BEIRUTER TEXTE UND STUDIEN . BAND 25

LOVE, MADNESS, AND POETRY AN INTERPRETATION OF THE MAGNUN LEGEND

BY

ASCAD E. KHAIRALLAH

BEIRUT 1980

IN KOMMISSION BEI FRANZ STEINER VERLAG • WIESBADEN

Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek Sachsen-Anhalt urn:nbn:de:gbv:3:5-89731/fragment/page=00000001





AS'AD E. KHAIRALLAH

LOVE, MADNESS, AND POETRY An Interpretation of the Mağnūn Legend

BEIRUTER TEXTE UND STUDIEN HERAUSGEGEBEN VOM ORIENT-INSTITUT DER DEUTSCHEN MORGENLÄNDISCHEN GESELLSCHAFT

BAND 25

LOVE, MADNESS, AND POETRY An Interpretation of the Mağnūn Legend

BY AS'AD E. KHAIRALLAH

BEIRUT 1980

In Kommission bei Franz Steiner Verlag . Wiesbaden

ISBN 3-515-03176-6 ISSN — 0067-4931

Orient-Institut der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft Beirut/Libanon, B.P. 2988

Mit Mitteln des Bundesministers für Forschung und Technologie gedruckt in der Imprimerie Catholique, Beirut

For Ioana and the Future

PREFACE

This monograph is the first installment of a more inclusive study that I had planned as I wrote my Ph.D. dissertation at Princeton University. After finishing the dissertation, I had hesitated to publish it and hoped to be able to write the second part of this study, namely that dealing with the European side of the Mağnūn archetype, and especially as it was expressed by the French poet, Louis ARAGON. My research for this second part had been almost completed before the start of the Lebanese civil war in 1975. Yet many practical difficulties have prevented me from writing out the essay I had planned. At present, I realize that it is more fruitful to accept Professor Hans Robert Roemer's advice and encouragement and Professor Ulrich Haarmann's help, as well as the generous offer of the Orient-Institut der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft to publish this first part as a separate monograph in the "Beiruter Texte und Studien", hoping to have soon the opportunity to follow it with a second essay dealing with Aragon.

Except for minor corrections and changes, the dissertation has been kept as originally presented. I have added, however, a short introduction in which I briefly discuss the major scholarly contributions that have appeared in the meantime and that are relevant to my subject.

I should like to express my grateful appreciation to all my professors for their kind encouragement and generous help throughout my studies at Princeton University. In the preparation of this dissertation, I have received valuable advice and guidance from my professors at the Department of Near Eastern Studies. My warm thanks go to my adviser, Professor Andras Hamori, for his continuous attention, and for many valuable suggestions; to Professor Roy Mottahedeh, for his understanding and technical help; to Professors Martin Dickson and Michel Mazzaoui for help and advice at different stages of my work; and to Professor L.C. Brown, then Chairman of the Near Eastern Studies Department, for his many kidnesses and, in particular, for giving me the opportunity to conduct research for a year in Iran. I should also like to thank the members

PREFACE

of the Program in Comparative Literature, in particular Professors Ralph Freedman and Joseph Frank, for their expert counsel and continuous encouragement. Throughout my years at Princeton, the inspiration, moral support, and *baraka* of the late Professor Emeritus Philip K. Hitti was ever-present. To him my gratitude remains boundless.

The same support and encouragement I have been fortunate to receive at Freiburg University from Professor Hans Robert Roemer, Director of the Orientalisches Seminar. To him I am indebted in more than one way. Not only did he introduce me to Persian in 1964 and teach me, both at the Orient-Institut in Beirut and at Freiburg, many of the secrets of the art, but also his kind presence in the time of need and his ever active and motivating spirit have done most to bring this essay into print.

To all those, at the Orient-Institut of the DMG in Beirut, who helped bring this book to its present form, and especially Professor Ulrich Haarmann, who accepted this essay in the "Beiruter Texte und Studien" and supervised its publication, and Dr. Barbara Kellner-Heinkele for her invaluable help in correcting the proofs and finalizing the Index, similarly, to my Freiburg colleagues and friends, in particular Dr. Erika Glassen, who offered many valuable suggestions, and Dr. Paul Andersen, who spotted several English mistakes, I should like to express my deepest thanks.

Finally, my warmest gratitude goes to Dr. Mubarak Amar for long discussions and enlightening company while writing this dissertation, and to my wife, Ioana Khairallah, for help in preparing the Index and, above all, for her patience and love.

Freiburg, December, 1979

As'ad E. Khairallah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	IX
TABLE OF CONTENTS	XI
INTRODUCTION	1
Chapter One: MADNESS OR POETIC VISION	11
Chapter Two: The Cultural Background and the Early	
VERSIONS OF THE MAGNUN LEGEND	27
I. Oral Tradition	27
II. The Role of the $\check{S}\bar{a}^{c}ir$ in the Heroic Age	31
III. Islam and the Poet	37
IV. Ta'wīl and Ṣūfism	43
V. The Early Versions of the Legend	49
Chapter Three: Wālibī's Dīwān of Mağnūn	57
I. Wālibī and his Qays Ibn al-Mulawwah al-Mağnūn wa-dīwānuh	57
II. The Mağnūn Archetype	62
A. Poetry	62
B. Love	92
C. Madness	82
III. The Unity and the Significance of the Archetype	94
Chapter Four: Ğāмī	97
I. The New Complexity in Meaning and Form	98
A. Ambiguity and Ṣūfī Symbolism	98
B. The Epic Romance and the Use of Convention	103
II. Ğāmī's Laylī u Mağnūn	106
A. The Archetypal Character and the Quest of Mağnūn	107
B. Ğāmī's Creative Use of Convention	120
CONCLUDING REMARKS	127
Appendix: Al-Mağnūn	135
A Selected Bibliography	145
INDEX	155

Whatever we look at, and however we look at it, we see only through our own eyes. For this reason a science is never made by one man, but by many. The individual merely offers his contribution, and in this sense only do I dare to speak of my way of seeing things.

C.G. JUNG

In the confrontation between the "I" and the Other, a "thirst for the Absolute" seems to make this world look like a desert and to set sensitive souls on an unremitting quest for the hidden Water of Life. Although many a journey may lead to a mirage, these journeys do not fail to endow life with a meaningful goal, and to enliven it with hope.

For its visions of the Invisible, pre-Islamic Arabia found its guides in poets, seers, and madmen. The $s\bar{a}$ *ir* was a combination of all three. But his vision was only one of many: Arab society consisted of many tribes and worshiped many gods. However, with the rise of Islam, this multiplicity of visions was replaced by the Revelation, the many gods by the One, and the poets by the Prophet.

In addition to organized religion, Greek rationalism and disillusionment with the material world led to a reaction that found one of its best expressions in the Mağnūn figure.

Although the rise of the Mağnūn legend was due to a specific historical context, its simple Arabic structure — a mosaic of anecdotes and poetic fragments revolving around the love-madness of a certain poet called Mağnūn — accommodated various levels of interpretation. Indeed, the Legend was the product, not only of the popular mind, but also of Şūfī motifs. It achieved its universal appeal, because it expressed a collective need for rebellion against the rationalist claims of society. If reduced to his basic characteristics, Mağnūn remains a poet, a lover, and a madman. Each

of these aspects of his personality was a common motif in Arabic poetry. I propose, however, to show that Mağnūn's uniqueness rests on (1) the intrinsic unity between the three aspects of his character, (2) the fact that he is the only love-poet who is described as actually insane, and who leaves society in order to live and die with the wild animals of the desert.

Thus, love, madness, and poetry must be seen as archetypal channels for communion with the divine, channels that were fused in one legendary character who symbolized different quests in different contexts. In all these contexts, however, Mağnūn represents the rejection of established intellectual, social, and psychological limitations and symbolizes the basic yearning of the "I" to be at one with the Other. His project is to fulfill the eternal human desire to make the part identical with the Whole, a project that is conceivable only within the realms of love, madness, and poetry.

In the Arabic context — contrary to what is generally believed — the Mağnūn theme does not simply express a romantic nostalgia for life in nature, but it also exhibits clear Ṣūfī overtones. Before reaching 'Abdarraḥmān Ǧāmī (d. 1492), Mağnūn had become, in CORBIN's words, "l'œil par lequel Dieu se contemple soi-même,"¹ and Persian poets — Niẓāmī (d. 1203), Amīr Husraw (d. 1325) — had already invested the theme with epic breath and symbolic ambiguity.

However, it was Ğāmī's contribution to set Mağnūn on his allegorical quest for self-purification, leading him to self-annihilation in union with the Friend. In Ğāmī's *Laylī u Mağnūn*, most of the motifs found before him are integrated into a mystic romance, in which Mağnūn's quest follows a spiral curve, gradually transcending the limitations of ego, society, and material world. Although Ğāmī invents few motifs and symbols, his successful integration of traditional poetic elements within his metaphysical outlook makes his variations on the Mağnūn theme especially important for the understanding of Mağnūn's archetypal character.

The present study consists of four chapters and a conclusion. Chapter I sets the theoretical framework of the problem; Chapter II discusses the cultural background and the early versions of the Legend — those of Ibn Qutayba (d. 889), Abu l-Farağ al-Işfahānī (d. 967), and Abū Bakr al-Wālibī (late ninth century ?) in his Qays b. al-Mulawwah al-Mağnūn wa-dīwānuh; Chapter III is an analysis of the Mağnūn archetype in Wālibī's book; and Chapter IV centers on Ğāmī's Laylī u Mağnūn. The conclusion sums up the main points made in the essay and suggests some possible

^{1.} H. CORBIN et M. MO'ĪN: "Introduction" to: Rūzbihān Baqlī Šīrāzī: Le jasmin des fidèles d'amour. Tehran, Paris 1958, 14.

angles of comparison between Ğāmī's poem and ARAGON's *Le Fou d'Elsa* (1963). In addition, and although parts of the Mağnūn story have been translated into Western languages,² I found it advisable to append to this essay a full translation of the earliest, extant version of the Legend, i.e., that of Ibn Qutayba.

When I wrote this dissertation in 1970-71, I was not aware of the PARRY-LORD theories of oral formulaic composition, nor of some important monographs and articles written either shortly before I finished my dissertation, or simultaneously with it but after I had finished writing the second chapter, which deals with the historical background and genesis of the Mağnūn legend.

Now I realize that the works published between 1970 and 1979 do not require me to change my thesis, but come to strengthen it and to confirm the validity of my approach.

As a study of a poetic figure that crosses the boundaries of the Arabic culture, the present essay has two inseparable dimensions: the comparative and the Islamic. From the comparative point of view, and as already mentioned, my central thesis is that Mağnūn is the archetype of the triadic unity of love, madness, and poetry as channels for identity with, and annihilation in, the Beloved. The appeal of this archetype does not only go beyond the Arabic culture to find its place in the main Islamic literary traditions, but has recently been enriched with a new interpretation by ARAGON's epic romance *Le Fou d'Elsa*. Some of the many interesting aspects of comparing ARAGON's use of this archetype with its usage by Arabs and Persians are only hinted at in my "Concluding Remarks" in anticipation of a fuller analysis in my prospective second part of this study, devoted to ARAGON's poem.

Equally interesting, from the comparative point of view, are the genesis of the Mağnūn legend and the fact that here we have perhaps one of the rare instances of an archetypal character whose formation seems to have taken place in the light of history. It would certainly be naïve (if not contradictory with the definition of an archetype) to claim that Mağnūn was totally without predecessors in history, at least in some of his single facets. One may easily think of Enkidu's early, pristine purity and his life with the animals in *The Epic of Gilgamesh*; or of Orpheus, the divinely-born poet, whose songs drew animals, trees, and even rocks after him, and whose

^{2.} Most excerpts have been translated from *al-Agānī*; see, for instance, M. WEISWEILER'S *Arabesken der Liebe*. Leiden 1954, 70-78, and R. BASSET: *Mille et un contes*, récits, et légendes arabes. II. Paris 1926, 105-109.

love for Eurydice was a special kind of obsession somehow similar to Mağnūn's love-madness.³ In the Arabic tradition itself, it is not difficult to find illustrations of love-madness, or of poets who claimed madness in love. But Mağnūn's uniqueness seems to consist in the inseparability of these three facets of his one character. And here the Arabic and Islamic background seems to have played a decisive role in the genesis of the legend, thus combining in one single character Mağnūn's archetypal aspects. That is where this essay touches upon matters that concern the Arabist as well as the Islamist.

In view of the fact that, outside the religious sphere and perhaps for fear of anthropomorphism, the Islamic cultural tradition remained rather poor in personifications of mythical heroes or legendary figures, the Mağnūn archetype gains much in significance. For it is highly remarkable that Islam had to generate (or at least adopt and variate on) this particular type of hero as the poet's best persona for asserting his right to exist along with the Prophet. It is also not surprising that it was the Sūfīs who made the best of this persona and animated it with the highest symbolic and allegorical allusions, integrating into it the various popular and nonorthodox elements that had been denied literary recognition.

For the Sūfīs, Mağnūn, the love-mad poet, became the highest symbol for transcending the Prophet's mediation into the direct experience of communion and unity with God. Whence the ambivalence between profane and sacred love, as well as profane and sacred love-poetry. And here lies the difference between Mağnūn and the 'Udrī poets as well as between profane 'Udrī poetry and the Sūfī understanding of this poetry according to their own interpretation (ta'wīl). For the same ta'wīl that the Sūfīs needed in interpreting the Koran for their mystical purposes was again at work in their understanding of their own and of the already existing 'Udrī poetry.⁴ This Sūfī exploitation of the symbolic potential in the Arabic language and literary tradition reaches its culmination in the Persian poetic tradition, and especially with Ğāmī.

^{3.} It may not be totally accidental that Orpheus was the source of extatic mysteries and Mağnūn became the outstanding symbol for Şūfī mystic trance. But to go about investigating the possible relationship between the figure of Mağnūn and that of Orpheus is a matter far beyond the scope of the present essay. I have, therefore, limited myself to the Arabic tradition and attempted to show how a specific cultural heritage was functional in the genesis and development of this archetypal figure.

^{4.} Concerning the Sūfī hermeneutic, see esp. L. MASSIGNON: Essai sur les origines du lexique technique de la mystique musulmane. 2e éd., Paris 1954; H. RITTER: Das Meer der Seele. Leiden 1955; P. NWYIA: Exégèse coranique et langage mystique. Beirut 1970; and H. CORBIN: En Islam iranien: aspects spirituels et philosophiques, 4 vols., Paris 1971-72.

5

Before embarking upon the specific analysis of the poetry and anecdotes attributed to Mağnūn, then upon the development of the legend in Persian poetry, the present study offers a general chapter (Chapter II) meant to be an outline of the cultural, religious, and poetic conditions that were functional in creating the suitable climate for the rise of the legend. This chapter presents under a specific light a material already known to the Islamist, though not necessarily to the comparatist. It is, thus, indispensable for the second part of this study, I mean the one dealing with ARAGON's *Le Fou d'Elsa*. Also, being very general, this chapter is naturally opened to various kinds of up-dating if one takes into consideration the fact that it touches on practically most of the important aspects of Arabic culture and Islam. I should like, therefore, to mention briefly the major works that appeared in the last decade and that are relevant to the present essay.

Thematic studies concerning love and Sūfī poetry in Arabic and Persian have been rather few and rarely bear any immediate relevance to my thesis. L.A. GIFFEN's Theory of Profane Love among the Arabs: the Development of the Genre (New York 1971, London 1972) deals mostly with concepts of love rather than with poetry; S. AL-'AZM's Fil-Hubb walhubb al-'udrī (Beirut, 1974)5 is more of an ideological approach to the phenomenon; ADUNIS's at-Tābit wal-mutahawwil: baht fil-ittibā' wal-ibdā' 'ind al-'arab, 3 vols. (Beirut, 1974-78) comes closest to my interpretation of Mağnūn's poetry, although ADUNIS centers his analysis on Gamīl's poetry.⁶ On the Persian side, the most important general contribution is H. CORBIN's major work, En Islam iranien: aspects spirituels et philosophiques (Paris 1971-72), then some specific essays and articles on Sufi poetry or on Ğāmī. Among these one may mention in particular E. MEYEROVITCH's Mystique et poésie en Islam: Djalāl-ud-Dīn Rūmī et l'ordre des derviches tourneurs (Paris 1972), W.C. CHITTICK's edition of Gami's Nagd an-nusūs fī šarh nagš al-fusūs (Tehran 1977) and his article, The Perfect Man as the Prototype of the Self in the Sufism of Jāmī in Studia Islamica (1979), and finally A.R. FARHADI'S L'Amour dans les récits de Djami in Studia Iranica (1975).7



^{5.} This is the date of the second edition. I was not able to see the first edition (Beirut 1968).

^{6.} See at-Tābit wal-mutahawwil. I, 227-57.

^{7.} I have not been able to read Joseph N. Bell's *Love Theory in Later Hanbalite Islam* (New York 1979). Otherwise, none of these contributions affects my thesis about Mağnūn's archetype, its triadic unity, and significance.

However, the more relevant contributions concern particularly Chapter II and center on pre- and early Islamic poetry. In this field, the new research is directly relevant to Chapter II and section 1 of Chapter III, in which I discuss the genesis and composition of Magnun's legend and poetry, and where I have tried to suggest that not only Magnun's legend but also his poetry were "collectively composed". In doing so, I have naturally dealt with the two essential questions of authenticity⁸ and structure in classical Arabic poetry, from which I tried to develop the concept of "collective composition".9 Now, the new research in this field focuses on the questions of composition and structure, while it tends to lay less emphasis on, or, at least, to give a new perspective to, the problem of authenticity. On the one hand, we have new studies in the dynamics and restrictions of composition: e.g., M.C. LYONS and P. CACHIA: The Effect of Monorhyme on Arabic Poetic Production. In: Journal of Arabic Literature (= JAL) I (1970), 3-13; J. MONROE: Oral Composition in pre-Islamic Poetry. In: JAL III (1972), 1-53; J.N. MATTOCK: Repetition in the Poetry of Imru'al-Qays. In: Glasgow University Oriental Society. Transactions 24 (1974), 34-50; M.V. McDonald: Orally Transmitted Poetry in pre-Islamic Arabia and Other pre-Literate Societies. In: JAL IX (1978), 14-31; as well as two important monographs dealing with the various aspects of the problem: J. BENCHEIKH: Poétique arabe: essai sur les voies d'une création (Paris 1975), and M. ZWETTLER: The Oral Tradition of Classical Arabic Poetry: Its Character and Implications (Columbus 1978). Most of these contributions were highly and fruitfully inspired by the work of Milman PARRY and Albert B. LORD, especially by the latter's book, The Singer of Tales (New York 1965).10

On the other hand, we have new studies in structure and motifs, in a serious attempt to discover an inner distinctive form in classical Arabic poetry, regardless of, or beyond, the obstacles raised by the problems of authenticity and the restrictions of monorhyme and the lack of organic unity in the classical *qasīda*. To mention but a few of such valid attempts, one may cite: M. BATESON'S *Structural Continuity in Poetry* (Paris 1970); R.

^{8.} For an excellent bibliographical and thematic discussion of the problems of transmission and authenticity, see F. SEZGIN: Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums. II, Leiden 1975, 14-33.

^{9.} See also my Collective Composition and the Collector's Art: Observations on the Dīwān of Mağnūn Lailā. In:La signification du bas moyen âge dans l'histoire et la culture du monde musulman. Aix-en-Provence 1978, 117-25.

^{10.} See the large bibliography on oral composition in ZWETTLER's above-mentioned book.

JACOBI'S Studien zur Poetik der altarabischen Qaşide (Wiesbaden 1971); K. ABU-DEEB'S Towards a Structural Analysis of pre-Islamic Poetry. In: International Journal of Middle East Studies VI (1975), 148-84, and his two Arabic monographs, Fil-Binya al- $\bar{i}q\bar{a}'iyya$ liš-ši'r al-'arabī (Beirut 1974) and *Ğadaliyyat al-hafā' wat-tağallī*: dirāsa binyawiyya fi š-ši'r (Beirut 1979). The relevant points in these contributions are too many to be discussed here, and I hope to deal with them in a separate essay.

For the present, suffice it to say that the two above-mentioned new trends are by no means contradictory; they are rather complementary and may be combined to open many fruitful vistas on classical Arabic poetry. Moreover, my main concept of "collective composition" has much to gain from such an important concept as oral formulaic composition, and from the work done on the effect of monorhyme and conventional restrictions on the creative process in Arabic poetry. It goes without saying that, since I was not aware of Albert LORD's theories on oral poetry, and since I do not use terms such as "formulaic expressions", my emphasis on the capital importance of oral tradition may not sound as strong as it should have sounded. But the reader will realize that terms such as "stereotyped motifs" can easily be replaced by "formulaic expressions", while terms such as "popular additions and accretion" were meant to point out the effect of oral tradition.

While the above-mentioned scholars generally tend to accept the authenticity of the poetry attributed to the classical poets and to find in it many structural patterns that corroborate this authenticity, they would not deny the fact that inspite of the authenticity of a considerable corpus of what was ascribed to the classical period, much was also invented later, nor would they insist in all cases on the unity of the poem.

Thus, we have always the huge quantity of what one may call "floating verses" and even floating *qit* 'as (short poems, or stanzas), which belong to no one in particular. And while it is true that some attempts have been made to characterize the individual styles of some poets, the fact is that these attempts, though much enhanced by the recent research, remain unfortunately limited to the smaller part of the poetic corpus attributed to this period.

In other words, the two main reasons I have suggested for collective composition, namely, floating verses and stereotyped prosody (with its themes as well as its cultural and moral contexts) remain indisputably valid. Thus, to say that a poet has personality, that a poem has structural unity, and that there are specific content and style which help us recognize one or more classical poets, does not imply that some poems and dīwāns of even

these "individualistic" poets do not include, besides what may be called their typical or individual poetry, other verses that belong to the collective style and express the common stock in trade. This kind of verses, when pertaining to a common description (*wasf*) of nature, or of personal, tribal, moral, or physical standards (i.e., stereotyped values), could be and were in fact often attributed to more than one poet, so that such great experts as al-Işfahānī or even al-Aṣma'ī were not able to decide to whom such verses belonged. Thus, a look at $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n Magn\bar{u}n Layl\bar{a}$, collated by 'Abdassattār A. FARRĀĞ (Cairo 1963), shows us that more than sixty poets, from Imra'alqays to al-Buḥturī, have contributed to the composition of the dīwān. Many of the verses or *qit'as* reascribed to five, six, or more poets: e.g., Nos. 51, 82, 84, 93, 99, 105, 124, 135, 140, 144, 153.¹¹

Consequently, the more general and abstract the verse, the more likely to belong to the category of "floating verses". And in this general pool of what is not particularly individualistic or personalized, the whole nation was able to contribute. And here lies the importance of this pool for detecting the deep-seated artistic, moral, and psychic inclinations of a nation, or in better terms, the nation's collective unconscious.

