. Rotenone, KCN, and antimycin A were used as positive controls for inhibition.

NDH-2 Activity Assay. To confirm that Q203 and the analogues that were synthesized do not inhibit the NDH-2 used in oxygen consumption assays, the oxidation of NADH to $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$ was monitored spectrophotometrically at $\lambda=340 \mathrm{~nm}$ for 1.5 h . In a 96 -well plate, 500 nM SOD, 3.15 nM NDH-2, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DMW, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of one of the putative inhibitors ( 28 in total) were added to each well. The plate was loaded into a Synergy neo 2 multi-mode plate reader, and $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NADH}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was injected to start the reaction (total volume $=200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ).

Bos taurus Complex I Activity Assay. In a 96 -well plate, SMPs ( $1 / 5$ of the total volume of the reaction) and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of positive control (Rotenone, antimycin A, or KCN) or inhibitor (Q203 or 27) were added to each well. $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NADH was injected to start the reaction (total volume $=200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The oxidation of NADH was monitored spectrophotometrically for 8 $\min$ at $\lambda=340 \mathrm{~nm}$.
C. albicans Complex III Activity Assay. The isolation and purification of $\mathrm{CIII}_{2}$ followed the protocol described previously. ${ }^{48}$ The reduction of cytochrome $c$ was followed spectrophotometrically at $\lambda=550 \mathrm{~nm}$ to measure the activity of C. albicans complex III. In a 96 -well plate, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of reaction mixture containing $\sim 25 \mathrm{nM}$ purified cytochrome $b c_{1}$ and 150 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ equine cytochrome $c$ in reaction buffer ( 50 mM KPi pH 7.4 , $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.01 \% \mathrm{GDN}$, and 0.5 mM KCN) was added to each well. Q203, 24, and 27 were each dispensed
from $376 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DMSO stock to their final concentrations ( 10 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The final DMSO concentration was $2.66 \%$. After 10 min of incubation at room temperature, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $120 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DBH}_{2}$ (decylubiquinol) in reaction buffer was added column by column in the well plate to start the reaction. After shaking for 5 min , absorbance was recorded every 15 s for 15 min . Inz-5, a cytochrome $b c_{1}$ inhibitor, was used as a positive control for complex III inhibition. ${ }^{48}$

In Vitro Mycobacterial Growth Assays. M. tuberculosis. M. tuberculosis strain H37Rv, harboring the red fluorescent protein (RFP)-expressing plasmid pTEC27, was used for measuring growth. The plasmid confers resistance to hygromycin. M. tuberculosis was grown in 7H9 broth (Difco Middlebrook) supplemented with 10\% (v/v) OADC (5\% bovine albumin fraction, $2 \%$ dextrose, $0.004 \%$ catalase, $0.05 \%$ oleic acid, and $0.8 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) and $0.05 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Tween- 80 at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in standing cultures. Hygromycin B was added to the medium at a final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ to suppress/inhibit the growth of non-transformed (non-plasmid containing) Mtb strains.
$\mathrm{MIC}_{90} \mathrm{~s}$ were determined by the broth microdilution method using flat-bottom 96-well Corning Costar plates. In the first well in each row, two times the desired highest concentration (50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of each compound was added in growth medium 7H9 supplemented with $10 \%$ OADS, $0.05 \%$ Tween 80 , and hygromycin $(50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. Each well was then diluted two-fold in a 10 -point serial dilution. Subsequently, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the bacterial inoculum was added to each well to give a final volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The concentration of the inoculum of $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/ $\mathrm{mL}\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}, 0.1=0.33 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$ was prepared from a starting inoculum that was diluted from a preculture at the mid$\log$ phase $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}, 0.3\right.$ to 0.7$)$. In each plate, a negative control ( $1 \%$ DMSO) and a positive control ( $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ bedaquiline) were included. The plates were sealed with parafilm, placed in a container with moist tissue, and incubated for 6 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation, the fluorescence intensity (signal) of each well was measured [Synergy H4 plate reader (BioTek), excitation at 530 nm , and emission at 590 nm ], and the growth inhibition was calculated with the following equation
\% growth inhibition

$$
=(-100) \times \frac{\left(\text { Signal }_{\text {sample }}-\text { Signal }_{\text {DMSO }}\right)}{\left(\text { Signal }_{\text {DMSO }}-\text { Signal }_{\text {bedaquiline }}\right)}
$$

$\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ was calculated as the concentration of the compound that caused more than $90 \%$ growth reduction. Each test was performed in duplicate.
M. smegmatis and M. abscessus. MIC $_{90}$ s were determined against M. smegmatis $\mathrm{mc}^{2} 155 \mathrm{pTEC} 27$ and $M$. abscessus ATCC 19977(pTEC27) by the broth microdilution method. 96-well flat-bottom tissue culture plates (Sarstedt, 83.3924.500) were used. ${ }^{49}$ In the third well of each row, two times the desired highest concentration of the tested compound was added in 7 H 9 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ ADS and $0.05 \%$ Tween 80. Each compound was diluted two-fold in a nine-point serial dilution. The concentration of the starting inoculum was $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The starting inoculum was diluted from a preculture at the mid-log phase $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600} 0.3\right.$ to 0.7$)$, and an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of 0.1 was correlated to $1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{cfu} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The plates were sealed with parafilm, placed in a container with moist tissue, and incubated for 3 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each plate had eight negative controls ( $1 \%$ DMSO) and eight positive controls ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ amikacin). After
incubation, the plates were monitored by the RFP measurement ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=544 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=590 \mathrm{~nm}$, BMG labtech Fluostar Optima). The assay was performed in duplicate, and the results were validated by the OD measurement at 550 nm .

Every assay plate contained eight wells with $1 \%$ DMSO as the negative control, which corresponds to $100 \%$ bacterial growth and eight wells with amikacin $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ as a positive control in which $100 \%$ inhibition of bacterial growth was reached. Controls were used to monitor the assay quality through the determination of the $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ score. The $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ factor was calculated as follows

$$
Z^{\prime}=1-\frac{3\left(\mathrm{SD}_{\text {amikacin }}+\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{DMSO}}\right)}{M_{\text {amikacin }}-M_{\mathrm{DMSO}}}
$$

( $\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation, $\mathrm{M}=$ mean).
The percentage of growth inhibition was calculated by the equation:

$$
\% \text { growth inhibition }=-100 \% \times \mathrm{RFP}(\text { sample })
$$

- RFP(DMSO)/RFP(DMSO) - RFP(amikacin).
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