It is then these very verses and poems, which traditional criticism and scholarship have tended to regard as weak pastiche, that seem to me to present a particularly rich soil for, and a genuine expression of, the collective unconscious, and of the general aesthetic taste in which it is exteriorized.

My work is thus part of the new trend of paying attention to the poetry itself. And it seems to me that we should not confine our interest only to what has come down to us as standard or court poetry. Nor is it enough to dismiss "unauthentic" poetry as pastiche, as BLACHÈRE¹² often does, or as below our modern, aesthetic sense, as does Ṭāhā ḤUSAYN when he vilifies the story of Mağnūn considering it unauthentic and totally artificial, while refusing to see its symbolic dimensions.¹³

The fact is that the Mağnūn romance was written down gradually, and that, as a genuine collective composition, it grew on with every new version or performance. Here too, we have a kind of "Singers of tales" who were

^{11.} Another aspect of this collective composition is the integration of anonymous poetry into the $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$: tens of couplets are adopted from Ibn $D\bar{a}w\bar{u}d$'s *K. az-Zahra*, as clearly shown in FARRAĞ's footnotes.

^{12.} See esp. R. BLACHÈRE'S *Histoire de la littérature arabe*. 3 vols., Paris 1952-66. Cf. also, in connection with this point, W. HEINRICHS: *Die altarabische Qasīde als Dichtkunst*. In: Der Islam 51(1974), 118-24, esp. 120.

^{13.} See T. HUSAYN: Min Tārīh al-adab al-'arabī. Beirut 1970, 491.

9

the collectors or $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}s$. In the case of Mağnūn, we have three basic Arabic performances which I have called "versions" of one dīwān, and which I have tried to analyze, seeking their message insofar as the essential vision of a culture is collectively conveyed through them. Thus, I hope to have illustrated the capacity of such a dīwān to represent the dreaming level of the collective consciousness, and to have raised the question whether the world of poetic dream should be deemed an "escape", or rather the natural level of consciousness of the "intuitive man" (in NIETZSCHE's terms¹⁴), where dreaming, day-dreaming, as well as legendary and mythological mental activities correspond to the abstract ideas of the "rational man."

This question will be taken up in my second part, where I hope to show how a socially "committed" poet, Louis ARAGON, employs his mythopoetic imagination and puts the archetype of the love-mad poet in the service of his own vision of the future.

14. See G. CLIVE: The Philosophy of Nietzsche. New York 1965, 513-15.

CHAPTER ONE

MADNESS OR POETIC VISION

Muslim poets seem to have been fascinated with the figure of Qays b. al-Mulawwah, better known in Arabic as al-Mağnūn (the Madman).¹ The story of his love for Laylā has always known a vogue unequalled by any other literary theme. Although Mağnūn's legend² started on a popular level, it became and for centuries remained the Song of Songs of Şūfī (i.e. Islamic mystic) poets, and until very recently³ its theme was particularly associated with mystical love. Nevertheless, from the earliest extant version of the legend, translated here in the Appendix, it is easy to see a simplicity and naïveté in the narrative which remind one of its popular origin.

Aside from the introductory and concluding chapters, the present study will consist basically of three chapters: First, an analysis of the formative factors which helped the rise and development of the legend; next an interpretation of the legend as represented on the popular level (with some $S\bar{u}f\bar{i}$ overtones) by Ab \bar{u} Bakr al-W $\bar{a}lib\bar{i}$ (9th century ?) in his Qays b. al-Mulawwah al-Mağn $\bar{u}n$ wa-d $\bar{i}w\bar{a}nuh$; and lastly, a study of $G\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s mystical use of the theme in his Layl $\bar{i}u$ Mağn $\bar{u}n$. The methodological basis of this dissertation will be the archetypal approach.

The present chapter will be divided into four sections, of which the first will briefly explain the reasons for discussing the above-mentioned material; the second will take a look at the comparative approaches already applied to the Mağnūn theme and, by the same process, elaborate upon the relevance of archetypal criticism; the third will attempt to propose a

^{1.} Another common Arabic name for him is Mağnūn Laylā (the madman of Laylā); in other Islamic languages his nickname is simply Mağnūn. Hereafter, I shall use the forms "Mağnūn" and "Laylā".

^{2.} For "legend" I adopt the definition of the *Dictionary of World Literature*. Ed. J.T. Shipley, New York 1953: "Legend... (3) unauthenticated narrative, folk-embroidered from historical material, sometimes popularly deemed historical."

^{3.} Until the appearance in 1963 of *Le Fou d'Elsa* by the French Surrealist and Marxist poet, Louis ARAGON (1897-).

general, socio-psychological hypothesis which might help explain the literary appeal of the Mağnūn figure; and the fourth will briefly submit the thesis of the present study.

Ι

Despite the popularity of the Mağnūn theme in Arabic literature, it was first with the Laylī u Mağnūn of the Persian poet Niẓāmī (d. 1203) that the story acquired the organic form of an epic romance. Moreover, some scholars tend to believe that it was first with Niẓāmī that the legend acquired its mystical dimensions. Thus, contrasting Niẓāmī's version with its popular background, Max WEISWEILER says that,

In jener Zeit, als man erstmal Mağnūns Liebesklage im Volke sang, ahnte man auch noch nicht, daß er einst den Mystikern zum Sinnbild der menschlichen Seele werden sollte, die, in der Wüste des irdischen Lebens verschmachtend, sich nach der durch Lailā verkörperten Gottheit sehnt.⁴

If the above passage implies that before Nizāmī the legend of Mağnūn had not been invested with its mystical symbolism, then I disagree with WEISWEILER in this regard. Indeed, one of the aims of the present study is to show that, before Nizāmī, and perhaps right from its first extant version, the legend of Mağnūn had already acquired its mystical dimensions, though clearly not on Nizāmī's level of complexity or artistic consciousness. On the other hand, although Nizāmī is widely held to be the high point in the lyrical expression of the legend, it was only with Ğāmī (d. 1492) that the Mağnūn theme acquired its highest consciously mystical expression. On this point, most scholars who studied the theme seem to agree with E.G. BROWNE's opinion that, "In Jami the mystical and pantheistic thought of Persia may be said to find its most complete and vivid expression."⁵

However, $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s high rank in mystical thought does not necessarily make him the best $\tilde{S}\bar{u}f\bar{i}$ poet. A.J. ARBERRY rightly remarks that it is rather R $\bar{u}m\bar{i}$ (d. 1273) who established "an irrefutable claim to be the greatest

^{4.} M. WEISWEILER: Die früharabische Liebesgeschichte und ihr historischer Hintergrund. In: Saeculum IX (1958), 166. See also M.G. HILAL: al-Hayāt al-'āţifiyya bayna l-'udriyya waş-şūfiyya. 2nd ed., Cairo 1960, 305-06.

^{5.} E.G. BROWNE: A Literary History of Persia. III, Cambridge 1928, 548. See also 'A.A. HIKMAT: Romeo u Juliet, muqāyasa bā Laylī u Mağnūn. Tehran 1941, 208-9; M.G. HILĀL: op. cit. 162, 239, 306; and A.S. LEVEND: Leylâ ve Mecnun hikâyesi. Ankara 1959, 380, where Ğāmī is considered one of the three masters who treated the theme.

mystical poet Islam and perhaps the whole world, has ever produced."⁶ Hence, it is necessary to keep in mind that BROWNE qualifies his statement about Ğāmī by adding that,

Though he may have been equalled or even surpassed by others in each of the numerous realms of literature which he cultivated, no other Persian poet or writer has been so successful in so many different fields, and the enthusiastic admiration of his most eminent contemporaries is justified by his prolific and many-sided genius.⁷

Thus, one may judge that Gāmī is neither the greatest Sūfī nor the best lyrical poet of Iran. Nonetheless, in comparison with the different variations on the Mağnūn theme, I am inclined to agree with M.G. HILĀL and 'ALĪ HIKMAT (see below) in judging Gāmī's poem to be the most thoroughly mystical.

Π

Scholars have been attentive to the persistence of the Mağnūn theme throughout Islamic poetry. They have been proceeding along lines similar to those suggested by Maud BODKIN in her Archetypal Patterns in Poetry. BODKIN observes that "we may study the themes that show this persistence within the life of a community or a race, and may compare the different forms which they assume..."⁸ So at least three attempts have been made to trace the development of the Mağnūn theme: first, 'Alī A. HIKMAT's Romeo u Juliet, muqāyasa bā Laylī u Mağnūn, Tehran 1941; second, M. G. HILĀL's al-Hayāt al-'ātifiyya bayna l-'udriyya waṣ-sūfiyya, Cairo 1954; and third, A.S. LEVEND's Leylâ ve Mecnun hikâyesi, Ankara 1959. These books are helpful surveys of the literary development of the legend. Combined, they cover the most important versions of the story and point out some of its most recurrent motifs. These books lack, however, any archetypal approach similar to the one which underlies Maud BODKIN's thematic studies.

Without such a guiding principle, a study of a recurrent theme is liable to degenerate into haphazard comparisons, and may, at times, become an arbitrary enumeration of parallels and influences. Such is the case, unfortunately, with the three studies already mentioned.

^{6.} A. J. ARBERRY: Sufism. London 1950, 117.

^{7.} BROWNE: op. cit. III, 548.

^{8.} M. BODKIN: Archetypal Patterns in Poetry. Oxford 1965, 2.

1) 'Alī A. HIKMAT's book is basically a comparison of Shakespeare's *Romeo and Juliet* with various Persian versions of the Mağnūn theme, mainly that of Niẓāmī. Chiefly interested in Persian romances, HIKMAT relegates the Arabic versions to occasional mention as background sources. His chapter on Ğāmī's poem tends to be a summary of the narrative, coupled with extensive excerpts from the text. While HIKMAT stresses ĞĀMĪ'S Ṣūfism, he overlooks the Ṣūfī dimension in his long section on Niẓāmī. He concentrates instead on citing a long list of similarities and divergences between *Romeo and Juliet* and *Juliet* and *Laylī u Mağnūn*, without offering much analysis, or any general principles.⁹ One should not forget, however, that HIKMAT's book is significant, because it was a pioneering comparative approach to the Mağnūn theme.

2) A.S. LEVEND'S Leylâ ve Mecnun hikâyesi is a useful bibliographical reference and a helpful survey of the theme. Its contribution is mainly on the Turkish side. Its method consists of a simple summary of each narrative, interspersed with poetic excerpts and occasional comments. The conclusion of the book — with its hasty comparisons and value judgments, and its cursory glance at recurrent motifs and characters — is of little critical value.

3) M.G. HILĀL's al-Hayāt al-'āţifiyya bayna l-'udriyya waş-şūfiyya aptly depicts the historical background of the Magnun theme and analyzes its evolution in the Arabic and Persian traditions. The author's thesis is twofold: (1) In Arabic, the discussion of the Magnun story and poetry was limited to transmitters (rāwīs) and historians quarrelling about questions of authenticity. In Persian, however, the theme was appropriated by poets and thinkers. (2) In Arabic, Mağnūn's poetry was still of the 'Udrī kind, whereas in Persian it was Sūfī.¹⁰ Within this general framework, HILĀL includes much information, mainly concerning the Arabic and Persian literary and religious traditions, and the development of 'Udrī into Şūfī love. Thus, of the three books under discussion, HILAL's is the most inclusive study of the lyrical and mystical dimensions of the legend. His book helps one understand the paths of development connecting the early 'Udrī poetry and the poetry of Ğāmī. HILAL's main approach tends towards the history of ideas and their relationship to the narrative variations.

^{9.} While insisting on similarities and refusing to recognize Nizāmī's mystical symbolism (*Romeo u Juliet*, 148), HIKMAT dedicates entire pages to recording trifling comparisons such as the observation that both Shakespeare and Nizāmī have a passage which refers to flies. *Ibid.* 136-37.

^{10.} See HILAL: op. cit. 2.

Though inclusive, HILĀL's scope is not always supported by a sound critical method. He quickly dismisses the controversy concerning Mağnūn's historicity¹¹ only to settle for an oversimplified solution. On the one hand, he accepts the authenticity of the poetry attributed to Mağnūn, relying in particular on *Kitāb al-Agānī* of Abu l-Farağ al-Isfahānī (d. 967) and *Tazyīn al-aswāq* of Dāwūd al-Antākī (d. 1599), which he deems the "most reliable sources."¹² On the other hand, he singles out anecdotes which can be interpreted mystically and ascribes them to later Şūfī additions.¹³ Thus, he concludes that the Şūfīs contributed to the prose anecdotes, but not to the poetry itself. This untenable distinction allows him first to establish a clear dichotomy between the 'Udrī and the Şūfī traditions of the legend, and secondly to claim that the poetry connected with Mağnūn was 'Udrī in Arabic and Sūfī only in Persian.

In studying a legend, one may choose to focus on its early formative period, and to analyze the different factors which brought it into being. One may also consider the legend as being already formed and study its narrative and imagery. Most scholars have chosen the former approach. But the skeptical attitude which D.S. MARGOLIOUTH (*The Origins of Arabic Poetry*. In: JRAS, July 1925, 417-49) and TĀHĀ HUSAYN (*Fi š-Ši'r al-ğahilī*. Cairo 1926) assumed towards the *Ğāhiliyya* and early Islamic poetry had the effect of reviving the controversy over Mağnūn's existence. This controversy does not add greatly to our literary appreciation of the legend. In a well-documented essay, KRAČKOVSKIJ argues for the real existence of Mağnūn in the latter half of the seventh century.¹⁴ Again, his historicobibliographical approach leads him astray as to the nature of the cultural factors which explain the formation and the popularity of the legend. The major role played by the Sūfīs is pointed out by H. RITTER in a short commentary added to his translation of KRAČKOVSKIJ's essay.¹⁵

Apparently unaware of both KRAČKOVSKIJ's essay, and of RITTER's argument, HILĀL fails to see Ṣūfī strains in the Arabic poetry ascribed to Mağnūn. Furthermore, he totally neglects any mention of Abū Bakr al-

14. See Die frühgeschichte der erzählung von Macnūn und Lailā in der arabischen literatur. Trans. H. Ritter. In: Oriens VIII (1955), 48.

15. See Nachwort des übersetzers. In: KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 49-50.

^{11.} See *ibid*. 2 and 42.

^{. «}أشعاره في أوثق مصادرها » [12. Ibid. 89

^{13.} See *ibid*. 90 *et seq*. Almost all the motifs mentioned by HILĀL as Ṣūfī additions can be found in: Abu l-Farağ al-Işfahānī: *Kitāb al-Agānī*. II, Beirut 1955, 21, 28-29, 54 *et passim;* and Ibn Qutayba: (*Kitāb*) aš-Ši'r wa-š-šu'arā': Beirut 1964, 475. Henceforth, these books will be referred to as Agānī and Kitāb aš-Ši'r.

Wālibī's Qays b. al-Mulawwah al-Mağnūn wa-dīwānuh.¹⁶ Through focusing on this $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, the present study will attempt to show that while it is well-nigh impossible to draw a demarcation line between the 'Udrī and the Ṣūfī elements in the poetry ascribed to Mağnūn, it is not difficult to substantiate RITTER's claim, i.e., to demonstrate that Ṣūfī strains were already obvious in this poetry. This question brings us to an important problem of comparative literature which seems advisable to discuss in this context.

All three books under discussion manifest two methodological deficiencies, which often limit the usefulness of a thematic analysis. These two deficiencies are interrelated, but one is more obvious in studies of "content", and the other in studies of "form".

With respect to the content, a thematic approach deepens our understanding and appreciation of a literary subject. It draws attention to the elements which endow a theme with an enduring vitality throughout the metamorphoses of its form. A new light is shed on a work of art, once it is placed in relationship with other works on the same theme. This gives us a better perspective from which to appreciate the development of man's creative imagination. Yet this approach involves two pitfalls: First, we may be too attentive to the general perspective, and neglect the importance of the work of art as a separate, self-contained entity; such an approach tends to reduce criticism to listing extrinsic causal relationships, and to neglect the inner, structural complexity of the work. This seems to be the lacuna common to the three studies in question. Secondly, we may fail to choose a perspective wide or deep enough to avoid detecting influences and borrowings where they do not exist. Thus we may obtain assertions such as HILĀL's statement that Persian literature borrowed from Arabic certain characteristics denoting the intensity of 'Udrī love, "such as addressing birds, animals, and inanimate beings."17 This statement obviously demonstrates the narrowness of HILAL's perspective. Such a universal poetic motif as conversing with nature need not be exclusively or automatically explained in terms of foreign influence. It might be more perceptively explained by means of the archetypal approach, which, when kept in balance with a formal analysis, could be of great critical value.

The theory of archetypes postulates the existence of certain permanent psychic patterns and deems them as intrinsic to ur soul as our physical parts

This is the title adopted by Ş. İNALCIK in her edition of al-Wālibī's Kays b. al-Mulavvah (al-Macnun) ve Diwani. Ankara 1967, henceforth referred to as Wālibī and Dīwān.
 17. al-Hayāt al-'āțifiyya, 272-73.

are to our body.¹⁸ This theory rules out the assumption that some basic human characteristics may suddenly come into being, or may be introduced as a total innovation into a society. Only artistic differentiations of an archetype are affected by cultural environment as well as by transcultural influences. As for archetypal images, characters and patterns, they emerge from a deeper, subconscious plane, unaffected by cultural change; hence, "the archetype is always an image belonging to the whole human race."19 On this universal plane, it is not very useful to look for sources of influence. A thematic study should then endeavor to elucidate the nature of the archetype, which lies at the heart of a theme and gives it a perennial significance. "T.S. Eliot says, 'The pre-logical mentality persists in civilized man, but becomes available only to or through the poet.' The 'primordial image' which taps this 'pre-logical mentality' is called archetype."20 One may suggest hypotheses for interpreting the function of an archetype, yet one should not hope to reach a scientific formulation of a phenomenon which, by definition, expressed a "pre-logical mentality." Nonetheless, in the thematic analysis, the notion of the archetype provides an essential critical tool:

The literary critic applies the term to an image, a descriptive detail, a plot pattern, or a character type that occurs frequently in literature, myth, religion, or folklore, and is, therefore, believed to evoke profound emotions in the reader because it awakens a primordial image in the unconscious memory and thus calls into play illogical but strong responses.²¹

Therefore, the notion of archetypes, by providing vast possibilities of interpretation, may allow us to grasp the relationships between some fundamental psychic tendencies and the various symbolic patterns in which they are expressed.

Yet once isolated and established as a constant common element, a symbolic pattern should recede into the background, allowing the analysis to deal with the question of "form." The formal characteristic, conventions, and techniques inherent in a work of art, both as an expression of its cultural milieu and of the author's individual creativity, should then be our main concern, if we intend to understand how a work of art satisfies us emotionally and aesthetically.

^{18.} See C.G. JUNG: Psyche and Symbol. Ed. V.S. de Laszlo, New York 1958, XVI and 123 et seq.

^{19.} Ibid. 124.

^{20.} A Handbook to Literature. Revised ed., C.H. Holman et. al., New York 1960, 32. 21. loc. cit.

18

Plato distinguishes four types of inspired madmen: The prophet, inspired by Apollo; the mystic, by Dionysus; the poet, by the Muses; and — the highest type — the lover, inspired by Aphrodite and Eros.²²

III

Although he ascribes madness to a "lot divine,"²³ Plato does not present all four types under a favorable light. The poet, the prophet, and the mystic are divinely possessed, but they do not know what they are talking about.²⁴ Only the philosopher, the lover of eternal Forms, is able to know the truth, for he is guided by dialectical reasoning. But rational thinking is not always adequate for reaching the more mysterious realms of reality. Furthermore, the philosopher, this lover of wisdom, is deemed mad, because he also is possessed by a deity,²⁵ and his highest attainment of truth can only occur by means of a vision. This point is corroborated by the fact that Plato himself has to resort to visionary descriptions of reality, when the subject is too spiritual to be expressed by his dialectical discourse:

It may be well to repeat here, then, that in instinct and intellectual method, Plato is a poet. The ancient quarrel between poetry and philosophy seemed to be settled in the person of Plato himself. For, in the discussion of intellectual questions, the characters are led to their search for truth through the steps of dialectic, but, in what is generally regarded as the sphere of the spiritual, the poet and his view of life are called into play. In that sphere knowledge is represented — Plato's method implies the truth of this — in the inspired utterance of the poet.²⁶

The problem is, however, that Plato overlooks his own practice and denies others what he allows himself. He thus insists on considering his dialectical method the only guarantee for knowledge; consequently, his system has room for other visionaries only inasmuch as they do not contradict his truth. In this, poets are no exception:

Of that place beyond the heavens none of our earthly poets has yet sung, and none shall sing worthily. But this is the manner of it, for assuredly we must be bold to speak what is true, above all when our

^{22.} Cf. *Phaedrus* 265a-b. Trans. R. Hackforth. In: *Collected Dialogues of Plato*. Ed. E. Hamilton and H. Cairns, New York 1964, 510-11. Further references to Plato are to this edition.

^{23.} Ion 534c and 536d.

^{24.} Ibid. 534d.

^{25.} Phaedrus 249d.

^{26.} T. SH. DUNCAN: Plato and Poetry. In: The Classical Journal XL (No. 8), 484.

discourse is upon truth. It is there that true being dwells, without color or shape, that cannot be touched; reason alone, the soul's pilot, can behold it, and all true knowledge is knowledge thereof.²⁷

He thus establishes a monopoly on knowledge and chases the nonsubservient poet outside the walls of his Republic. Along with other visionaries, the poet has to submit to Plato's established vision of reality, and to the socio-political order deriving from that vision.

With the rise of Islam, a similar monopoly on truth was established in Arabia, then throughout the Islamic Empire. Unlike Plato, Muhammad did not claim to have reached his truth by dialectical reasoning; he was a prophet. Still, for different reasons, the result was the same: Muhammad was the Prophet, to him the Absolute Truth was revealed. And, once again, the new order had room only for the believers among the visionaries.

The medieval reconciliation of Reason and Revelation did not change the epistemological priorities inherited from Plato. Reason was a very useful tool, a sturdy scaffolding. Yet, at the top of each rational edifice reigned a different vision of the world, and by and large philosophy became the handmaiden of revelation. Thus Plato's metaphysical and moral vision was replaced by a Judaic, Christian, or Muslim vision of life and the hereafter, while his rational tool came in handy in each case.

Doctrinal absolutism was not Plato's invention, nor was it to disappear with him. If Plato failed to establish his own Republic, the spirit of orthodoxy was able to flourish throughout the ages. Its extreme aspects, embodied in the Inquisition mentality, and recurrent in various forms of suppression and persecution, do not leave us with much illusion about man's tolerance.

All along, however, orthodoxy seems to have provoked dissent, and the chains of established order have often been violently broken. That man is born free and that everywhere he lies in chains does not apply only to Rousseau's century; it rather seems to be a characteristic of the human condition. And it is as such, that is to say, as a general, apparently ubiquitous phenomenon, that this problem is relevant to our present investigation.

The core of the problem seems to be so obvious that one wonders if man overlooks it out of ignorance or out of necessity. If life is a continuous flux, then any static system, designed to hold it, is bound to collapse sooner or later. One imagines that this should be readily understood. Yet, whether utopian or realistic, dreamers or practical reformers, those who effect

27. Phaedrus 247c.

radical changes in our vision of reality (and in the norms entailed by this vision) seem to believe that their own vision is the final one. Even when they do not make it as explicit as Muhammad's claim, at heart, every one seems convinced that he is "The Seal of Prophecy." It is probably this attitude, worsened by later ossification and misunderstanding of the message, that transforms the new freedom into a new slavery: Plato deplores the execution of Socrates, then dreams of his own totalitarian state; Christians deplore the crucifixion, then establish their own Inquisition; Muhammad narrowly excapes being killed by his enemies, but outside the religions of the Book (Ahl al-Kitāb), unbelievers are at best tolerated.

If there is a possible pattern to be discerned, it might be safe to suggest that there seems to be a perennial urge to endow our vision of the world with absolute validity. Our unsatisfied thirst for the absolute seems to be at least partly quenched when we imagine our relative laws to be of eternal truth and value. What oppresses us we deem to be relative, distorted, or at most man-made. Our vision of a freer life, and of our right to realize it, are sacred duty. But once things turn our way, our vision is claimed to be divinely inspired, and our acquired freedom develops into chains for whoever dares to challenge the "eternal" foundations of the new order. Thus obtains the usual pattern of oppressed becoming oppressor.

If, within the limitations of man's finitude, absolute morality is cruel, then claims for absolute knowledge are nothing less than absurd. But, this is almost never the opinion of those who happen to be in power. They feel that it is not only their prerogative, but also their duty to define good and evil. They determine what is best for society, and establish the rules of the game. Since conformity to these rules — a condition for being admitted is what determines the health or sanity of a social member, dissent in thinking or behaviour is deemed sheer mental illness. Whence the importance of madness both as a stigma and, by contrast, as a sign of rebellion.

If sanity is generally equated with conformity to established norms, then we can see how madness becomes one of the best literary symbols of the universal rebel against any established order that stands between his free self and reality, whether this reality be the inner introspective or the outer physical world. The madman will represent the rebellion against the stifling laws of reasonable society and its common sense. His imagination is his reason, and where "sane" people hesitate in front of social and intellectual norms, he simply asserts his mode of vision with the same innocence and force of prophetic utterance. This purity of vision, and the courage of expressing it make the madman an almost poetic ideal. But, vision of what? And how does it radically differ from visions aimed at solving the contradiction between self and world only through social and political reforms?

One possible interpretation of this contradiction is that established norms frame the individual and divide the self between the natural flow of life in its depth and the part or role which the individual has to concede to society. The effect of such a division can be better realized if we consider the psychic landscape as described by JUNG:

Jung defines the *self* as the complete personality comprising the ego as the center of the conscious functioning, plus the infinitely vaster areas of the unconscious. Of these areas, the one situated closest to the ego he designates as the personal unconscious. Beyond this, the reaches of the psyche extending into the subhuman on the one part and the super-human on the other part are what he describes as the collective unconscious. In and through the *self*, the human personality is therefore related (paradoxically though this appears from the viewpoint of the ego) to what we might call its innermost center as well as the universe of which it forms a particle.²⁸

Against such a landscape, it is possible to see how social, rational pressure dislocates the self not only from its external universe, but also, and most importantly, from its innermost center. This creates a sense of alienation and exile, and a disillusion with socio-political reforms which fail to reconcile the rational with the non-rational elements within and without the individual self. Depending on individual and social conditions, this rational one-sidedness can become so frustrating that it results in a violent upsurge of the nonrational forces in us. Yet even when we keep these forces under control, this basic existential dislocation is probably the source of our feeling of an irreparable loss of, and separation from the totality of Being. It is this sense of a fundamental lack that fascinates our imagination and focuses it on a continuous, conscious or unconscious. quest for that lost state of original bliss. Psychologists may see in this quest a wish to return to the womb or to early childhood; socially speaking, it may be seen as a desire to return to nature and to primitive life; metaphysically and religiously, it is usually interpreted as a longing for a lost world of perfection or paradise.²⁹

^{28.} V. S. de Laszlo's Introduction to JUNG's Psyche and Symbol, XXXII-XXXIII.

^{29.} One may borrow ELIADE's statement which sums up the situation: "... it is obvious that the 'mother' symbolizes, in these different contexts, nature in her primordial state, the *prima materia* of the alchemists, and that the 'return to the mother' translates a spiritual experience corresponding to any other 'projection' outside Time... in other words, to the

No matter where we lay the emphasis, the general drive seems to point to a nostalgia created by a vague memory of a state of bliss, a state of perfect union and harmony with the world, where the individual soul was at one with itself and with the soul of the universe, and where — instinctively, intuitively, spiritually, or in any other manner — it swam with the flux, uninhibited by any man-made norms. It is this omnipresent need for union or reunion with the Absolute — immanent or transcendent — that seems to underly our images and dreams of perfect happiness.

Empirically we cannot prove the existence of a prenatal or post-mortal paradise. Nonetheless, our observation of small children and primitives, assisted by remembrances from our childhood, and our collective unconscious seem to suggest a close connection between happiness and these two states of "reduced intensity of consciousness and absence of concentration and attention."³⁰

Obviously, the desire to reconnect with the past can be satisfied only through symbolic channels. Hence, the archetypal character who achieves this kind of reconnection finds universal appeal,³¹ and is usually represented by a great variety of forms. This reconnection can be imaginatively achieved through "recapturing" the past, or living its archetypes, or more often through striving to relive it in the future.³² In both cases, the model seems to be generally inspired by the child's joy in his world of play and warmth. This model seems to survive both cultural change and old age. It is usually interpreted and reformulated religiously or rationally, and frequently replayed through dream, fantasy, legend, creativity — all forms of play, or ritual, characterized by material uselessness.

It is especially the bliss of early childhood³³ that seems to represent in man's unconscious, whether individual or collective, a hearth of love and well-being, prior to what is later experienced as the cruel intervention of consciousness that separates the individual from the totality of Being, and prior to the realm of reason, which systematizes this separation.

33. *Ibid.* 119. This assumes, of course, that the bliss of early childhood was not tragically disrupted.

reintegration of a primal situation." See M. ELIADE: *The Forge and the Crucible*. Trans. S. Corrin, New York 1962, 155.

^{30.} Cf. JUNG: Psyche and Symbol, 118 et seq.

^{31.} For the appeal of poetry, on account of its relieving function, see S. FREUD: Character and Culture. Ed. Ph. Rieff, New York 1963, 43.

^{32.} See JUNG: op. cit. 123-31.

23

If there is here a definite pattern that students of sociology and psychology may identify and analyze, the present study can only borrow it as a possible hypothesis for explaining the general appeal of the problem of madness, and perhaps as a wider perspective for examining the legend under discussion.

IV

How does the Mağnūn legend illustrate the problem we just discussed, and what pattern can we discern in Mağnūn's character?

In our attempt to understand the continuous appeal of Mağnūn, emphasis will be laid on the following interrelated points:

1) The Mağnūn figure has an inherent complexity which contains and combines primordial psychic tendencies — love, creative impulse, numinous experience, etc. Thus, depending on the levels of sophistication of both author and reader, the legend can satisfy popular as well as elitist aesthetic and spiritual demands. Accordingly, its symbolic implications will necessitate different levels of interpretation.

2) Like any true symbol, the Mağnūn figure derives its effectiveness from its power to reconcile between conscious and unconscious elements, thus remaining outside the reach of rational elucidation, and rejuvenating its meaning through personal and cultural changes.

3) Although this symbolic complexity cannot be formulated in any clear-cut fashion, it will be suggested that Mağnūn, in his erotico-poetic madness, may be said to represent a heroic pattern which gratifies man's yearning for reconnection with the totality of Being. Though ultimately indivisible, the media for such reconnection may be theoretically distinguished into: love as a way for sympathetic union, and poetry as a way of sympathetic vision. When invested with the intensity of madness, these two ways may represent an outlet to the divine, regardless of where one's god is thought to reside.

From this perspective, we realize that we have a triadic relationship uniting the poet, the lover, and the madman. It will be shown that in the context of our legend, madness is a dimension as essential as love and poetry. It is the dimension which transmutes poetry into prophetic vision, and love into mystic union.

From this perspective also, we can see that Plato's four types of divine madness can be detected in Mağnūn. These types are certainly not difficult

to find in most, if not it all, cultures. However, the Mağnūn legend seems to have a special distinction. Despite, or possibly because of, the apparent naïveté of the original story, the legend includes the seeds of a character capable of integrating within himself these four types of madness,³⁴ simply by integrating their four inspiring gods in one: Laylā.

The pattern which emerges from this situation is that of a "possessed-Possessor" relationship. The poet, the lover, and the madman are three dimensions of the same archetype. These three dimensions seem to emanate from a state of mind that we call "possession," whether this state is effected by the Muse, the Beloved, or the Jinni. With Mağnūn, however, these agents tend to be identical and equivalent to the person of the "Possessor," whether we interpret this Possessor as being God, or simply a concrete human being — Laylā. The Possessor is at the same time the efficient and the final cause of Mağnūn's quest. Mağnūn's peace and freedom are in his Possessor's will. Love, poetry, and madness are equal expressions of his being possessed. His social alienation is an immanent corollary of the loss of his common sense, a loss that grows in direct proportion to his success in achieving his freedom, i.e., annihilating all egoistic or social obstacles that hinder his total possession by, or unity with, the object of his Quest.

It is worth mentioning here that among those who have dealt with the theme of Mağnūn, two critics have already made two points of immediate relevance to our subject. In his important study. *L'esprit courtois en Orient*, Jean-Claude VADET devotes an illuminating chapter to Mağnūn, in which he emphasizes the importance of the common daemonic source which identifies madness with poetic vision: "Le fou par excellence est aussi le poète par excellence, le poète surhumain celui qui vit comme les Djinns qui a la clairvoyance des djinns parce qu'il a subit leur blessure mortelle mais libératrice."³⁵

Yet more relevant still is Rudolph GELPKE's article on Nizāmī. As far as I know, GELPKE is the first to discern the triadic character of the Mağnūn archetype as it appears in Arabic and in Nizāmī's *Laylī u Mağnūn*:

Wer ist dieser Mädschnun? In drei Punkten stimmen die frühen arabischen Quellen bei aller sonstigen Widersprüchlichkeit überein: Mädschnun *liebte, dichtete* und war (oder wurde) *wahnsinnig*. Auf das Fundament dieses Dreiklangs gründet auch Nezami sein Werk. Es ist,

^{34.} In discussing Ğāmī, we shall see that Plato's philosophic madness, of which the ultimate channel is intuition, is replaced by gnosticism, equally dependent on this channel.

^{35.} J.-C. VADET: L'Esprit courtois en Orient dans les cinq premiers siècles de l'hégire. Paris 1968, 378 et passim.

möchte ich sagen, das "magische Dreieck" über der Eingangspforte zu dieser Dichtung.³⁶

What interplay of cultural factors caused or furthered the formation of this "Dreiklang," and how was it manifested before Wālibī? These questions will be the central concern of the next chapter.

^{36.} R. GELPKE: Liebe und Wahnsinn als Thema eines persischen Dichters: Zur Mädschnun-Gestalt bei Nezami. In: Symbolon IV (1964), 108-109.

CHAPTER TWO

THE CULTURAL BACKGROUND AND THE EARLY VERSIONS OF THE MAĞNŪN LEGEND

The present chapter does not offer new details of thought or history. Rather, it relies on already existing research, in an attempt to emphasize and interpret some social, religious, and literary elements which seem to have been functional in the composition and development of the Mağnūn legend. Since most of these elements are paralleled in other cultures, one cannot claim that they are exclusively Arabic or Muslim. It is the convergence of these elements in a certain historical moment that produces the distinctive result with which we are here concerned, namely, the Mağnūn legend.

The fact that this legend was formulated in the light of history allows us to trace some immediate factors which contributed to its formulation. These factors were influential chiefly in integrating within the complex character of Mağnūn some modes of religious and literary experience. However, one should remember that these modes gave vent to simple, deep-rooted emotions, connected with the collective unconscious, and difficult to pin down to any particular period.

I. ORAL TRADITION

Probably the chief point to emphasize, is the fundamental role of oral tradition, on the one hand, in preserving, enlarging, and spreading classical Arabic literature, and, on the other hand, in shaping our historical sources on the $\check{G}ahiliyya$ and on the first three centuries of Islam. While largely responsible for the creation of many legends and of the Mağnūn legend in particular, oral tradition could neither produce nor encourage an objective writing of history. How pervasive was oral tradition and to what extent can we trust it? As has been noted above, scholars differ sharply, especially concerning the authenticity of pagan and early Islamic Arabic poetry. One position would apply a methodological doubt to all the poetry ascribed to that period, and, at its extreme, this position would imply an almost total

rejection of the $G\bar{a}hiliyya$ poetry, attributing its creation to later forgeries.¹ The opposite attitude deemphasizes the importance of oral transmission. It attempts to prove that written texts were available, and that the $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}s$ claimed to have learned their poetry not through written texts, but through oral tradition, because the latter was considered a more precise form of transmission.² Since it was difficult to read the short-hand form of the Arabic script, lacking vowels and diacritical marks, the teaching of a master was indispensable. He who relied only on written texts was ridiculed. Thus some masters would deny having such texts in their possession, and some poets would falsely claim illiteracy.³

Here we realize that even the argument for the existence of written texts has to admit the higher rank of the oral tradition. While it proves that one cannot reasonably deny the authenticity of much pre-Islamic or early Islamic poetry, this argument does not give us any clues for verifying the genuineness of particular poems or particular lines.

This same situation prevails in our general historical knowledge of that early period, where fact and fiction are intermingled. Early Islamic scholars found themselves facing the same problem and, in their attempt to verify historical accounts, they resorted to the method of *isnād*, i.e., support by an unbroken chain of reliable authorities.⁴ The literary influence of this method is another point which needs to be emphasized. For whether efficient or not, we shall see later that this method had a definite impact on the style of historical and narrative writing.

The *isnād* method was perfected by the collectors of the $Had\bar{u}$ (i.e., Traditions of the Prophet and the first four caliphs), and mainly by Buhārī (d. 870) and Muslim (d. 874). They lived at the time when the Mağnūn legend started to flourish⁵ and it would be interesting to trace an outline of the Arabic and Islamic culture as seen from that moment in history.

First, only one document was accepted as historically authentic: the Koran.⁶ Practically everything else was open to question. Revealed to

^{1.} See Section II of the previous chapter. For a discussion of this problem, see R. BLACHÈRE: *Histoire de la littérature arabe*. I, Paris 1952, 85-127.

^{2.} This thesis is defended by N. AL-ASAD in his *Maşādir aš-ši'r al-ģāhilī wa-qīmatuhā* at-tārīhiyya (Cairo 1956) where he also gives a summary of previous positions on this problem.

^{3.} Ibid, 179 et seq.

^{4.} For a short description of the *isnād* method, see article "Hadīth" in Shorter Encyclopaedia of Islam. Ed. H.A.R. Gibb and J.H. Kramers. Ithaca, New York 1965.

^{5.} The first extent version of the Legend is Ibn Qutayba's: see Appendix. Also see: KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 35-36.

^{6.} This does not mean, however, that the Koranic text did not undergo additions and

ORAL TRADITION

Muhammed (d. 632) between the years 610 and 632 A.D., the Koranic verses were collected in one single and final version a few years after his death. The Koran is thus the earliest and most authentic text which we have from the dialect that was to become standard Arabic as well as the sacred language of all Muslims. With the Koranic revelation, not only the religious but also the historical and cultural aspects of Arabic life were fundamentally changed. As the Word of God, the Koran became the source and the motive of "Islamic Sciences." In an empire of various ethnic and cultural backgrounds, however, the short Koranic text was not by itself a sufficient guide for the new conditions of life. Furthermore, the Koran had to be explained, interpreted, and frequently supplemented by the Hadīt. Thus, Muhammad's life, considered as ideal, became the basis of the Science of Hadīt, which consisted mainly of the knowledge of genuine traditions based on the Prophet's words and action. A third cornerstone of "Islamic Sciences" was the Arabic language itself, which assumed an almost sacred status. For furthering their understanding of the Koran and deepening their knowledge of their linguistic tools, exegetes, philologists, theologians, jurists, biographers, etc. joined literary historians and critics in assigning to the collections of $\check{G}\bar{a}hiliyya$ literature a value second only to that of the Koran and the Hadīt. These literary collections, however, were heavily dependent on the rāwīs. These rāwīs were accorded such importance that, in order to increase their credits, they rarely resisted the temptation of investing or borrowing new material and ascribing it to the Ğāhiliyya.

Early Islamic scholars were aware of the problem, but, being at the mercy of the $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}s$, they were faced with the dilemma of either rejecting everything or accepting whatever material they deemed authentic. Understandably enough, they deemed authentic whatever corresponded to their concept of $\tilde{G}\bar{a}hiliyya$ life and literature.

The most prevalent attitude among later scholars — an attitude that seems to impose itself upon us — is a kind of reasoning fatalistically resigned to accepting a necessary deficiency: if these imitations and forgeries were so good as to pass for genuine, they may as well be considered as such.

In spite of the critical scrupulosity of many Islamic scholars, our doubts are not appeased. These scholars, divided generally into rival schools, had some vested interests in the material they accepted, so they

subtractions during the first decade after the Prophet's death. See: D.S. MARGOLIOUTH: The Early Development of Mohammedanism. London 1914, 36-64.

defended it as authentic, even when they were in doubt. One illustration of this attitude is the well known anecdote that Halaf al-Ahmar (d. ca. 800 A.D.) relates about the Kūfa and Basra philological schools. He tells us that he had invented several poems, and passed them to these philologists. In his old age, he repented and went back to them, and told them he had forged those poems. Yet, having illustrated many of their grammatical arguments by those poems, they rejected his new claim, and defended the authenticity of the poems. This situation was true even of the collectors of the *Hadīt*. Divided into many religious factions, even the most pious Muslims did not hesitate to invent Traditions in support of their positions.

At any rate, one of the main solutions to which Islamic scholars resorted was the method of *isnād*. Especially in the $Had\bar{i}t$, a transmitter had to fulfill certain requirements that made forgery more detectible.⁷ In other fields, however, scholars were not as strict about choosing informants, and the *isnād* method lost its efficacy.

We thus find ourselves faced with a complex and paradoxical situation: (1) A cultural heritage recorded by the religion which superseded it. Hence, one should not expect these records to be exempt of prejudice. (2) An oral tradition, which, owing to the sacredness of its subject matter, insists on total authenticity and devises a methodological criterion, the isnād. The isnād is efficiently used by eminent scholars such as Buhārī and Muslim; but with less scrupulous scholars, the isnād itself is the easiest part to forge. This is witnessed by the fact that the hundreds of thousands of hadīts excluded by Buhārī and Muslim had their own isnāds. More importantly, their exclusion does not wipe them out of people's minds. On the contrary, the fascinating paradox of this oral tradition consists of the reversed function of what the isnād was meant to achieve. Instead of working as a safeguard against false additions to the Islamic Traditions and to the pagan heritage, it served as a channel that helped authenticate whatever the spirit of an age needed to sancify, whether on the religious level, or on the literary level. Its overall effect was the creation of an unusual continuity in a tradition famous for its unity in variety.

Keeping this framework in mind, let us now attempt to sketch the background of the Mağnūn legend with particular emphasis on the spiritual and literary aspects.

^{7. &}quot;We may see how enormous was the number of false Traditions in circulation from the fact that when Bukhārī (+ 870 A.D.) drew up his collection entitled 'The Genuine' (*al-Şahīți*), he limited it to some 7,000, which he picked out of 600,000." R.A. NICHOLSON: A Literary History of the Arabs. Cambridge 1969, 146.

THE ROLE OF THE ŠĀ'IR

II. THE ROLE OF THE $\tilde{S}\bar{a}$ ir in the Heroic Age⁸

Although the Arab Peninsula was never totally devoid of fertile areas, the main character of central Arabia is that of a half desert where "periods of drought sometimes last for several years, bringing misery and death to the people and causing some to migrate abroad."9 In such conditions, the continuous struggle for survival seems to have left the Bedouins with little inclination to meditate about metaphysical problems. They had to be always vigilant against natural and human onslaughts. Consequently, their major concern was the attainment of a socio-moral type of excellence, epitomized by the concept of Muruwwa, i.e., manliness, and based on courage, loyalty, generosity and honor. If a man fails to fulfill these requirements, he runs the risk of being expelled from the tribe and exposed to shame and disaster. But the highest achievement in Muruwwa is no guarantee against Destiny (qadar). On the contrary, it may even make one more liable to the blows of the mysterious forces beyond man's control or knowledge. Whether suddenly carried out by blind Fate (maniyva, plur. manāyā), or executed by the vicissitudes of Time (dahr), the final answer of Destiny was always the same: Death. And the Bedouin, who did not believe in immortality, was eager to make the best of his life.

Here we reach the usual circle: was the Bedouin's indifference towards religion a result of his total commitment to his code of *Muruwwa* which does not require or even encourage any piety? Or was he imprisoned by his *Muruwwa* for lack of a metaphysical outlook?

Insofar as we know at present, it may be sufficient and safe to say that before and after Islam, and even until the twentieth century, the Bedouins deserved the description that the Koran gives them: "The wandering Arabs are more hard in disbelief and hypocrisy, and more likely to be ignorant of the limits which Allah hath revealed unto His messenger."¹⁰

What was of much more importance to the pagan Arab than religion was his tribal connection. The clan was the unit from which all the society he had was built up. Even Islam was powerless to displace



^{8.} By 'Heroic Age' I mean the late $\check{Gahiliyya}$, in which *Muruwwa*, the ideal of manliness and chivalry, was the main pillar of social and moral virtue, before the advent of Islam. For the contrast between *Muruwwa* and $D\bar{n}$, see I. GOLDZIHER: *Muruwwa und Dīn* in his *Muhammedanische Studien* I. Halle 1888, 1-40. Cf. also in this connection C.M. BOWRA's summary of the major features of the heroic outlook in his book, *Heroic Poetry*. London 1952, esp. 476-80.

^{9.} See G. RENZ: "Djazīrat al-'Arab" Encyclopaedia of Islam. Leiden 1960.

^{10.} Koran IX, 97. This and all further quotations from the Koran are taken from the translation of M. M. PICKTHALL.

his attachment to his tribe, and tribal feuds were carried on after the time of Muhammad as before, if not to the same extent... Much of the old poetry consists in panegyric of the poet's tribe and satire of those to which he does not belong; and the tribe is sometimes a very wide term.

The pagan Arab's idea of morality is expressed by the word $mur\bar{u}wa$, that is, manliness, virtus.¹¹

This code of Muruwwa seems to have been generally respected. It also applied to the sedentary communities, which were connected with the Bedouins by tribal kinship. Moreover, the few poets believed to have been Jewish, such as as-Samaw'al, or Christian, such as 'Adī b. Zayd al-'Ibādī,12 do not add any significant dimension to the Muruwwa code of ethics. What differentiated the Jews and Christians from the rest of the Arabs was their metaphysical beliefs. It is true that pre-Islamic Arabs tended to have intertribal gods, such as Allat, Manat, and al-'Uzza, and even to consider these three goddesses as daughters of a higher deity, usually called Allāh. The more important side, however, is that Arab paganism could not conceive of a final Day of Judgement, where a personal fate would be decided, and which would lead to eternal salvation or damnation after the destruction of this world. The pagan Arab, both sedentary and Bedouin, was still firm in his belief, expressed by Zuhayr b. Abī Sulmā, that Death strikes like a blind she-camel,¹³ and that only Time, Allah, and the mountains would remain.

In this context, it would be true to say that the religion, not only of the nomads, but of all pagan Arabs was "a form of polydaemonism related to the paganism of the ancient Semites."¹⁴

Basically animistic, pagan Arabs populated their land with spirits: some benevolent, dwelling in oases, wells, caves etc., others malevolent, representing projections of the nomads' fears and personifications of the untame and hostile elements in their desert. "In the Arabia of Muhammad's time, if we leave out the elements affected by Christianity

13. Cf. Zuhayr's *Mu'allaqa*: "I have seen Fate striking like a blind she-camel killing the one she hits, and the one she misses lives long."

رأيت المنسايسا خبط عشواء من تُصِبْ تَمتـــــه ومن تُخطئ يعمّر فيهرم 14. B. Lewis: *The Arabs in History*. New York 1960, 30.

^{11.} See under "Djāhilīya" in Shorter Encyclopaedia of Islam, and, for more details, GOLDZIHER: Muruwwa und Dīn and Das arabische Stämmewesen und der Islam. In: op.cit. 1-100.

^{12.} Cf. Ph. K. HITTI: History of the Arabs. 10th ed., London 1970, 107; C.-A. NALLINO: La Littérature arabe. Trans. Ch. Pellat, Paris 1950, 39; and GAS II, 249-50, 178-79.

and Judaism, the spirit-world consisted of Allah, the tribal gods, and the djinn; and the links between it and men were $k\bar{a}hin$'s, magicians and soothsayers, poets and madmen."¹⁵

It is this association between the poet and the madman with the $k\bar{a}hin$, magician and soothsayer which is of capital importance to us, especially since these men are the media of the spirit-world. One must not assume, however, that this daemonic world, with which these classes of people communicate, is less arbitrary than Fate, Destiny, or Death. It communicates good or evil whimsically. It responds to, and relies upon, the mediation of these men who are both seers and interpreters of this mysterious spirit-world. Some may object that, on the eve of Islam, the spirit-world was not of great importance to the Arabs.¹⁶ Nevertheless, one should admit that this world was still alive in the tradition of poets, seers, and madmen, as witnessed not only by the texts ascribed to the pagan era, but also by the Koran.¹⁷

Thus, poetry, rhymed prose ($\lambda a \leq \lambda$), and occult utterances seem to have kept a sense of their original function as ritual incantation, possessing magical power.¹⁸ Except for some kinds of sorcery, most of these methods of approaching the daemonic world used language not only as a medium to convey the vision, but especially as a tool to charm the listener, and, when performed seriously, to tame the daemon himself.¹⁹ "It seems that the concentration of the aesthetic sensibilities of the Arabs on the apt use of words endowed the words themselves with mystical and magical power."²⁰ The etymological meaning of *ši'r* (poetry) is not only sentiment, but also knowledge.

Le šā'ir/poète est «celui qui sait par inspiration.» Il ne se confond pas avec le kāhin ou «voyant», bien que celui-ci parfois vaticine en vers. Avec le «voyant», le poète archaïque a toutefois ceci en commun qu'il reçoit son inspiration du monde invisible. Le *Coran* ne s'y est d'ailleurs pas trompé, lui qui a englobé dans un même anathème la force qui fait parler le «poète» et celle qui fait vaticiner le «voyant.»²¹

18. Cf. "Shi'r" in Encyclopaedia of Islam. Ed. 1913; and GAUDEFROY-DEMOMBYNES: Ibn Qutaïba: Introduction au Livre de la Poésie et des Poètes. Paris 1947, XVI.

19. Cf. J. WELLHAUSEN: Reste arabischen Heidentums. 2nd ed., Berlin 1897, 161 et passim.

20. See "'Arabiyya" in Encyclopaedia of Islam, I, 584.

21. BLACHÈRE: op. cit. II, 332

^{15.} Cf. "Sihr" in Shorter Encyclopaedia of Islam.

^{16.} Cf. "Djāhiliyya" in Shorter Encyclopaedia of Islam.

^{17.} References to this tradition are abundant in the Koran, especially in Chapter XXVI: The Poets, and Chapter LXXII: The Jinn.

BLACHÈRE points out that originally the poet was considered the mouthpiece of supernatural forces, namely, the jinn, «ou génies, identiques ou apparentés à ceux qui troublent l'esprit et le corps».²² A remark worth emphasizing in this context is BLACHÈRE's comment: «Dans le passage où le *Coran* déclare que Mahomet est un inspiré d'Allah et non un «possédé» (Majnûn), on est admis à penser que ce terme englobe à la fois les déments, les «voyants» et les poètes.»²³

The poet, however, did not draw his prominence exclusively from his power to communicate with the spirit-world. He was a cultural hero because his socio-political as well as spiritual status placed him in the leading position. He was the champion of his tribesmen's wisdom and *Muruwwa*, and the singer of their glorious battles. He was well versed in their history and norms, and had for his mission the praise of the tribal legacy in the most perfect forms he could imagine. Thus, "besides being oracle, guide, orator and spokesman for his community, the poet was its historian and scientist, insofar as it had a scientist. Bedouins measured intelligence by poetry."²⁴

Thus, the office and activity of the poet were central, nay vital, for the spiritual and tribal identity and continuity. He possessed a magic weapon against the enemy, produced the effect of "lawful magic (*sihr halāl*)"²⁵ on his friends, and had a major function, namely, "to preserve the collective memory of the past."²⁶

A corollary of this last function was the didactic task imposed on the $qas\bar{\imath}d$ -poets. They had to "express, and even prescribe, a high standard of tribal morality."²⁷ Hence, the poet's imagination was checked by the ideals of *Muruwwa* which he described as being perfectly embodied in himself, in his tribe, or in the man he praised. His inspiration rarely meant any revelation of a supernatural or metaphysical order. In general the spirit-world remained a more or less empty motif. While it was an agent of inspiration, it did not reveal any metaphysical content.

25. HITTI: op. cit. 90.

26. See " 'Arabiyya" in Encyclopaedia of Islam.

27. loc. cit.

^{22.} Ibid. 333.

^{23.} Ibid. 333, n. 1.

^{24.} HITTI: op. cit. 95. "Among the Arabs", says A. GUILLAUME, "the poet ($\underline{sh}\overline{a}$ 'ir, i.e., 'the knower' par excellence), as his name implies, was a person endowed with knowledge by the spirits who gave him his magical powers: his poetry was not art, it was supernatural knowledge. In the wandering of the nomads the poet gave the signal to break camp and indicated where and when the tribe should halt." A. GUILLAUME: Prophecy and Divination among the Hebrews and Semites. London 1938, 243-44.

Simultaneously, the prominent and clear-cut role of the poet made him a showman who, having to perform a public function and uphold the accepted norms, was not expected to express his intimate feelings beyond what was conventionally acceptable; and that was quite far from any deep introspection. The subjects and themes of poetry being limited, the task of the poet was to show his inspiration in reshaping the same material within a more effective expression, whence (1) the conventionalism in subjectmatter and description, and (2) the largely prevailing idea that meanings are found "lying on the road" and that all art is in the form.²⁸ But here, too, the strict rules of Arabic prosody did not leave much room for originality and had important consequences, which will be discussed later.

Let us observe for the moment that the fundamental effect of the restrictive framework of *Muruwwa* was that the poet, in spite of his claim for inspiration, i.e., communication with the spirit-world, found himself imprisoned within a limited number of subjects and themes, a strict prosody, and detailed aesthetic conventions.²⁹

Here again one wonders and remains helpless in front of this almost unnatural uniformity: was it a fact, or simply a product of the late reciters who had to imitate a small number of extant poems, and who realized that the closer the imitation the easier the test? All we can assert is that certain standards were rigidly followed either by the $\check{G}\bar{a}hiliyya$ poets, or by their Islamic imitators, and by collectors who considered these standards the best criteria for judging the authenticity of the texts. With the Islamic critics this reached such a level of canonization that a rather liberal critic like Ibn Qutayba (d. 889), who was among the first to reject the principle that made temporal priority a sufficient proof of superiority, still forces on the Islamic poet the aesthetic norms of the $\check{G}\bar{a}hiliyya$. Thus, in the words of VON GRUNEBAUM:

Every detail in the setting of the *qaṣīda* is attuned to the desert and to pre-Islamic conditions. To the urban Muslim the *loci communes* of the *nasīb* sounded stale if not ridiculous. But Ibn Qutaiba insists: "The later poet is not permitted to leave the custom of the ancients with regard to those parts (of the ode) so as to halt at an inhabited place, since the ancients halted at a desolate spot and effaced vestige." Nor is the later poet allowed to ride a donkey or a mule and to describe them since the ancients rode "a male or femalecamel. (Likewise) they are not supposed,

^{28.} See the discussion of "Inhalt und Form" in W. HEINRICHS: Arabische Dichtung und griechische Poetik. Beirut 1969, 82.

^{29.} Concerning the restrictions on the structure, themes and motifs of a poem, see G. VON GRUNEBAUM: *Medieval Islam*. Chicago 1953, 258-67.

on the journey to the man they praise, to traverse countries that grow narcissus, myrtle and roses, for the ancients traversed countries in which there grew only desert plants."³⁰

The above quotation is an example of the rigidity of themes and motifs, extending to imagery.

Moreover, pagan poets were almost always describing an ideal, whether in reference to the poet himself, to his beloved, or to any member of his tribe. Their descriptions did not have room for individual traits, characteristic details, or idiosyncracies. Their heroes or personae rarely had a private life. Since the ideal is tribal property, the poet could do his best (and evoque his muse, or jinni, or *šaytān*) to express it, but not to redefine or oppose it. Hence, the understandable heap of clichés recurring even in this early poetry. The poet's job was similar to a detailed description of a statue that the tribes considered to be of perfect moral and physical beauty. Even if this statue or persona was put in motion, it could move only according to a standard pattern. The number of images or basic acceptable similies which one can imagine would evidently be limited, and the stereotyped descriptions unavoidable.³¹

This standardization in $\check{G}\bar{a}hiliyya$ poetry seems to explain two important tendencies that may have been the result of the idealization of the protagonist: first, the love of hyperbolic exaggeration, and second, the predilection towards painting the world in black and white. These tendencies do not characterize pre-Islamic poetry alone, they are common in the Heroic Age of most peoples.

However, there existed for this idealism a balancing element worthy of our attention, since it helps us to understand the making of a legendary hero. This balancing element lies in the fact that the idealism of moral and physical beauty was coupled with the obligation of relating these qualities to specific persons, whether in *Fahr* (a literary genre of vainglorious poetry), in *Madīh* (Panegyrics) and its ramifications, or in *Hiğā*' (Satire or Lampoons). Although these descriptions did not have room for any physical or moral analysis of any particular individual, they were restricted to the realm of the possible; and even when this possible was improbable, the descriptions remained true to the principle of concreteness. Add to this the fact that *Muruwwa* was not concerned with any features that could not be proved or exemplified physically, and it will become obvious how simple



^{30.} Op. cit. 260.

^{31.} Cf. G. VON GRUNEBAUM: Die Wirklichkeitweite der früharabischen Dichtung. Wien 1937, 47 et passim.

37

it was for the Arab to develop a cultural hero out of any figure, real or legendary, who becomes famous or admired for typifying some feature of *Muruwwa*. Whether a leader, an ancestor, or the poet himself, the cultural hero becomes the epitome of the quality in question, to whom the best descriptions in verse and prose would be dedicated. The Arabs had quite a few of these heroes: for generosity, they had *Hātim at-Tā'ī* (d. ca. 605); for trustworthiness, *as-Samaw'al*; for wisdom, *Luqmān* (Lokman of the Bible); for heroism and *Muruwwa* in general, 'Antara (d. ca. 615). Of these, only *Luqmān*, who might have been the only figure of legendary or foreign origin, was not a poet. Thus the hero-poet was very much of a tradition.

The combination between poet and hero in the $\check{G}ahiliyya$ was not exceptional, once we remember that the art of verse was not the monopoly of the poets. Most Bedouins could now and then utter a couplet in verse, without being ambitious to be called poets, and without claiming any communication with a private $\check{s}ayt\bar{a}n$. The effect of such ease at versification was that any hero who occasionally composed some verse would be considered a poet-hero (or a hero-poet). This is evidently only one side of the combination. The other possibility is to find a poet who through his moral and practical excellence achieved heroic status. This was not unusual either; for poetry could not have existed in a moral vacuum, and the poet who composed it had probably felt it, if not really lived it. But in this situation, where virtues were down to earth enough to acquire, it is obviously not strange to find that some poets actually lived up to what they championed and became the heroes of poems which they and others had composed.

III. ISLAM AND THE POET

Obviously, the highest level of heroism in Arab history was reached by Muhammad, whose artistic achievement in the Koran was his only miracle. Poets who were famous warriors (e.g. aš-Šanfarā, 'Urwa b. al-Ward, 'Antara b. Šaddād, 'Amr b. Ma'dīkarib az-Zubaydī, etc.) were not rare in Arab history, nor were those who distinguished themselves in other fields of excellence. Nonetheless, the example of the Prophet is of extraordinary importance considering the effect of the new religion on the culture and literature of the Arabs in general, and on the development of our subject, in particular.

Accused of being a poet and a madman,³² the Prophet insisted that he was neither, and that the Koran was the Word of God, revealed to him

32. Cf. Koran XXVI and LXXII.

through the Archangel Gabriel (an- $N\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$ al-A'zam). Once established, the belief in the absolute perfection of the Koran, both in content and in expression, produced a radical change in the Arab conception of man and his world. What is of immediate concern for us here is, on the one hand, the new conception of, and relationship to, the spiritual world; and, on the other hand, the position of the poet in this new relationship. The development which took place in these two realms will be sketched in the following pages, which will deal with the nature of the new message, its impact both in stimulating and in limiting creative imagination, its claim for absolute truth, and the Sūfī way of re-claiming personal freedom in experiencing and expressing man's thirst for identity with the Absolute.

Some basic elements of the Muhammadan message may be suggested by what is commonly believed to be the very first $s\bar{u}ra$ revealed to the Prophet. The $s\bar{u}ra$ was occasioned by the appearance — in a dream, nightmare, or day-dream — of a vision which commanded Muhammad to "Read!"³³

Twice Muhammad expressed his inability to read, but the third time the vision instructed him:

- 1. Read: In the name of thy Lord who createth,
- 2. Createth man from a clot.
- 3. Read: And thy Lord is the most Bounteous.
- 4. Who teacheth by the pen,
- 5. Teacheth man that which he knew not. 34

Frightened by this vision, Muhammad ran and told his wife. She consulted her cousin, Waraqa b. Nawfal, who told her that This must be *an-Nāmūs al-A'zam*, i.e., the Archangel Gabriel.

In this first Koranic chapter, in the meditation which preceded it, and in the interpretation which followed it, we have sufficient elements for discussing the character of the new message: first, the new habit of seclusion and meditation, previously unusual³⁵ in the pagan society; second, the vision, which happens in a nightmarish situation, a dream or a daydream;³⁶ third, the emphasis on language and writing as media of divine

^{33.} There are more than one version to the story. Cf. Tor ANDRAE: Muhammad, the Man and his Faith. Trans. Th. Menzel, New York 1960, 43-45.

^{34.} Koran XCVI, 1-5.

^{35.} Seclusion and meditation were unusual as far as religious thinking was concerned, but not unusual for purposes of poetic composition. See al-Aşma'ī's comment on "the slaves of poetry" (عبيدالشعر) in Ibn Qutayba: op. cit. 22-23.

^{36.} NICHOLSON hints at the possibility that the Prophet was epileptic. Cf. NICHOLSON: *op. cit.* 147-48. For a short discussion of this problem, see Tor ANDRAE: *op. cit.* 50-52.

inspiration; fourth, the explanation given by the first "Islamic" exegete, Waraqa b. Nawfal, that this was the Greatest Angel, i.e., the Archangel Gabriel. But, until that explanation Muhammad could have been deemed under the spell of any daemon, good or bad; for when he

first appeared as a prophet he was thought by all except a very few to be *mağnūn*, i.e., possessed by a *jinni*, or genie, (if I may use a word which will send the reader back to his *Arabian Nights*). The heathen Arabs regarded such persons — soothsayers, diviners, and poets — with a certain respect; and if Muhammad's "madness" had taken a normal course, his claim to inspiration would have passed unchallenged.³⁷

Muhammad's visions remained private experiences, but the verses which resulted from the visions were his only proof of his prophetic mission, and of his communication with the spirit-world. It is fundamental to realize that Muhammad's only miracle was performed in the field of literary creativity. The fact that a prophetic claim could be supported only by its imaginative and linguistic excellence gives us an idea both about the value of auditory inspiration in the $\tilde{G}\bar{a}hiliyya$ and about the supreme position which the Koran was judged to have attained in this realm. Not only was the Koran supreme; it was absolute. With unremitting insistence, the revelation declared that

- 1. God is One and Absolute
- 2. Muhammad is God's Prophet
- 3. The Koran is God's Word, transmissed by the Greatest Angel.
- 4. The Koran is not poetry, nor *sağ*['] of soothsayers, nor madmen's banter; it is not based on any relative inspiration, but has always been in a Divine Tablet in Heaven.³⁸

Of course, these four points are not in syllogistic order. They cannot be, since they depend on one another, and especially on the fourth point. All four points are data of faith. On the human or natural level, one usually accepts data of faith on the basis of argument, experience, or miracle. But since these points are interdependent, an argument based on them becomes circular. Similarly, in Muhammad's case, experience and miracle have their validity only through faith. Even Muhammad's universal challenge to men and jinni to emulate the Koran³⁹ is not a convincing proof, since the final judge is personal artistic taste and imagination.

^{37.} NICHOLSON: op. cit. 165.

[«]في لوح محفوظ» . 38. Koran XXII, 85

^{39.} Koran LXXXVIII, 17.

THE CULTURAL BACKGROUND

In the message itself, one cannot help noticing the concreteness of the Koranic descriptions of the supernatural world and of man's life in the hereafter. This concreteness leads NICHOLSON to say that "there is nothing spiritual in Muhammad's pictures of Heaven and Hell."⁴⁰ This is certainly an exaggerated statement, as we shall discuss later. But for the moment, suffice it to remark that as a result of his concrete imagination, similar to that of pre-Islamic poets, from which he might have borrowed "a good deal of his Paradise,"⁴¹ Muhammad was accused of being a poet. He was obviously disturbed by the idea of being mistaken for a common $s\bar{a}$ ir, inspired by an ordinary daemon. His reaction was almost categorical: "As for poets, the erring follow them. Hast thou not seen how they stray in every valley, and how they say that which they do not?"⁴² In his comment on these verses, NICHOLSON says:

Muhammad was not of these; although he was not so unlike them as he pretended. His kinship with the pagan $Sh\bar{a}$ 'ir is clearly shown, for example in the 113th and 114th Sūras, which are charms against magic and *diablerie*, as well as in the solemn imprecation calling down destruction on the head of his uncle, 'Abdu 'l-'Uzzā, nicknamed Abū-Lahab (Father of Flame).⁴³

Although Muslims believe that the style of the Koran is unique and defies any literary categorization, it is not impossible to see that the Koran follows a middle path between poetry and *sağ*⁺, i.e., the rhymed prose of the pagan soothsayers. Its originality, however, consists mainly in the force of its linguistic structure and imagery as well as in the novelty and intensity of its visions. In this respect, NICHOLSON seems to shed light on his own observation that "there is nothing spiritual in Muhammad's pictures of Heaven and Hell" by a quotation from A.A. BEVAN:

At first the idea of a future retribution was absolutely new both to Muhammad himself and to the public which he addressed. Paradise and Hell had no traditional associations, and the Arabic language furnished no religious terminology for the expression of such ideas; if they were to be made comprehensible at all, it could only be done by means of precise descriptions, of imagery borrowed from earthly affairs.⁴⁴

40. NICHOLSON: op. cit. 167.

44. The Beliefs of Early Mohammedans Respecting Future Existence. In: Journal of Theological Studies, October 1904, 22, quoted in NICHOLSON: op cit. 168.

^{41.} Loc. cit.

^{42.} Koran XXVI, 224-26.

^{43.} Op. cit. 159.

If its imagery was concrete and realistic, it does not mean that the Koran did not open new perspectives on life and on the hereafter. These perspectives changed the old notions of the relationship between man and deity, reorienting and integrating some of the old pagan virtues within the new creed. With the new belief in retribution and in immortality, life acquired a different meaning, and transient things were endowed with a metaphysical dimension. Both a creed and a law, Islam induced the pious Muslim to feel divine presence everywhere in his life, and here the concrete imagery of the Koran played a definite role.⁴⁵

Besides its concrete imagery and realistic descriptions, the Koran had mystic elements ready to be developed once the tradition of meditation had been developed. In any case, the lack of metaphysical terminology, coupled with the new notion of God's compassion, prepared the way for a loving attitude towards God to be expressed with the only available terminology, that of the concrete human love. This new attitude will be discussed in the following section. For the moment, it might be advisable to emphasize two of the major results connected with the imaginative and poetic activities stimulated by the new piety.

By introducing the concept of eternal life, Islam made each moment and aspect of the believer's life infinitely more meaningful than that of the pagan Arab whose life was encircled by Death. This new dimension could not fail to excite the believer's imagination concerning metaphysical matters, and to make him consider his waking hours a part of the one divine scheme. Although it preserved the pagan belief in the jinn, Islam distinguished between believing jinn, i.e., the angels, and unbelieving jinn, i.e., the demons. Moreover, the new piety introduced a new type, namely, "the religious athlete, who lives exclusively for his religious duties... Only under Islam does the introvert find a place in society."⁴⁶

This new religious imagination incorporated much of the old beliefs. Indeed, the mark of the new religion is a strong drive towards syncretism around the unifying principle of the Koran.

As an extension of the communication with the supernatural through Gabriel, the Greatest Angel, Islam claimed absolute truth, i.e., a status beyond time and space, and consequently above history. This entailed intolerance for the future practice of any other means of communicating with the divine. Thus, poetry, magic, soothsaying, etc., although not

^{45.} Cf. the famous Koranic image of God as closer to man "than his jocular vein." Koran XVI, 50.

^{46.} VON GRUNEBAUM: Modern Islam. New York 1964, 24.

categorically banned, tended to be despised by the pious. The old daemons that survived in poetry were degraded to the status of mere devils, and lost much of their sacred touch. Prophecy was ended with Muhammad. Seers could only see interpretations of what the Koran described, but visions which contradicted the Koran were not allowed.

The forces of the irrational were not to sleep forever. These forces were incorporated within the early images of Paradise and Hell, and accompanied the Arab conquerors through the vast regions they occupied. Angels were fighting on their side, voices were heard from India to Mecca, and many other instances of the intervention of the supernatural in the lives and minds of the early Muslims became current belief.

One important side of the manifestation of the imagination without breaking the basic tenets of the new religion was the invention of traditions concerning the Prophet himself and his Companions,⁴⁷ or regarding the descriptions of Paradise and Hell. One classic example is the *mi'rāğ* (Ascension) story that resulted from these early elaborations on some succinct allusions to the nature of the hereafter. This was a vast field in which Arabs and non-Arabs contributed extensively under the guise of Traditions, history, biography, stories, anecdotes, and the like. Most of it, however, was given a touch of respectability by means of a quasi-religious authority, viz. the *isnād* method, or by incorporating the new imaginative flight in the explanation of the original cryptic text of the Koran or the Tradition. Thus, the theological, linguistic, and historical fields connected with religion have provided a vast scope for the supernatural, extrarational dimension to flourish under the guise of rational, logical, or reasonable exegesis or apologetics.

This did not, however, allow any personal inspiration to enter the picture. The rule was that Revelation and Tradition are the final truth, and the only possible expatiation on this truth should be inferred from it just as logical conclusions are inferred from premises. The field of knowledge was thus limited to what is given as absolutely true, and to the complementary details which one could infer from what has been revealed, or from what was practiced by the ideal life of the Prophet. The rival Law schools, the Hanafī and Mālikī schools, do not contradict this principle. The difference between them is only a matter of accepting any inference by analogy, as the

^{47.} These traditions were at the same time channels for the continuity of many pagan notions: "Inséparable de la poésie archaïque, comme elle, cette masse de récits est le prolongement du Paganisme arabe que l'Islam s'efforça de réduire ou de transformer. La toute-puissance de cet élément dans le développement littéraire va d'ailleurs se manifester dans le domaine suspect, celui de la biographie de Mahomet." BLACHÈRE: op. cit. III, 795.

former does, or confining truth to the Koran and the Tradition, as the latter does. In both cases, Truth was limited, or limitable. Hence, it was natural that Islamic Law would reach a point with the Sunni schools where all truth would be considered already attained, and the "door of speculation"⁴⁸ would be definitely closed.

IV. Ta'wil AND SUFISM

In the context described above, the biggest loser was the poet. The Koran did not ban poetry totally, but attacked in particular the pagan spirit which it had championed. Considered as the "Seal of the Prophets".⁴⁹ Muhammad was at the same time the seal on the poets' claim to any vision of truth which contradicts the Koran. The *šā'ir*, whose main importance lay in his access to a spiritual knowledge above the normal human level, was deprived of his claim to such knowledge. The Koran accused the poets of sin and error. Although they still kept their magic tools, most poets lost their faith in the sacred character of their art. Poetry remained the strongest weapon in the hands of an individual or a tribe. Its function became more social and political, and it was gradually losing its claim to any revelation of truth; it could still claim inspiration, but not truth. In spite of the lingering belief in the poet's *šaytān*, the tendency towards considering poetry as a craft (sinā'a) was growing. In his Risālat al-gufrān, al-Ma'arrī (d. 1057) is accompanied by his šaytān on a cosmic trip on which he encounters tens of other poetic šaytāns: here the poetic jinni has become a mere image, more sarcastic than serious.⁵⁰

The desacralization of poetry through the imposition of an absolute spiritual truth chased poetry outside the walls of the religious fortress, not because poets could not find inspiration within the framework of religious truths, but because their inspiration would be unfounded, since the only accepted propositions were those based on a chain of authorities leading back to the prophetic source, whether in the Word of God or in the Tradition of His Prophet. Although the pretense of the scholarly methods, in particular that of *isnād*, did not prevent people from forging and inventing, it certainly did oblige them to bow to the principle that only One Supernatural and Absolute Truth exists.

1

^{48.} To be understood, of course, as "interpretation" (iğtihād).

^{49.} Koran XL, 33.

^{50.} VON GRUNEBAUM: Kritik und Dichtkunst. Wiesbaden 1955, 142-143; HEINRICHS: op. cit. 32-56; and N. Ni'MA: al-Ğinn fi l-adab al-'arabī. Beirut 1961, 191 et seq.

One could find some parallel between this phenomenon and what happened in medieval Christianity: in both cases, it seems that revelation used philosophy as a tool. Rationality is harmless to religion as long as it is subjected to its ends. Since rationality does not necessarily stand for any particular metaphysical position, reason could generally be used in a distorted way to prove one religion or its opposite. Islamic philosophy before Ibn Rušd (d. 1198) had followed the same pattern. By so doing, the people of the Tradition, or Sunna, conservative on both levels of theology and socio-ethical rules, kept the poets in the predicament imposed upon them by the Koran. But the poets were not alone in this predicament, for this rule applied to all branches of knowledge which had any bearing on the spiritual or metaphysical realm.

This state of spiritual dogmatism had to meet with opposition sooner or later. Since this dogmatism was implemented on the social and political levels, its first opposition developed in the political sphere, concerning the right to the Caliphate, and constituted the original seed which grew into a radical dissent, spreading from the political level to the legal and religious levels, and to the whole intellectual and spiritual dimensions of Islam. Thus, Shī'ism, the new Islamic sect, assumed great historical importance, whence its essential relevance to the present investigation. For, although it did not escape dogmatic ossification, Shī'ism does introduce a basic dimension, without which Islamic mysticism would have been hard to conceive of. This new dimension was that of continuous divine guidance through the descendants of the Prophet.

The quarrel over the Caliphate divided the Muslims into two major sects: the Sunnis, who followed the first four Caliphs and then the Umayyad dynasty, and the Shī'ites, who claimed that 'Alī, the Prophet's cousin and son-in-law, and his descendants after him were the sole legal Caliphs, whom they called Imāms. The important difference between Caliph and Imām was that the former is a mere representative of the religious and secular *umma* (nation, or community) of Islam who could, in principle, be elected into or out of office, while the Imām was born as such, divinely inspired, and hence infallible. This new element brought back to life a sense of divine immanence. For it is correct to say that Islam is the most transcendant of all religions only, if we mean Sunni Islam. In Shī'ism, on the other hand, the Imām secures the continuity of revelation (or, at least, of inspiration), if not in the basic tenets, at least in dividing guidance and the understanding of the Word of God.

The new sect provided much room for esoteric interpretations of the meaning hidden under the veil of Koranic sounds and written words. For discovering these meanings, the infallible Imām was an indispensible guide. "The conviction that to everything that is apparent, literal, external, exoteric $(z\bar{a}hir)$ there corresponds something hidden, spiritual, internal, esoteric $(b\bar{a}tin)$, is the spiritual principle which is at the very foundation of Shī'ism as a religious phenomenon. It is the central postulate of esoterism and of esoteric hermeneutics $(ta'w\bar{u}l)$."⁵¹

CORBIN argues convincingly that, aside from the historical affinities between the Shī'ites and the Ṣūfīs,⁵² "the fundamental kinship between Shī'ism and Ṣūfīsm"⁵³ is based on this esoterism. Similarly, CORBIN emphasizes the spiritual mission of the Imām. "It is an initiatic mission; its function is to initiate into the $ta'w\bar{v}l$, and initiation into the $ta'w\bar{v}l$ marks spiritual birth."⁵⁴

By closing prophetic revelation, Muhammad left the *umma* in a paradoxical situation. One might say that, in some sense, Islam caused the first major break in the Arab's ingenuous fellowship with the spirit-world. Although God is *ar-Rahmān*, *ar-Rahīm*, His austere transcendentalism left the *umma* with only the Book. This paradoxical situation not only exalts the word, both as creative act and as cognitive medium, but it also sets limits to God's Word, closing its revelation with the Koran.

In this context, we can understand the extreme importance of Shī'ite, and especially of Ṣūfī doctrine in bridging "the infinite abyss between God and man on which one might say, Islamic doctrine is predicated."⁵⁵

Şūfism goes beyond Shī'ism in that it does not confine divine inspiration to the Imām. Although Ṣūfism developed its own élite, and had its spiritual leaders, in principle at least, the mystic 'way' was opened for every one who wanted to take it. The perfect success of the quest for the Beloved was never guaranteed; it depended on the degree of mutual love between man and God. However, the possible result was sufficiently tempting for innumerable individuals to follow the 'way' and seek the annihilation of their will in the Beloved's Will.

With the Sūfīs, we have an outstanding illustration of the pattern which we tried to discuss in the first chapter of this study. VON GRUNEBAUM succinctly describes the new religious attitude:

^{51.} H. CORBIN: Creative Imagination in the Sūfism of Ibn 'Arabī. Princeton 1969, 78. 52. "Witness for example Haydar Āmulī (fourteenth century)... who proclaimed that true Shī'ism was Şūfism and that reciprocally true Şūfism was Shī'ism." Ibid. 26.

^{53.} Ibid. 78.

^{54.} Ibid. 79.

^{55.} VON GRUNEBAUM: Medieval Islam, 136.

Law and dogma claimed a basis in reason. Dialectics was the means to ascend from truth to truth. The Sûfî spurned the logical process. Knowledge gained by demonstration was a very different thing from gnosis vouchsafed the intuitive soul. Not from outside with the aid of sagacious combinations of abstract concepts, but from within, under the dictation of the Friend who illuminates the self-searching heart, does the mystic arrive at his insights. Not ratiocination, but union with the One is the source of their cognition as it is the goal of their way.⁵⁶

This radical religious attitude was later reconciled with Islamic orthodoxy by al-Gazālī (d. 1111). "When al-Gazâlî's ideas had been adopted by the consensus, the great movement of mysticism... had been secured for othodox Islam."⁵⁷ Eventually, Şūfism became a mode of religious experience open to all Islamic sects. As a religious movement, Sūfism developed such a vast scope that it was able to accommodate within its esoteric freedom widely diverging trends. Within Sūfism we find the desire to reunite with the divinity as with one's mother, or in aš-Šiblī's terms, "as little children in the bosom of God."⁵⁸ In addition, within Sūfism the esoteric way allows the adept to go, not only beyond the Koran, but also beyond the state of prophecy and saintliness, and to declare his unity with God.⁵⁹

In his attack on the alarming extremism reached by many $S\bar{u}f\bar{n}s$, the Illuminist ($i\bar{s}r\bar{a}q\bar{i}$) Mullā Sadrā $S\bar{s}r\bar{a}z\bar{i}$ (d. 1640) strongly disapproves of the $S\bar{a}thiyy\bar{a}t$ ascribed to Bistāmī (d. 875), Hallāğ (d. 922), and others.⁶⁰ His position is relevant here, since it gives us an idea about the meaning and potential of esoteric interpretation. Mullā Sadrā, who was far from being a religious conservative, argues that if canonical texts were to be interpreted without rational justification, the words of God, as well as those of the Prophet, would become useless. "For the esoteric meaning (*al-bāțin*) cannot be accurate... It can be interpreted in different ways... This is also one of the most evil causes of corruption and of innovation, popular among those who call themselves the Sūfīs."⁶¹

61. Ibid. 30.

^{56.} Ibid. 137.

^{57.} Ibid. 137-38. See also W.M. WATT: The Faith and Practice of al-Ghazālī. London 1953, 15 et passim.

^{58.} Quoted by VON GRUNEBAUM: Medieval Islam, 129, from M. SMITH: Studies in Early Mysticism. London 1931, 172, quoting from ISAAC'S Mystical Treatises. Trans. Wensinck. Amsterdam 1923.

^{59.} See NICHOLSON: Studies in Islamic Mysticism. Cambridge 1921, 79.

^{60.} See Mulla Şadra Šīrazī: Aşnām al-ğahiliyya. Tehran 1962, 28-30.

Mullā Ṣadrā reserves his greatest wrath for the $B\bar{a}tiniyya$, who "attempt to destroy all the Šarī'a by interpreting its outward meanings and making it accord with their opinion."⁶² To him, the evil of the $B\bar{a}tiniyya$ against religion is "greater than that of the devils."⁶³

Thus, for good or ill, the esoteric approach marks a new freedom for the individual to assert his personal vision of the Truth. The individual is now able to declare himself an epiphany of this Truth,⁶⁴ a declaration involving a central paradox that generates its characteristic ramifications on the level of poetic imagery.

We shall have to deal with this paradox in our discussion of $G\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s Laylī u Mağnūn. For the moment let us consider briefly the way Şūfism affected the imaginative creativity of the Muslims. In this, our purpose is not to be exhaustive, but to emphasize certain aspects of the Şūfī influence on literary sensibility in general, and, in particular, on the mood and means of poetic expression which were crucial in the early development of the Mağnūn legend.

REVERDIN'S remarks concerning Plato's religious experience and the expression thereof may shed light on the problems of expression which face mystics in general:

Non seulement le contact de l'être divin éblouit l'âme et l'aveugle, mais pour qui, à force de peine, a "découvert l'auteur et le père de cet univers, il est impossible d'aller conter à tout le monde qui il est." (*Timée*, 28c). A cause de l'ignorance du vulgaire et de son défaut de préparation pour aborder de tels sujets, certes, mais aussi "parce que les mots sont impuissants à révéler ce que l'on a ressenti."⁶⁵

Not only Plato, but all great religious minds, when faced with the task of expressing their mystical experience, have had to content themselves with "aproximations successives, au moyen de mythes, d'images et d'allégories."⁶⁶

The Sūfīs dealt with this situation in a manner which had lasting results on the spiritual and aesthetic realms of Muslim culture. Among these results, the most relevant to our investigation are analyzed in GIBB's

^{62.} Loc. cit.

^{63.} Loc. cit.

^{64.} For example, Hallāğ in his famous saying, "Anā 'l-Haqq" (I am the Truth) or "I am the Creative Truth, or God." Cf. NICHOLSON: *Studies in Islamic Mysticism*, 79.

^{65.} Olivier REVERDIN: La religion de la cité platonicienne. Paris 1945, 39-40. 66. Ibid. 40.

essay *Structure of Religious Thought in Islam*,⁶⁷ upon which I shall rely heavily in making the following remarks.

Highly relevant to the development of the Mağnūn legend in Arabic and Persian literatures is the interaction on (a) the psycho-religious level, and (b) the artistic level, between the spiritual elitism of the Ṣūfīs and the more instinctive drives of the popular mind.

a) On the psycho-religious level, two seemingly opposite tendencies were simultaneously developed and brought into conjunction. First, old beliefs, suppressed by orthodoxy, were provided with channels through which they penetrated the Muslim community; some of these beliefs, "like the hermetic 'sciences,' penetrated its imaginative universe to an extent which baffles the modern students."⁶⁸ Secondly, the reaction against "the doctrine of 'difference', so separating man entirely from God,"⁶⁹ resulted in extremist theosophical and incarnational doctrines, but "gradually, and especially in its popular forms, it came more and more to equate God's indwelling in the world with the animistic idea of divine powers and qualities inherent in material objects and persons."⁷⁰

Thus, "the same circumstances which released the imaginative powers of the elites, also released, at a second remove, the inherited religious instincts of the masses."⁷¹ Popular Ṣūfism revived saint worship, with its veneration for seers and teachers. "Thus, under the cover of Ṣūfism, saint worship reintroduced into Islam the old association of religion with magic."⁷² Divination, charms, and the like make it difficult to "see wherein later popular darwishism differs from pre-Islamic animism except in mere externals."⁷³

b) On the artistic level, we find again that the $S\bar{u}f\bar{l}s$ have generated and conjoined two tendencies: one towards the highly symbolic and hermetic, another towards the more instinctive and popular. The $S\bar{u}f\bar{l}s$ "asserted the rights of the imaginative reason in religion and the claim of the intuitive aesthetic impulse to seek an outlet in the face of its repression by the orthodox system."⁷⁴ As already mentioned, the spirit of orthodoxy prevailed not only in the religious, but also in the literary and linguistic

68. Ibid. 211.
 69. Ibid. 210.
 70. Ibid. 212.
 71. Ibid. 213.
 72. Ibid. 215.
 73. Loc. cit.
 74. Ibid. 211.

^{67.} In: Studies on the Civilization of Islam. Boston 1962, 176-218.

fields. Moreover, Islam was denied the visual arts through which other religions channeled the intuitive aesthetic impulse. Thus, the Şūfīs

took their revenge by assuming characteristic forms of behavior and discourse. It is not coincidental that, at the time when the formal literatures of the Arabs and Persians had become completely dissociated from the popular literary arts, precisely these, and particularly the wine song, the short story and the romance, were taken up and recast as the main vehicles of sufi experience.⁷⁵

V. THE EARLY VERSIONS OF THE LEGEND

In the coming chapters, we shall see that the acquisition of a new imaginative freedom and the interpenetration of the two levels discussed above were a fundamental part of the ambiance which fostered the rise and development of the Mağnūn legend. For the moment, in order to realize the extent to which Ṣūfī influences could have penetrated the legend both in its themes and in its imagery, let us discuss briefly the structure of the early versions of the legend and the level of interpretation which could legitimately be applied to its imagery.

As far as we can ascertain, the earliest extant version of the legend is that of Ibn Qutayba (d.889). Shortly after him, Ibn Dāwūd (d.910) included in his *Kitāb az-Zahra*⁷⁶ some poetic fragments ascribed to Mağnūn. About a century later, Abu l-Farağ al-Isfahānī (d. 967) collated in his *Agānī* numerous anecdotes and poetic fragments, ascribed to Mağnūn or told about him.

These are the main sources of the legend before *Maṣāri' al-'uššāq* of Abū Naṣr as-Sarrāğ (d. 1106).⁷⁷ Since the oldest extant manuscript of Wā-libī's *Qays b. al-Mulawwaḥ al-Maǧnūn wa-dīwānuh* goes back only to 1245 A.D.,⁷⁸ and since we are certain neither of Wālibī's identity nor of his period, let us for the moment regard the above-mentioned writers as his predecessors or possibly his contemporaries.⁷⁹

78. See İNALCIK'S Introduction to Dīwān, 34.

79. There is a possibility that Wālibī's original version had already been written before *Kitāb aš-Ši'r*. This problem will be discussed in the coming chapter.

^{75.} Loc. cit.

^{76.} See Ibn Abī Sulaymān Dāwūd: *Kitāb az-Zahra*, I. Ed. A.R. Nykl. Chicago 1932. Nykl believes that *az-Zahra* must have been written around 890 A.D. See his Introduction, *Ibid.* 2.

^{77.} As-Sarrāğ: *Maşāri' al-'uššāq*. Beirut 1958, henceforth referred to in footnotes as *Maşāri'*. For the list of sources which include short mentions of Mağnūn, see KRAČKOVSKIJ: *op cit.* 3-8 *et passim*. For long discussions of the main sources except Wālibī, see VADET: *op. cit.* "Deuxième Partie", Livres I, III, and IV.

An examination of these sources shows that Mağnūn's place steadily grows in importance,⁸⁰ until it attains its largest dimensions in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, through which it reached the greatest reading public.⁸¹

We may infer from the material recorded by Ibn Qutayba and Ibn Dāwūd that, contrary to KRAČKOVSKIJ's opinion,⁸² the figure of Mağnūn was already firmly established before the last quarter of the ninth century, since neither author seems to be inventing this figure. But whereas Ibn Dāwūd presents a fragmented image of Mağnūn, through poetic excerpts scattered among different love topics, Ibn Qutayba presents Mağnūn as a unified character and gives a narrative account of his story or legend. In either case, the material included does not exceed a fourth of what $Ag\bar{g}n\bar{n}$ was to include in the second half of the tenth century. Nevertheless, Ibn Dāwūd establishes Mağnūn among the major love poets, while Ibn Qutayba presents us with the basic elements of his legend. These elements were later expanded and retold in different variations, but the figure of Mağnūn was unmistakably drawn by Ibn Qutayba.

In examining Ibn Qutayba's text, we note some of the major features of the accounts of Mağnūn's life and poetry.

a) Already in Kitāb aš-Ši'r one encounters the doubts concerning Mağnūn's name, his madness, and the authenticity of some of his poetry.

b) Compared with the majority of the chapters on other poets, the story of Mağnūn in *Kitāb aš-Ši'r* is distinguished by its concentration on a single theme, and by the predominance of dramatic settings in the treatment of this theme.⁸³

c) Among the famous love-poets who were heroes of love-romances, Mağnūn is the only one depicted as a madman whose total alienation from society leads him to live with the wild animals of the desert.

d) Kitab as-Si'r follows the isnad style, even when it does not mention the intermediary links between the author and the hero of the story. Unity of theme and succinctness of expression are Ibn Qutayba's main techniques in creating a narrative which resembles a short story. This story is made out

^{80.} Cf. KRAČKOVSKIJ's analysis of this phenomenon: op. cit., passim.

^{81.} Cf. Ibid. 6.

^{82. &}quot;Der grundstock bildet sich ungefähr in der zweiten hälfte des 10. jahrhunderts, und er gibt im wesentlichen den ton an für die gesamte weitere entwicklung." KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 3.

^{83.} The chapter dealing with Mağnūn (pp. 467-77) is longer than those dealing with Ğamīl (pp. 346-55), 'Urwa b. Hizām (pp. 519-23) or Qays b. Darīh (pp. 524-25). The relatively long chapter on Kutayyir 'Azza (pp. 410-23) is not confined to the love-theme, but includes panegyrics and political poetry.

of variations on a theme; and the theme is illustrated by poetic fragments. Although the anecdotes we find in poetic anthologies tend to fulfill an explanatory function, some anecdotes in Ibn Qutayba's story of Mağnūn seem to stand on their own. This may illustrate the fact that the anecdotes about Magnun's love and madness seem to have been drawn from already established patterns, regardless of the particular verses associated with them.⁸⁴ This tendency to use the same motifs again and again in connection with heroes of popular themes is illustrated by later collections of such anecdotes.⁸⁵ However, Ibn Qutayba's special achievement resides in his success to sustain interest in a credible character. He subordinates to one theme the traditionally concrete details of poetic and prose descriptions, thus incarnating the common poetic clichés and narrative motifs into a living human being. All we know about this human being, at this point, except that he belongs to the 'Amir tribe, is that he is the person who takes poetry so seriously as to live it concretely in real life. He incarnates poetry written about a mad lover, with an additional factor, so fundamental to his character: Mağnun himself is the madman, the lover, and the poet at one and the same time.

Ibn Qutayba succeeds in imparting some unity to the anecdotes and poetic fragments he juxtaposes under the name of Mağnūn. In spite of the difference in the sources of the anecdotes, Ibn Qutayba relates the story in a homogeneous style and keeps his choice of poetic fragments at the level of the educated reader.⁸⁶

However, the unity of composition ends here, and we soon notice that the different anecdotes are small entities, assembled with some degree of intricacy into a mosaic pattern. The lack of organic unity between the various parts of the narrative is evident in some contradictions in different reports about Mağnūn's behavior.⁸⁷

Whereas substantially different accounts concerning purported matters of fact may safely be judged inconsistent, no such simple standard of consistency can apply to the realm of poetic vision and figures of speech. Within this realm, mosaic composition attains its full scope and does not depend only on oral tradition. This mosaic composition is inherent in the



^{84.} Compare for instance the anecdote concerning Mağnūn's freeing of gazelles, because of their resemblance to Laylā, and the different choice of verses associated with it in *Kitāb aš-Ši'r*, 416-417 (told about an anonymous person); Masari' I, 62-63; Aganī, 66-67.

^{85.} Cf. Mașāri', passim. We shall see that this is the case with Wālibī.

^{86.} Cf. GAUDEFROY-DEMOMBYNES: op. cit. X-XIII.

^{87.} See for instance two contradictory accounts concerning Magnun's attitude towards wearing clothes, on pp. 469 and 474.

very principles of Arabic prosody, which seems to result almost necessarily in products of collective composition.

Built on the unity of the line, the Arabic poem itself presents a mosaic structure consisting of separate entities of meaning, concisely expressed in single lines. These entities are sometimes related to a general theme, and must follow one rhythm and one end-ryhme. However, even in the very few cases of narrative poetry, the necessity to keep the line as separate entity tended to disrupt the unity of the poem.

Since it was moreover a blemish to allow the structure of a sentence to run over from one couplet to the next, so that each couplet was thought of as a complete rhetorical statement, the greatest ingenuity was needed to concatenate the several statements together to create the impression of a continuous, or at any rate harmonious narration.⁸⁸

With purely lyrical poetry, the task was much more difficult. Even when, through the cohesiveness of their theme and the intensity of their poetic state, some poets managed to integrate their verses in a certain unity of mood, this unity did not prevent the poem from disintegrating. In short, it would seem that the principle of the unity of the line impaired the unity of the poem, and made it vulnerable to changes in the sequence of its verses, and to haphazard additions and subtractions.

If the unity of the line made the poem a necklace of "pearls at random strung," oral tradition seems to have led to its total disintegration. In principle, the reciters, who had to memorize great numbers of poems, tended to keep in mind the more striking verses. Since these verses had no necessary organic relationship among them, it was easy to change their position, and to mix the verses of one poet or of different poets, as long as these verses were uniform in meter and ryhme, and vaguely compatible in theme. Due to the general lack of stylistic and thematic individuality, many of these verses become anonymous or at least ascribable to more than one poet. In other words, they become what one may call "floating verses".

Against this background, we realize the power of the $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}$ to concoct a poem and ascribe it to a special poet, or even to invent an imaginary poet and attribute to him a whole $d\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ made out of "floating verses". As soon as he is invented, such a poet will represent a concrete universal, which embodies in a concrete human being the spirit of popular motifs.

^{88.} A. J. ARBERRY: Arabic Poetry. Cambridge 1965, 7.

^{89.} Agānī, 10.

To lead an independent, self-generating life, all this human being needs is the nourishing energy of popular imagination.

There are many indications of the great appeal that Mağnūn exerted on the popular imagination. As early as the middle of the ninth century al-Ğāḥiẓ (d. 868) realizes that "people have not left any poetry said by any poet about any (girl called) Laylā without attributing it to Mağnūn."⁸⁹

The aristocratic attitude of Ibn al-Mu'tazz (861-908) illuminates this problem further: "The common, simple-minded people are accustomed to attributing to Abū Nuwās every shameless and wanton poem, and they do the same in the case of Mağnūn: every poem that mentions Laylā they attribute to Mağnūn."⁹⁰

Moreover, the conventionality of the motif of the love-mad poet is illustrated by the following account by al-Aşma'ī (d. 828):

I asked a Bedouin from the clan of 'Āmir b. Ṣa'ṣa'a about Maǧnūn the 'Āmirite. He said, "About which one are you asking me? Many among us have been accused of madness, so about which one are you asking?" I said, "About the one who used to compose amatory verses about Laylā."⁹¹

After these accounts, made in the early and middle ninth century, the same pattern of Mağnūn's popularity is manifested in the continuous increase in the corpus of his poetry.

Much, if not all, of the poetry Ibn Qutayba ascribes to Mağnūn was attributed to other poets. This is documented by 'A. A. FARRĀĞ in his collection of the poetry said to belong to Mağnūn.⁹² Moreover, an examination of the poetic excerpts of Mağnūn included in *az-Zahra* indicates that these are not significantly different from what we find under the various poetic themes of that anthology.

The doubt raised by Ibn Qutayba concerning Mağnūn's real name and madness becomes a central problem for Isfahānī, who questions the very existence of Mağnūn. Isfahānī presents all sides of the controversy and concludes that, since most of the poetry mentioned in Mağnūn's anecdotes is also ascribed to others, the author himself will not guarantee its authenticity.⁹³

What the Agānī presents is not substantially different from Kitāb aš-

 ^{90.} Ibn al-Mu'tazz: Tabaqāt aš-šu'arā' al-muhdatīn. Ed. E. Eghbal, London 1939, 34.
 91. Agānī, 9.

^{92.} See 'A. A. FARRAĞ: Dīwān Mağnūn Laylā. Cairo 1963.

^{93.} See Agānī, 12.

 $\check{S}i'r$. Nonetheless, it provides us with a valuable document concerning the development of the story about a century after Ibn Qutayba.

One of the most fascinating parts of Isfahānī's account is the first section — about one tenth of the whole account — in which he raises the question of authenticity. Here, Isfahānī's refinement and literary subtlety are shown at their best. As one reads the various sides of the controversy, presented in the usual isnād mold, one begins to realize that the value of the isnād should not necessarily be limited to its role as a historiographical device. In the "authenticity" episode of Isfahānī's account, the isnād is transformed by Isfahānī's artistic sensitivity into a literary device. This device allows the author to portray one of the most lively pictures of the mental interplay between illusion and reality. By assuming an apparent neutrality vis-à-vis the isnāds, and by declaring his innocence and declining to guarantee what he cites, Isfahānī not only places the whole story in doubt, but also undermines any scientific value left in the isnād method itself. Thus, we realize that between historical authenticity and literary effect, the author of the Agani has no difficulty choosing. Upon reading and re-reading the "authenticity" episode, in search of historical indications, one has the impression that Isfahānī wrote this episode in a somewhat playful mood. It is doubtful that in any other Arabic account the isnād is given such an artistic effectiveness. Because of the obvious contradictions between the texts (matn's) of the various reports, the isnād has a double, self-contradictory function: it purports to establish the truth of a certain report, but succeeds only in forfeiting its own validity. On one and the same page, we have the same person, ar-Riyāšī, report that he heard al-Așma'ī say (1) that Mağnūn "was not mad, but a little crazy, like Abū Hayya an-Numayri," and (2) that "two men were never known in this world - except by the name Mağnūn: Mağnūn of the Banū 'Āmir, and Ibn al-Qirriyya but invented by the rāwīs."94 Comparing both statements (of which the first takes Magnun's existence for granted, and the second denies it), one cannot help reaching the conclusion that someone along the chain of this isnād must have invented something. And my belief, in this connection, is that Isfahānī himself, refined artist that he was, is the last to be exempted from all responsibility, in spite of his plea for innocence.

Trop souvent aussi, dans leur collecte de récits historicobiographiques, les érudits cèdent sans résistance au goût du romanesque ou du merveilleux. Abû-l-Faraj ... sait fort que les récits sur les amours de Majnûn et Laylâ n'ont aucun fondement historique et sont

94. Agānī, 6.

l'oeuvre d'un faussaire du II^e/VIII^e siècle. Malgré tout, il les rapporte avec une complaisance explicable seulement par le goût qu'il y trouve.⁹⁵

One of Ibn Qutayba's most interesting attempts to bestow historical credibility on Mağnūn is the suprising last statement of his story, "Mağnūn has offspring in Nağd."⁹⁶ Yet, the real effect of this statement, so basically inconsistent with the rest of the story, is to awaken even the most credulous reader to the contradiction involved.⁹⁷

Isfahānī goes far beyond Ibn Qutayba, both in the quantity of anecdotes and poetry which he attributes to Magnun, and in the effect of his mosaic composition. His composition leads the theme through an arabesque of variations which resembles a collage of short scenes, featuring one person in different, yet thematically related situations. Isfahānī does not, any more than Ibn Qutayba, develop the theme in any extensive temporal movement. The main extension remains spatial, and is built with additional anecdotes and some elaborate details, which seem to have ramified during the decades separating the two authors. The spatial juxtapositions rely on the same kind of short accounts we encountered with Ibn Qutayba.98 The story expands horizontally, as a result of an associative progress, whereby the character of the personage gradually assumes its form by means of oblique strokes. However, what we have here is not a portrait painted by one man, but a mosaic put together out of shards of prose and fragments of poetry. Like most of the classics of Arabic literature, the Agānī is a collection of small entities lacking in organic unity. In this, the story of Magnun, just as the poems it includes, is made out of "pearls at random strung."

Compared with Ibn Qutayba's narrative, Işfahānī's version marks a substantial increase in the bulk of poetry as opposed to prose. Primarily a book of songs, the $Ag\bar{a}n\bar{i}$ intersperses the material it includes with short information about songs and singers. It also lapses into some digressions which bear only a tangential relationship to the theme.⁹⁹

98. The longest of these accounts is a somewhat expanded version of the one related in *Kitāb aš-Ši'r* by the sheikh from the Murra tribe. See the last episode of the Appendix, and $Ag\bar{a}n\bar{i}$, 71-74.

99. See Agānī, 31 and 47-49.

^{95.} BLACHÈRE: op. cit. 122.

^{96.} Kitāb aš-Ši'r, 476.

^{97.} According to Ibn Quťayba's story, Mağnūn is supposed to have remained unmarried and chaste, and to have died alone in the desert (see Appendix). Moreover, Ibn Qutayba does not know Mağnūn's real name and thus cannot ascertain whether or not he had offspring in Nağd. Cf. *Kitāb aš-Si'r*, 467.

With Wālibī, the increase in the bulk of poetry reaches the extent of a $d\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$. The commentary is fragmentary and generally short. Wālibī, as we shall see in the coming chapter, allows a mixture of the simple folkloric and the Ṣūfī levels of interpretation. The $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ retains the same structure we have seen in the two previous books, while relying more heavily on the mosaic arrangement of material. Here, one has the impression of reading an account by a popular story-teller in the tradition of the *samar*.¹⁰⁰ In Wālibī, there is no doubt raised about Mağnūn's existence, nor much effort expended to substantiate the sources of the material. We are very far here from the intensity and conciseness of Ibn Qutayba. The theme does not present any linear progression and the story seems to go nowhere.

The $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ is interesting, nonetheless, precisely because it is a $d\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, and because it is a concoction of various sorts of verse, borrowed and forged.¹⁰¹ The conspicuous feature in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ is that the poetry added to the two previous versions tends to become narrative, or at least descriptive. In this, the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ is the closest version to a poetic romance about Mağnūn in Arabic. To make it a real romance, however, one would have to rearrange its verses and fill in the gaps. As it stands, it can only testify to a non-organic collective composition, which juxtaposes the highest products of refined poetry and the most banal of prosaic verse.

Thus, before Nizāmī, the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ is the product of a development described by BLACHÈRE:

En somme vers la fin du III^e/IX^e siècle, la masse des poésies connues sous le nom de Majnûn constituait une oeuvre collective échelonnée sur plusieurs générations; cet ensemble, au cours des deux siècles suivants, paraît s'être encore grossi d'une foule d'apports a dominante "courtoise."¹⁰²

In this connection, let us stress the fact that the additions to the $D\bar{w}\bar{a}n$ were only "à dominante courtoise", and that in the rise and continuous expansion of the Mağnūn legend, as well as in the structure of the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$, we have an outstanding example of the interpenetration of Şūfī and popular ideas. Thus, we can hear Sūfī overtones in the "courtois" melodic phrases. It is true that they are only overtones and are not as yet intricately orchestrated into the main theme of the legend; nonetheless, they are the right prelude for subsequent developments of the theme.

^{100.} Cf. BLACHÈRE: op. cit. 790-95, 657-58.

^{101.} Cf. FARRAĞ: op. cit. passim; and KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 47.

^{102.} BLACHÈRE: op. cit. 658.

CHAPTER THREE WĀLIBĪ'S DĪWĀN OF MAĞNŪN

Before discussing the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, it is perhaps advisable to note here that focusing our attention on Wālibī's text does not necessarily prevent us from referring to the texts which preceded it, or which were of the same period. Indeed, it will be argued that, since we are not sure of the identity, period, or even historicity of Wālibī, the importance of his version derives from its popularity, its inclusiveness, and its place as a common denominator among the different Arabic versions.

Since the structure of the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ does not alter what we called the arabesque or mosaic style of the versions already discussed, it goes without saying that this mosaic composition admits, nay encourages, contrasts, digressions, and even clear contradictions. The resultant composition is not the kind of consistent whole which a more organic work can constitute; the incongruity of the different elements of the story has been pointed out by KRAČKOVSKIJ and others. Our concern here is to analyze the major poetic motifs in Wālibī's version, and to suggest an archetypal pattern, within which these motifs can be integrated.

Accordingly, the present chapter will consist of (I) a discussion of Wālibī and his text from the historical and literary points of view, (II) an analysis of the Mağnūn archetype, and (III) a short conclusion concerning the unity of the archetype and its significance.

I. WALIBI AND HIS Qays Ibn al-Mulawwah al-Mağnūn wa-dīwānuh

Wālibī follows the same isnad style which we have seen with the previous authors. With him, it becomes clear that the isnad is much less rigorous from the historical point of view and serves only as a conventional device, probably meant to lend credibility to his accounts.¹

^{1.} See KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 7, in which he expresses the opinion that Wālibī's text "kontaminiert ganz wahllos verse aus verschiedenen quellen und legt den grund zu der wucherung der einzelnen gedichte zu ganz monströser, klärlich gefälschter grösse."

This device cannot stand the least scrutiny, a matter which convinced KRAČKOVSKIJ to discard Wālibī as a historical source.² Even the most credulous mind cannot accept the blatant anachronisms included in the $D\bar{w}an$. But the fact that these anachronisms were tolerated by the compiler and his public may point out that the *isnād* had become an accepted narrative device, notwithstanding its lack of historiographical reliability. Moreover, the obviously late date of some of the anecdotes and verses, falsely ascribed to Mağnūn, makes it very likely that these anecdotes and verses had been influenced by Sūfism.

By examining the isnād references, one can see that this anachronism is not necessarily due to a single, simplistic mind. In discussing this problem, KRAČKOVSKIJ may have accepted too quickly the hypothesis that the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ has had only one author or rāwī. A closer look indicates that the figure of Wālibī himself may have been used as a narrative device. Although KRAČKOVSKIJ is probably correct in considering this version to have been completed before the twelfth century, it is questionable whether Abū Bakr al-Wālibī himself lived between the eleventh and twelfth centuries.³ If it is utterly incredible, save to the naïve, that the legendary life of Mağnūn stretched over two centuries, it is even less credible that the compiler could have claimed the same span of life for himself. Yet, if considered as the work of a single compiler, the Diwan would indirectly make this claim for Wālibī. This becomes evident once we contrast two groups of statements, from which we can infer the time in which Walibi lived. While the first group shows Walibi as contemporary with Magnun, the second group shows him as living considerably later.

In the first group, we find statements such as: (a) "This anecdote goes back to Abū Bakr al-Wālibī, because he is the one who gathered his (i.e. Maǧnūn's) story and poetry *in his own* time" (italics supplied);⁴ and (b) "Abū Bakr said: 'A man from the 'Āmir tribe told me, 'I met Maǧnun'..."⁵ These examples show an intention to make Wālibī a contemporary of Maǧnūn, i.e., living at the end of the seventh century.

^{2.} See *Ibid.* 7: "Die ganze version des Wālibī macht den eindruck grosser nachlässigkeit und verwirrt eher als dass sie hilft, sich in der geschichte des arabischen Macnūn zurechtzufinden. Sie lässt ihn eine reise nach Babylon unternehmen, verlängert sein leben bis ins 9. jahrhundert, in die zeit der 'Abbāsiden...'

^{3.} Loc. cit.

 ^{4.} Dīwān 1 (والحديث يرجع الى ابي بكر الوالبي لأنه هو الذي جمع شعره وحديثه في زمانه)
 "In his own time" could be referring only to Mağnūn; otherwise, it would be superfluous.
 5. Ibid. 18. See similarly, in Dīwān 15, 17, 21, 63.

In the second group, we find statements such as: "al-Wālibī said: 'A man told me on the authority of Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣilī (767-850), on the authority of Abū 'Umāra, that, 'One of us went out towards Damascus'..."⁶ Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣilī is included in some manuscripts, but omitted in others.

To accept both groups of statements as part of the original transmission of Wālibī is to believe that the author's life extended to a legendary length. It all depends, then, on the manuscripts we consider; and, since KRAČKOVSKIJ has checked more than twenty manuscripts without finding one which is exempt from these anachronisms,⁷ it seems safe to assume that Wālibī—even if the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ had only one compiler—became as legendary a figure in the role of narrator as did Mağnūn in the role of the love-mad poet.

The legendary nature of Wālibī as narrator is further illustrated by the fact that the compiler of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ reports a statement by one transmitter who mentions Wālibī as his own source. "Abu l-Hasan al-'Alawī said: 'I asked al-Wālibī about the nicest thing that Mağnūn composed concerning chastity and truthfulness in love ('išq), so he recited to me'....⁷⁸ This instance shows that even though the usual "al-Wālibī said" (qāla l-Wālibī) could be written by Wālibī himself, the above-mentioned isnād suggests the existence of some one other than Wālibī as the ultimate compiler of the work or parts thereof. If we accept this possibility, it becomes easier to understand the opening statement which describes Wālibī as "the one who gathered his (sc. Mağnūn's) poetry in his own time." Such a statement is most probably a late addition, contemporary with the additions of poetry and anecdotes which include the anecdote about Abū 'Īsā b. ar-Rašīd and all the poetry mentioned in that context?

It is conceivable, therefore, that some one by the name of $Ab\bar{u}$ Bakr al-Wālibī really existed and collected the tales and poetic fragments attributed to Mağnūn, using the *isnād* method not as a scholarly but as a narrative device. Then later transmitters and copyists found it suitable to add to Wālibī's original collection what the popular mind had already integrated within the Mağnūn legend. This tendency is corroborated by a

(قال الوالبي، حدثني رجلٌ عن اسحق بن ابراهيم الموصلي عن ابي عارة، قال، 68 . 68 . (قال الوالبي، حدثني رجلٌ عن اسحق بن ابراهيم الموصلي عن ابي عارة، قال، قال ناحية الشام...)

7. See KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 6-7, esp. 6, notes 10 and 11.

8. Dīwān 19. This statement exists in all four manuscripts consulted by İNALCIK.

9. Cf. FARRĀĞ: *op. cit.* 23. FARRĀĞ mentions that the whole episode of Abū 'Īsā b. ar-Rašīd and the poetry connected with it (*Dīwān* 29-36) are found only in the *Dīwān*.

later text of an author known to us, Ibn Ṭūlūn's *Bast sāmi' al-musāmir*. This text includes numerous fragments and additions which are clearly of popular extraction, and written in language almost colloquial.¹⁰

We can ascertain the existence of some real person by the name of $Ab\bar{u}$ Bakr al-Wālibī only by accepting the authority of later transmitters. KRAČKOVSKIJ believed that Wālibī could not have lived before the eleventh century.¹¹ KRAČKOVSKIJ came across only one mention of Wālibī, *viz.*, that in Ibn as-Sarrāğ (d. 1106).¹² However, he dismissed this reference as a misunderstanding, since it implies that Wālibī was an older contemporary of Abū 'Amr aš-Šaybānī, who died around 821 A.D.

However, there are other references to Wālibī, in al-Mibradī (d. 1503),¹³ Ibn Ţūlūn (d. 1556).¹⁴ and Ibn al-Ğawzī (d. 1200),¹⁵ all referring to aš-Šaybānī as the direct transmitter from Wālibī. A more important reference still is that of al-Qālī (d. 967).¹⁶ This reference is decisive both because it was recorded before the eleventh century, and because it relies on a chain of *isnād* which ends with Muş'ab az-Zubayrī (773-850) before reaching Wālibī. Since az-Zubayrī mentions an intermediary between him and Wālibī, it becomes clear that the latter had probably lived in the last part of the eighth century and the earlier part of the ninth.

This supports the notion that the oldest extant version, ascribed to Wālibī, and committed to writing in 1245 A.D., must have undergone continuous changes and have been expanded by many additions. These additions may well explain the numerous instances of anachronism and incongruity, which a critic like KRAČKOVSKIJ deplores. These same additions may also explain in part the permanence, one might almost say the universality, of the popular appeal of Wālibī's version. It was this

مكذوبة عليه، فانها ليست من نمطِ لفظه، بل ولا ممّن هو دونه)

Ibn Tūlūn ad-Dimašqī: Bast sāmi' al-musāmir fī ahbār Mağnūn Banī 'Āmir. Cairo 1964, 78.

11. See his argument: op. cit. 7.

12. Loc. cit.

14. Ibn Tūlūn: op. cit. 10, 39, 40.

15. Abu l-Farağ b. al-Ğawzī: Damm al-hawā. Cairo 1962, 380.

16. Al-Qālī: Kitāb al-Amālī. Cairo 1926, II 127-28. This reference was first noticed by FARRĀĞ: op. cit. 38, then mentioned by INALCIK: op. cit. 28, n. 136.

^{10.} This is even noticed by the author himself, who cites 25 lines, then says: "I think that all these lines are falsely attributed to him, for they are not of his style, and not even up to the standard of the Islamic poets who are inferior to him." (وأظنُ ان هذه الأبيات كلها)

^{13.} Ğamāladdīn Yū. b. H. al-Maqdisī al-Mibradī: Nuzhat al-musāmir fī albār Banī Amir. Manuscript Topkapısarayı müzesi Ahmed III Kütüphanesi, No. 2473. Cited in İNALCIK: op. cit. 28.

61

version — not the standardized versions of Ibn Qutayba and Işfahānī — which admitted of continued popular contributions. In this continued process of accretion, Wālibī assumed, in the popular mind, an almost legendary image as the Mağnūn $r\bar{a}w\bar{r}$ par excellence.

Moreover, this extant version of Wālibī is our most representative guide to the development of the legend in Arabic between the eighth and the middle of the thirteenth century. For even if Ibn Qutayba and Isfahānī do not mention Wālibī, this should not necessarily be an unquestionable proof against his existence around the turn of the ninth century. On the one hand, Ibn Qutayba (d. 889) rarely mentions all his sources, and, on the other hand, the $Ag\bar{a}n\bar{n}$ was not meant to be an exhaustive work either in material or in *isnād*.¹⁷ Thus, instead of taking for granted the claim that Wālibī could not have existed before the eleventh century, and consequently accusing him of monstrosities of anachronism and bad taste,¹⁸ it might be more reasonable to accept his possible existence at the turn of the ninth century and see the "monstrosities" of his version as later additions done under his name.

I should, here, like to conclude that what could be said of the existence of Qays b. al-Mulawwah is equally applicable in Wālibī's case. It is definitely conceivable that these two persons have existed and flourished, the first probably at the end of the seventh century, and the second possibly at the turn of the ninth century. For the purpose of this study, however, the uncertainty concerning their existence, names, and literary production, is but a minor problem. If anything, it can only help establishing the popular and legendary, or semi-legendary, aspects of these two characters fulfilling the roles of the protagonist and the narrator.

The essential point, however, is that the stories — true or legendary about Mağnūn started at the earliest in the middle of the eighth century, and grew in volume and diffusion through the century that followed. The main features of Mağnūn's character were first recorded by Ibn Qutayba and Ibn Dāwūd in the last quarter of the ninth century, and elaborated upon by Isfahānī in the middle of the tenth century. Nevertheless, besides the fact that its original form might have constituted the earliest version, Wālibī's text, in spite of its deficiencies, has the advantage of including most of what those three authors transmitted, and of giving us an idea about the trend of the legend as illustrated by its extant (1245 A.D.) version.

^{17.} See BLACHÈRE: Histoire de la littérature arabe, I 137-38.

^{18.} KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 7.

II. THE MAĞNŪN ARCHETYPE

In the present section, I propose to deal directly with the main archetypal characteristics of Mağnūn as illustrated in the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$. These characteristics will be analyzed from the separate perspectives of poetry, love, and madness, keeping in mind the interrelation of these perspectives and their intrinsic connection with one another. Most of the verses used in the present analysis — as is generally the case with the whole $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ — tend to express stereotyped motifs. Our purpose, however, is to discern the original human experience which lies at the root of these motifs and which endows them with life.

A. Poetry

Mağnūn is first and foremost a poet. This is how his existence is explained, whether as a single entity, a real person, or as an incarnation of the imaginative products of other poets and narrators. This is basically what earned him a place in such collections as Ibn Dāwūd's anthology, *az-Zahra*, where little is said about his other facets, Ibn Qutayba's *Kitāb aš-Ši'r waš-šu 'arā'*, where it is explicitly stated that the author is interested only in the major poets,¹⁹ and the $Ag\bar{a}n\bar{i}$, the main purpose of which is a collection of the hundred most famous songs, and of biographical anecdotes concerning the poets, musicians, and singers who created these songs.²⁰

Furthermore, Wālibī's book is chiefly a $d\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, and as we have seen in discussing its structure, the anecdotes it includes revolve around the poetic fragments and are explanations of, or arguments for, these fragments. As such, they present a relationship between poetry and narrative basically different from the one found in a literary work such as *The Arabian Nights*, where "only in a few cases are the poems closely connected with the prose text, and have a function in the narration... and it even happens that they do not apply at all to the situation."²¹ To the contrary, many poems are



Kitäb aš-Ši'r: "And I have dealt mainly with famous poets, those known by most men of letters." (وكان اكبر قصدي للمشهورين من الشعراء الذين يعرفهم جلّ اهل الأدب); p. 9:
 "And I have not mentioned in this book anyone who was more known for something else than poetry." (ولم أعرض في كتابي هذا لمن كان غلب عليه غير الشعر)

^{20.} Among *Agānī*'s sources, al-Madā'inī (d. 849) differentiates Mağnūn from other madmen by saying: "al-Mağnūn, the one famous for his poetry." (المناس بالشهور بالشعر عند) S. *Agānī* 7.

^{21.} M.I. GERHARDT: The Art of Story-Telling. Leiden 1963, 46. Cf. KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 36. The irrelevance of the poetry to the prose context is well illustrated in Agānī 40 and

included in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ for their own sake, without any narration at all, and mostly as a result of falsifications or later additions.

If we turn to the internal evidence and try to examine the image of Mağnūn both in the minds of other characters and as self-image, we realize that the poet in Mağnūn is quite prominent in both cases.

Other characters are aware of Mağnūn as lover and madman but seem to have as much, if not more interest in his poetry. His mastery of the language and poetry of the Arabs,²² and the beauty of his melody and voice²³ made him quite worthy of attention, not only as poet, but also as $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}$. Among those young men who used to gather around Laylā, "he was the best one at reciting old Arab poetry,"²⁴ a quality that definitely helped endear him to her.

The social communicative side of his poetry is emphasized not only by the fact that he was a $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}$, but also by an almost consistent connection between his contact with others and the featuring of poetry as a center of attention. This is explainable by what we saw earlier, *viz.*, that the majority of the anecdotes were invented for an explicative purpose, so it is normal that they focus on the poetic fragments, for which they are meant to provide a context. Thus we have, in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ as well as in the $Ag\bar{a}n\bar{i}$ and *Kitāb aš-Ši'r*, frequent expressions such as: "Do you know Mağnūn and recite any of his poetry?"²⁵; "I saw Mağnūn… and he recited to me."²⁶; or the immediate question about his poetry: "They said this is Mağnūn of Banū 'Amir, so I asked: 'Do you recite any of his poetry?"²⁷; or the story of the noble Nawfal who, having suddenly come across Mağnūn, grazing with gazelles, first tries to communicate with him through reciting some of Mağnūn's poetry, then the moment the latter responds, Nawfal's first question is: "Have you composed any new poems since I saw you last?"²⁸

This attitude towards Mağnūn is successfully crystallized by a major and famous anecdote, mentioned in *Kitāb aš-Ši'r²⁹* and repeated almost

^{52,} where three lines from Muhammad b. Umayya were attributed to Mağnūn and given an argument which is incongruous with the rest of his legend.

^{.22 (}فيفيضون في الحديث، فيكون أحسنهم فيه إفاضة) .22

Ibid. 55. (فما أنسى حسن نغمته وحسن صوته) .23

^{24. (}وكان أرواهم لأشعار العرب) Dīwān 16.

^{.4}gānī 6. (أتعرفُ المجنون وتروي من شعره؟) .25

Ibid. 7. (فرأيتُ المجنون... وأنشدني) .26

^{.(«}هل تروون شيئًا من شعره؟) .27 Dīwān 64

^{28.} Dīwān 59 ((مل أحدثتَ شيئًا من بعدي؟) (also Agānī 54-55.

^{29.} See Appendix.

verbatim in the $Ag\bar{a}n\bar{i}$, then rendered in a short form in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$.³⁰ In the three versions, however, Mağnūn is treated in a manner strangely reminiscent of the way one would lure a wild gazelle into a trap. Still, the aim is clearly not Mağnūn's person, but his poetry. That is why Mağnūn has a $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}$, who is his only friend and contact with society. When the Murra man asks that friend what to do in order to draw near Mağnūn, his natural reaction is: "If you want his poetry, I have it all." The friend seems to believe that he is the only person of whom Mağnūn's *šaytān* is not scared away. He is afraid that if Mağnūn is startled by others, he might be scared away from him too, "*and his poetry will vanish*."³¹

Yet a solution is found, namely the recitation of some of Ibn Darīh's poetry, which seems to have on Mağnūn the double effect of charming his *šaytān* and challenging him at the same time. When the stranger uses this poetic charm, Mağnūn responds with poetic fragments of his own. The narrator, besides making these fragments extend to more than three pages, has the stranger go back with his poetic booty to Mağnūn's people, who, worried only about Mağnūn's poetry, beseech the stranger to go back and try to get Mağnūn's longest poem, *al-Mu'nisa*, explaining: "We have tried hard to copy it, but we could not."³²

The poetic prominence of Mağnūn is finally confirmed by an interesting device, namely that of having another famous love poet admit Mağnūn's superiority over him. When asked by the caliph if there is any greater love poet than he, Kutayyir 'Azza admits that Mağnūn is the one.³³ This device is rendered particularly effective by choosing Kutayyir, Ğamīl's $r\bar{a}w\bar{i}$, to be the judge, thus placing Mağnūn on a higher poetic level than Ğamīl, who was the greatest love poet in the 'Udrī tradition.

This positive interest in Mağnūn's poetry is opposed, of course, by the attitude of Laylā's parents; for it was the fame of his poetry about Laylā that stopped her parents from consenting to their marriage.

31. Appendix. Italics supplied.

33. Dīwān 13. The same device is used in regard to love, as we shall see. KRAČKOVSKIJ (op. cit. 45) refers it to Ibn as-Sarrāğ, overlooking again the fact that it was already mentioned by Ibn Qutayba in *Kitāb aš-Ši'r* 417.

^{30.} Agānī 72-73; and Dīwān 75-80.

^{32. (} فقد جهدنا على نسخها فلم نقدر عليا) $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ 80. Cf. also in other manuscripts ($D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ 89-90) a similar attitude to obtaining this long poem, called *al-Mu'nisa*, where Kutayyir 'Azza performs, at the caliph's order, the ceremony of inciting Magnun into reciting this poem, "and when he (i.e. Magnun) recited the first line of the poem, Kutayyir said: 'Summon the official scribes to write down what he utters'" (فقد عليه الم

⁽ قال كثيّر عليّ بكتّاب الديوان يكتبون ما نطق به

Even Laylā herself, who started out by being attracted by his poetry and conversation, seems to complain of his divulgence of their secret and of the ill-repute his poetry earns her.³⁴ In any case, even those who do not seek Mağnūn's poetry and are rather hurt by it cannot help being aware of its importance.

This general attitude is corroborated by Mağnūn's self-consciousness as a poet. For, even in the depth of his desert isolation, he would not resist the challenge of a competition with another famous poet. While he expresses his admiration for Ibn Darīh's poetry, he does not hesitate to say: "But I still am a better poet when I say..."³⁵ He then shows his critical consciousness by comparing different poems of his own, as he continues saying: "And if I am not a better poet in this, then I am better in the following..."³⁶

The most obvious emphasis on this poetic self-consciousness is naïvely expressed before the end of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ as an introduction to the longest poem ascribed to him: "So I said," continues the reporter, "I implore you by Laylā's tomb to recite to me the poem which you said of Timdayn; and I had taken along ink and paper, so he said: 'Listen to me and write'. Then he recited.."³⁷

At the same time, Mağnūn seems to be aware of the conventionality of poetic imagery and hyperboles. He consequently claims to be a genuine lover and swears that he is saying the truth, while "some lovers lie."³⁸ Whatever the case may be, we have no way of investigating the truthfulness of these poets in their claims, but we can be sure, from Mağnūn's accusation, that he was conscious that much could be said out of sheer exaggeration and poetic convention. Thus, Mağnūn's claim is intended to provide credibility for his legend by insisting that his poetic expression is true to his feelings.³⁹ This is strengthened further by checking the accuracy of his physical description of Laylā, who asks another woman to observe

34. Dīwān 56, lines 6-7.

. (أنا أشعرُ منه حيث اقول) Dīwān 80. and Appendix.

.Dīwān 80-81 (فإن لم أكنْ أشعر منه في هذا، فانا اشعر منه حيث اقول...) 36.

فقلت سألتك بحق قبر ليلى عليك أن تنشدني قصيدتك التي قلتها في ثمدين. وقد كنتُ أخذت) .37 (معي دواة وقرطاسا، فقال. إرعني السمع واكتب، وأنشد يقول...

38. (بعض الحبّين يكذب) . 38. (بعض الحبّين يكذب)

39. See also $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ 53. in which the same claim is made in addressing a dove: And that in complaining, I say the truth

And that in complaining, you lie. وإني في الشكــــــاة اقول حقـــــا وانكِ في شكـــــاتك تكــــــذبينـــــا her and say "whether Mağnūn lied or told the truth," whereupon the verdict comes: "No, by God, he spoke veraciously."⁴⁰

A still more important aspect of his poetic consciousness is found in the text, namely that aspect which views poetry as a furthering tool, and at the same time a hindering instrument — a source of pain and a remedy, an enveloping veil and a medium of vision. Poetry as a crisis, a dilemma, is as much of a fatal possession as love or madness proved to be. Psychologically, the positive effects of language in general and of poetry in particular are manifold. In addition to its most important role as mirror for Laylā, for God, and for Mağnūn himself, poetry could function as a charming power, or as a medium of psychological relief. In this latter role, poetry is often associated with crying, possibly because of their similar cathartic effect.⁴¹

> If you deny me Laylā and the beauty of her conversation, you will not deny me, rhymes and tears.

فسان تمنعوا ليلى وحسن حسديثهما فلن تمنعوا منّى البكسا والقوافيسا

But, on the negative side, the need for expression proves also to be a sort of curse. No less than that of love or madness, the poetic daemon is possessive and intransigent, leaving Mağnūn with no alternative. This daemon is represented as one of the direct causes which led to Mağnūn's suffering and ultimate madness. Moreover, if one is inclined to believe that Mağnūn's legend grew around, and in a way for the sake of, his poetry, then one could claim that his poetic daemon was also at the source of his love. This tendency to see love or madness as pretexts for poetry is suggested in a statement ascribed to Kutayyir, the 'Udri poet closely associated with Mağnūn. In answer to a woman who denigrates him, saying: "God has demeaned you, since he made you known only by the name of a woman," meaning 'Azza, Kutayyir says: "God has not demeaned me. By her, my reputation was enhanced, my life matters were enlightened, and my poetry became powerful."⁴²

On the other hand, we have seen that madness was used hyperbolically to convey extreme love passion. Consequently it was often feigned in order to claim inspiration and total bewitchment by the muse of love and poetry.

Moreover, Mağnūn does not represent only the power of the spoken word, but also the magical effect of written symbols. Although the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$

^{40.} Agānī 50-51, (لا والله قد صدق). For another example of this motif, see lines 4-5 of 'Umar b. Abī Rabī'a's poem in A.J. ARBERRY: Arabic Poetry 41.

^{41.} Dīwān 84.

لقد سَفَّل الله بك إذ جعلك لا تُعرف إلاّ بأمرأة. قال، ما سَفَّل اللهُ) :41. Kitāb aš-Ši'r 415 (42. Kitāb aš-Ši'r 415: (إي ، ولكن رُفِع بها ذكري ، واستنار بها أمري ، واستحكم بها شعري .

does not elaborate this aspect, the few instances it includes can be seen as sufficient seeds for the development of this motif within the context of the legend. Of these instances, the most important is the repetition of one sign of madness, namely, Mağnūn's tracing lines on sand,⁴³ a practice normally associated with divination. The occultist implication suggested by this practice is parallel to the repetition of efficacious formulae performed in rituals, and, in this particular case, the frequent <u>dikr</u> (incantation) of Laylā's name.

Thus, although this magic use of written symbols seems to be at an embryonic stage as far as the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ is concerned, it would be wrong to assume that it is a coincidence. The general Arab attitude toward language, both in its spoken and written forms, the different allusions to magic elements in the Mağnūn legend, and above all, the elaborate system of Kabbalistic interpretations, well spread among the Sūfīs, lead one to believe that Mağnūn's sand-drawings are too full of allusions to be meant simply as a picture of absent-mindedness.

In two other cases, writing is associated with the pain of separation. Mağnūn compares himself to a writing-reed:⁴⁴

> My craving for Laylā, though separation led Laylā far away, is like the moaning of a pierced writing reed.

أحنّ الى ليلى وإن شطّت النوى بليلى، كما حَنَّ اليراع المثقَّب and Laylā uses her tears for ink:⁴⁵

I dampened (or filled) my inkwell with my tears, then with them I wrote what a distraught one would painfully write.

لقتُ المدواة بدمع العين ثم بـه كتبت مـا يكتب الجهود إذ جهدا

In addition to these instances, the allusions to the magical use of writing, especially in talismans, are more frequent both in Mağnūn's anecdotes and in his poetry.⁴⁶ As a matter of fact, with some stretch of imagination, it is possible to interpret Mağnūn's line about Laylā's written message to him as a Sūfī allusion to the revelation of the Koran:⁴⁷

^{43.} See Appendix; Agānī. 17, 58, 72; and Dīwān 26, 72. For divination by means of drawings in sand, see FAHD: La divination arabe. Leiden 1966, 196 et seq.

^{44.} Dīwān 72.

^{45.} Ibid. 71.

^{46.} See Dīwān 92, line 2; Agānī 57, line 13.

^{47.} $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ 72. Within the context of its poetic fragment, the second hemistich of this line could also be understood to mean: "I isolate myself with my sorrow wherever..." This

When none other than her epistle reaches me, I turn alone to revealing my secret wherever on earth I may be.

إذا جاني منها الكتاب بعينه خلوت ببنّي حيث كنت من الارض This line could be as well a description of Muhammad's attitude whenever he received a part of the Book. The analogy is strengthened by the narrative, which makes Mağnūn receive Laylā's letter when "he had sought refuge in the cave of a great mountain, and while he was silent with cast down eyes, scratching the earth, and writing with his finger..."⁴⁸ This also has a clear similarity with the Prophet's seclusions for meditation in the cave of Hirā', where he received his first call.⁴⁹

The last line mentioned above brings us to a still more important aspect of the role which poetry plays in the triadic personality of Mağnūn, namely that of the crisis of divulgence through poetry. This crisis is at the heart of the very act of expressing any reality. The need for expression is necessarily accompanied by the fear of betrayal. If this is true in respect to the more superficial truths, how much more it is when the expression has to transmit the Absolute Truth. The poet, then, no less than the prophet is torn between what he is obliged to communicate and his duty to keep the covenant of secrecy between him and his God. The duty of silence is not necessarily imposed by the beloved, by God, or by any reality that opens its secret to the seer; it is a natural consequence of being overwhelmed by a vision that is beyond the reach of words. If certain levels of understanding rely on the verbal medium, it is very possible that such visions, having no verbal equivalent, would remain beyond understanding, Here we reach a circle: no higher understanding without adequate words, and no adequate

is not, however, contradictory with some states of the Prophet under the impact of revelation: "Sa condition physique s'en trouvait affectée: il s'attristait et son visage s'assombrissait." T. FAHD: *La divination arabe* 72. See also *Koran* LIX, 21: "If we had caused this Qur'ān to descend upon a mountain, thou (O Muhammad) verily hadst seen it humbled, rent asunder by the fear of Allah."

^{48.} Dīwān 72.

^{49.} Motifs of mountain and cave, in association with inspiration, are not exclusively Islamic, nor are they confined to any specific age or culture: "The ritualistic role played by 'caves', attested in prehistoric times, could likewise be interpreted as a mystic return to the mother, which would also help to explain the sepultures in the caves as well as the initiation rites practiced in these same places. Such primitive intuitions die hard." ELIADE: *The Forge and the Crucible* 41. In a still higher symbolism, mountains can represent psychological summits: "La retraite sur la montagne psycho-cosmique représente en effet une phase essentielle de toute mystériosophie: l'acte final en est constituté par l'embrasement d'extase; deviennent alors visibles à l'âme les Figures célestes qui transparaissent par l'organe de sa propre image-archétype." H. CORBIN: *Terre Céleste et corps de résurrection*. Paris 1960, 61.

expression without understanding. In this context, we can appreciate man's poetic creativity which keeps solving this paradox by coining new words and inventing new imagery. This imagery, though never perfect (i.e., so perfect as to spoil the game), fluctuates in density and transparence according to each creative person and his particular visions.

Before coining the new word or expressing the new image, however, there exists a period of silence, a period when the mind is not yet able to reduce the vision into its symbolic, verbal equivalence. This is the period of creative suffering known by poets and other creators, but most intensely known by visionaries and prophets. The first endeavor to imprison the vision within a medium, be it words, color, or the like, could resemble babbling, as a result of the speed of the vision and the inadequacy of the tools which try to capture it. In other words, the massiveness and strangeness of the images which explode in the mind leave the tongue utterly incapable of articulating the fulgurating process — hence, the feeling of the ineffability of the vision. What is expressed during this rapid stream of consciousness is parallel to images produced by a distorted camera, slowly snapping shots of a tornado in action.

Hence the trance, bewilderment, and awe the Prophet used to experience at the reception of revelation; Islamic Tradition reports, "il avait l'air de quelqu'un pris par l'ivresse et se sentait d'un poids énorme."⁵⁰

In this respect FAHD says:

On a voulu voir, dans Cor. 75, 16-19, une allusion à la grande peine qu' éprouvait le Prophète en recevant la révélation et à l'effort qu'il déployait pour en saisir le contenu et ne pas l'oublier; dans ce but, il ne cessait de «bouger les lèvres, jusqu'au jour ou Allah lui révéla: 'Ne bouge pas ta langue avec lui (= le Coran) afin de l'(apprendre) plus vite. C'est à nous de le réunir et de le réciter; quand Nous l'aurons lu, tu en suivras la lecture; puis c'est à nous de le faire comprendre'. Alors, ajoute Ibn Sa'd, l'Envoyé de Dieu fut soulagé».⁵¹

If, for the prophet, there is ultimately a divine assurance concerning the composition and communication of his message, independently of his active participation, the situation of the poet is different. For unless we accept the claim of the pagan or even Islamic poet to be in communication with the spirit-world through his *šaytān*, we will find it difficult to subscribe to FAHD's opinion that: "le poète était en relation de dépendance absolue a l'égard de son šaytan."⁵²

51. Ibid.

^{50.} T. FAHD: loc. cit. 76.

^{52.} Ibid. 74.

This is an all too easy solution for the problem of inspiration, and seems to imply the conception that the poet is no more than a flute on which his whimsical *šaytān* intermittently chooses to play or to stop playing. Many poets claim this relationship with their muse: RIMBAUD declares it, "Car Je est un autre. Si le cuivre s'éveille clairon, il n'y a rien de sa faute,"⁵³ and Farazdaq (d. 728) claims that his *šaytān* deserted him in one crucial situation, then came back, sat in the corner of the house, and started ridiculing his helplessness.⁵⁴ Nevertheless, this claim does not seem to prevent Farazdaq from being famous for his conscious effort in perfecting his poetry, so that it was said of him: "Farazdaq carves in rocks".⁵⁵ Nor does it prevent RIMBAUD from stating with the same breath that

Le poète se fait voyant par un long, immense, et raisonné dérèglement de tous les sens. Toutes les formes d'amour, de souffrance, de folie; il cherche lui-même, il épuise en lui tous les poisons, pour n'en garder que les quintessences. Ineffable torture où il a besoin de toute la foi, de toute la force surhumaine, où il devient entre tous le grand malade, le grand criminel, le grand maudit, — et le suprême Savant! — Car il arrive à l'inconnu! Puisqu'il a cultivé son âme, dejà riche plus qu'aucun! Il arrive à l'inconnu, et quand, affolé, il finirait par perdre l'intelligence de ses visions, il les a vues!⁵⁶

This is, in short, the basic poetic problem symbolized by Mağnūn, even though his $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ displays only fragmented hints at this problem. These hints are a rather timid expression of a suppressed collective unconscious in search of its liberation from a highly reasonable conventionalism. Although the poetic fragments of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ do not break the confines of the reasonable, the legend woven around them bestows on the poet a new image that points the way towards more conscious efforts along its lines.

RIMBAUD's conception of poetry and his own experience with "toutes les formes d'amour, de souffrance, et de folie" were expressed in a short time and with the highest intensity as the fulgurations of an exceptionally dynamic imagination. Owing to these fulgurations of his mind, shooting in all directions from one recognizable center, RIMBAUD seems to master the power of the irrational at its happiest poetic pitch. In contrast, Mağnūn's legend and poetry, anecdotal in essence, leaves us only with a diluted effect.

56. RIMBAUD; op. cit. 346.

^{53.} Œuvres. Paris 1960, 345.

^{54.} Cf. FAHD: op. cit. 74.

^{55.} Agānī XI, 55. Cf. T. HUSAYN: Min Tārīh al-adab al-'arabī. Beirut 1970, 6-7.

It is an over-stretched canvas, drawn by many hands, with much hesitation, naïve contradictions, and an obvious lack of a master's blending touch. Wālibī, whether real or legendary, remains a mere compiler, juxtaposing eruptions of a collective groping for a rebellious image. Yet, while it is true that Islamic literature had to wait for Niẓāmī to give this image a powerfully unified shape, the basic lines of this image, however confused, are unmistakably present in the $D\bar{w}\bar{a}n$.

B. Love

RIMBAUD's statement clearly implies that "toutes les formes d'amour, de souffrance, et de folie" have to serve the ultimate aim of poetic vision. Now that we have seen that this is at least the implicit position of Mağnūn, let us turn to an examination of the aspects of his love.

The poetic conventions which produced the *nasīb* lead one to believe that love themes were frequently used as pretexts for poetry. Nonetheless, the $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ insists on depicting Mağnūn's love as an end in itself. A literary pretext is, in principle, an optional element, one alternative among many. But the main characteristic of Mağnūn's love and suffering is their fateful necessity, leaving the protagonist with no choice but to submit to his tormented destiny:⁵⁷

Despite her deserting (me), her aloofness, and what befell me of her, I deem her love an inevitable decree.

واني على هجرانها وصدودهـــــا وما حلَّ بي منها، أرى حبّها حمّا

This necessity is explained by the belief in the predestination of love, a predestination that makes love-from-the-first-sight no more than a shock of recognition. In this context, even those anecdotes which make Mağnūn and Laylā fall in love in their youth, instead of their childhood, do not contradict this principle of eternal love; they differ only in determining the first time in which the two lovers meet.⁵⁸ The essential point remains best expressed by the following lines of Mağnūn:⁵⁹

^{57.} Dīwān 57. Also for the same meaning: Ibid. 67, line 15, and 29, line 19.

^{58.} This choice usually depends on whether Mağnūn and Laylā are considered cousins, thus in contact since childhood, or of different families or tribes, thus meeting first in their youth. We shall see that Ğāmī uses the second alternative for the purpose of his Ṣūfī interpretation of the theme.

^{59.} FARRĀĞ: op. cit. 114-15. First related by al-Waššā' in his al-Muwaššā. Cairo 1953, 81. It is interesting to note that the idea of the eternity of love was quite common. These same lines are also ascribed to Ğamīl and to Ibn Darīh. Cf. FARRĀĞ: op. cit. 114. For more examples on the permanence and eternity of love, see $D\bar{w}a\bar{m}$ 4, 11, 14-22.

My soul has been in love with hers since before we

were born, and after we were drops of seed, then in the cradle (Our love) lived as we lived, and became fully grown, and

it will not perish, even when we die.

But will be everlasting, through all conditions even when we are in the darkness of the grave.

في المهدِ	طافًا، و	کنّا ن	ىك ما	ومـن به	خلقنسا	قبل	روحهما	روحي	تعلّق
العهـدِ	بمنقرض	متنا،	وان	وليس ،	ناميًا	فاصبح	ىشنــا ف	e 15	فعساش
واللحـــدِ	القبر	ظلمـــة	في	وسائرنـــا	حيالية	كـلّ	ی علی	بساقٍ	ولكنّـــه

The motif of predestined love is quite frequent in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$:

By my faith I swear, Umm-Mālik,⁶⁰ you have perplexed my life, and it is Fate that has driven me to you.⁶¹

لعمري لقــد رنّقت يــا أمَّ مـالك حيـــاتـي وساقتنـــى اليــكِ المقـــادرُ

Moreover, if the poet had the choice, he would not have chosen a love which leaves him unrequited. His affliction is a result of divine decree:⁶²

God decreed her kindness for others

but longing and exile he decreed for me.

قضى الله بسالمعروف منهسا لغيرنسا وبسالشوق والإبعساد منهسا قضى ليسا

Thus, he is tempted to wish what is equal to blasphemy, as he questions God's decree and complains about his plight:⁶³

O my (two) friends, by God, I have no control

over what God ordained for Layla and ordained for me.

He predestined her for another man, and tried me with her love why does he not try me with something else?!

ليا	قضى	ما	ولا	ليلى	في	الله	قضى	الــــذي	أملكُ	والله لا	У	خليليّ
L		ابتلان	يلى	ىر ئ	ċ	بشيءٍ	فهلا	بحبته المسلح	وأبتلاني	لغيري	L	قضاه

One story has it that the moment Mağnūn uttered these lines, "he was robbed of his mind." (سُلب عقله).

63. Agānī 44. Also, for the first line, see $D\bar{w}\bar{a}n$ 95; for the second line, see az-Zahra 332. For what can also be interpreted as a blasphemous attitude, see e.g., $D\bar{w}\bar{a}n$ 82, line 5.

^{60.} One of Layla's nicknames.

^{61.} Dīwān 106.

^{62.} Ibid. 7.

The blasphemy consists in what appears to be a momentary rebellion against the covenant with Love and its entailed suffering. Mağnūn seems to be aware that Love is a god whom he must obey, and that this god has his eternal will which a lover should patiently endure:⁶⁴

O my (two) friends, my pains for Laylā are ancient, determined, and everlasting, though my bones will rot.⁶⁵

خليلي أدوائي بليلى قــديمة محدّدة تبقــى وتبـلى عظـاميــا

When more hopeful and less impatient, Mağnūn accepts his suffering and even yearns for more:

O love for Laylā, each night double my grief, o solace of love, we shall meet on the Last Day.⁶⁶

In both these lines, Mağnūn's attitude is more compatible with Islamic mysticism. Love does not become necessary only because of eternal predestination. It is the essence and meaning of man's relation to God, and, hence, a defining characteristic of Mağnūn's archetypal existence:⁶⁷

Life has no worth if you neither visit a beloved, nor move her with joy or grief

Still more, Love is life itself. This is a central point in the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$, as well as in the tradition which it represents. From this point of view, remoteness from the beloved becomes equivalent to separation from one's own soul. The variations on this theme are numerous: the beloved is the source of all signs of life. Since exile and alienation from her resemble death, her presence is a divine, resurrecting power:⁶⁸

^{64. &}quot;Some Şūfīs claimed that God — Gloried be His Praise — tests people by love, so they try themselves through their obedience to their beloved and feel distressed by her anger and pleased by her satisfaction. They would thus prove the extent of their obedience to God — Mighty and Glorious — since He has neither like nor equal... Hence, if they deem it necessary to obey other than God on High, how much more would they follow His good pleasure." *az-Zahra* 17-18.

^{65.} *Dīwān* 96. See for similar meanings: *Ibid.* 14, lines 5-7; 72, line 11; 102, line 20; 103, lines 2, 3.

^{66.} Appendix. This attitude is depicted also in the anecdote about his visit to the Ka'ba.

^{67.} Dīwān 8.

^{68.} *Ibid.* 65. See also for similar meanings, *ibid.* 29, line 9; 79, line 12; 82, lines 6 and 15.

They blame me for (loving) Laylā, and yet if after its dessication, my corpse were nursed by Laylā, it will be fresh again.

ألام على ليلى ولو أنَّ هــــامتي تـــداوى بليلى بعـــد يبس لطلّتِ Her words are the breath of life:⁶⁹

If she would talk to pigeons, they would reply to her and if she addressed the dead, then they would talk again.

فلو أنها تــــدعو الحمام أجــــابها ولو كلّمت ميتّــــــا إذن لتكلّما Her touch is a vital force:⁷⁰

When I touch her, my hand all but becomes dewy and green leaves grow upon its tips.

تكـاد يـدي تنـدى اذا مـا لمستها وينبت في اطرافهــــا الـورق الخضر

From these few examples, it is not difficult to notice that the almost divine power of Laylā's presence and words recalls the power of Christ. But Laylā does not seem to have been the only beloved who enjoyed such power. Along with excerpts from Mağnūn, al-Waššā' cites examples from other poets and then adds: "These have claimed that women's words cure blindness, heal the deaf, revive the dead, eliminate barrenness, and resurrect from the grave before Resurrection Day."⁷¹

Mağnūn's fortune in life is determined by his relation to Laylā. Depending on their reunion or separation, he fluctuates between happiness and sadness, health and illness, reason and madness, life and death. In any case, Mağnūn has no alternative. Laylā inhabits him, and the occult power of her mysterious presence allows him no escape:⁷²

She's Magic; yet for magic one finds a talisman,

and I can never find someone to break her spell.

هي السحرُ الآ انَّ للسحر راقيَّ ا وأَنِّيَ لا القى لها الـــدهرَ راقيا Before such a presence, Mağnūn is totally bewitched; his mind and heart are held in bondage. Thus, he feels that the enmity of Laylā's parents towards him is unjust, since it is, as Mağnūn puts it:⁷³

72. Aġānī 57.

73. $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ 2, line 16. For her possession of his heart see also $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ 5, line 14 and 9, line 12.

^{69.} Ibid. 43.

^{70.} Ibid. 41.

^{71.} *al-Muwaššā* 67. Concerning Laylā's power to remove blindness, see *ibid.*, line 8, and $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ 43, line 21.

على غير شيءٍ غير أني أحبر___ وانَّ فؤادى عنـ_د ليل أسرُهَ_

For no reason whatever, except that I love her

and that my heart and mind are her captives.

The same situation is expressed by another frequent image:⁷⁴ As if a rein were attached to my heart, she leads it wherever she proceeds, and I follow. كَـــأَنَّ زمـــامَــا في الفؤاد معلّقٌ تقود بـــه حيث استمرّت وأتبـــع Consequently, Laylā's absence makes the whole world worthless, and Mağnūn's paths become prison bars:⁷⁵

As if the mountain-roads were the circle of a ring around me, never increasing in length or in breadth.

كمانًا فجماج الأرض حلقة خماتم عليَّ، فلا تزداد طولاً ولا عرضا Thus nothing and nothing and the substrate of

Thus, nothing can replace Laylā, and nothing can stop his quest for her, neither society⁷⁶ nor a traditional repentence to God.⁷⁷ His surrender to this supernatural possession is total; it leads him beyond good and evil. Whether divine or satanic, his love for Laylā is irremediable:⁷⁸

Welcome be the work of Satan

if my love for her is Satan's work.

يا حبّذا عملُ الشيطان من عمل ان كان من عمل الشيطان حُبّيها For, true to the Ṣūfī conception "that only the beloved can be the remedy for love-madness,"⁷⁹ Mağnūn's only solution to seek his freedom by means of more harmony with this absolute, more surrender to it, and hence, by an unrealistic attempt, to overcome his individual self in his desperate struggle for total unity with the beloved. This means more indulgence:⁸⁰

79. Rūzbihān Baqlī Šīrāzī: *Kitāb-i 'Abhar al-'āšiqīn*. Ed. and introd. H. Corbin and M. Mo'in, Paris 1958, 200: «كه دواى جنون عشق جز معشوق نتواند بود»

80. Dīwān 29.

^{74.} Dīwān 63.

^{75.} Ibid. 67, line 13.

^{76.} Ibid. 2, line 15; 57, line 12; 85, line 4.

^{77.} Ibid. 5, lines 12-14.

^{78.} Ibid. 88.

For Laylā's love I treat myself with Laylā,

for wine a drunkard treats himself with wine.

بــالخمرِ	الخمر	شاربُ	يتـــداوى	5	الهوى	من	بليلى	ليلى	من	تــداويتُ

It is a lost struggle, and Mağnūn seems to know it. By breaking the covenant of secrecy, through poetry, Mağnūn lost his blessed union with Laylā. His indulgence could only lead him to more poetry, more madness, and hence more alienation which in its turn generates its own cause. For even when purified of everything else, Mağnūn keeps his poetry and madness; in his craving to achieve his hopeless reunion with Laylā, poetry and madness remain his only tools for vision.

Here we touch on a more basic problem, where Sufi strains become more and more conspicuous. Could Mağnūn have avoided his alienation had he not succumbed to his poetic *šaytān* and his maddening jinni? Not if we remember the full range of man's wordly condition as seen from the double perspective of romantic and religious outlooks. We have already seen⁸¹ that whether in the Arabic, in the Islamic, or in the general context, alienation might begin by being a divorce between man and his social environment, but it often reaches the dimensions of a psychological and metaphysical rupture which is impossible to heal. To escape this alienation, one would have to stop the flight of Time:

I fell in love with Laylā when she was a heedless child when no sign of her bosom had yet appeared to playmates Two children guarding the flocks, would that we never had grown up, nor had the flocks grown old.⁸²

Clearly this is an impossible, or at best, a romantic dream. Only in Paradise may our believers be blessed with an eternal youth; and this is the heart of the problem: Mağnūn has lost his Paradise:⁸³

O my (two) friends, my Paradise is Lāylā, my Hell is her distance, and when I want to pray, sh'es my object of devotion.

خليليَّ، ليلى جنّتي، وبعــــادهــــا جحيمي، ونسكي ان اردتُ صلاتيا

To reconquer Paradise, one would have to mend the split which broke the unity of the lovers, through the simple fact of their birth into the physical world. The bliss of primitiveness and childhood is only a reminder of the

82. Appendix I.

^{81.} See supra, Chapter I.

^{83.} Dīwān 96.

beatific garden of Eden. Once childhood is lost, reunion is possible only after the total freedom from this world, that is, after death.

Mağnūn is not only conscious that this final step is required, but he also seems to desire its fulfillment:⁸⁴

Were the world twice yours and she be far awayYou would still be wanting Laylā. Indeed what leads you to love her is your own (wish for) death.

نك بينهــا	ىائىن عا	بـــ	، وليلي	سواهـــا	، به	وما عُدلت	الدنيا	لك	لو أنّ
حَيْنها	نفسك	ود	ليما	يقود ا	وانما	فقيرًا،	ليلى	الى	لكنت

This yearning for self-annihilation, whether conscious or not, implies not only the extinction of the ego, but also the abolition of the body. In this respect, the traditional 'Udrī chastity is just the beginning of a process which ends by a complete negation of physical existence. This applies to Laylā as well. The more Laylā approximates an absolute spiritual presence, the more she becomes an utter physical absence. Simultaneously, the alchemy of her obsessive presence transforms all nature into her image:⁸⁵

I try to forget her remembrance, and yet

it is as though Layla is *typified* for me everywhere.

أريـــدُ لأنسى ذكرهـــا فكـــأنَّا تَمَتَّـــل لي ليلى بكـــل سبيـــلِ

In front of such an epiphany, it is difficult not to recall similar experiences: Orpheus, dead yet present in all aspects of nature; Christ's permanent presence through his mystical body; or BAUDELAIRE's "Forêt de symboles." While one can argue that such an experience might have been beyond the scope of a 'Udrī poet, one can hardly assert that it was above the reach of a Şūfī.

On this basis, perhaps, we can suggest that Mağnūn's references to nature were not merely conventional. Mağnūn's frequent associations of Laylā with the wind, the encampment, the hills of Nağd, the sand dunes,

^{84.} Agānī 9.

^{85.} T. HUSAYN: op. cit. 517; and an-Nīsabūrī: 'Uqalā' al-Mašānīn. Našaf 1968, 55. It might be worth relating this image to the *typification* of the Beloved in Ibn 'Arabī's conception where "the real and invisible *Beloved* has to be *typical (mumattal)* in a concrete figure by the Active Imagination; through it He attains a mode of existence perceptible to the vision of that privileged faculty." H. CORBIN: Creative Imagination 154. See also p. 334, n. 34.

and his attitude towards doves, even locusts,⁸⁶ and other birds and animals, suggest the omnipresence of Laylā in nature and in the mind of Mağnūn:⁸⁷

I am distracted from understanding any talk,

- except what is about you, for that is my concern.
- I keep staring at those who talk to me so they may believe

that I understand, but my mind is with you.

شغلي	ف_انّ_ه	، فيكِ،	كسيان	مــــا	سوى	الحديث	عـن فهم	وشُغلتُ
عقلى	وعنــــدكم	فهمت،	قـــــد	ان ا	ليرى	محدّثي	لحظ	وأديم

Once he reaches complete madness, Mağnūn returns to his right mind only when Lāyla is mentioned; otherwise, nothing makes sense to him, nor can he sound reasonable.⁸⁸ In this context, the concrete appearance of a particular woman called Layla 1-'Āmiriyya loses its importance. Her beauty and love are only finite reminders of the eternal, perfect, and divine Beauty and Love. Once these latter are interiorized, reminders are unnecessary. Thus, from the viewpoints supplied by Plato's concept of Love's gradual ascent from the particular and limited to the absolute and perfect Beauty, as well as by the Ṣūfī interpretaion of Mağnūn's attitude, we can understand the following anecdote, as related and commented upon by Ibn 'Arabī (d. 1240):

There are some who in their Active Imagination contemplate the Image of the real being in whom their Beloved is manifested; they thus contemplate His real existence with their own eyes, and that is union with the Beloved in the Active Imagination; then, in contemplating Him, they are united with Him in a union whose delicacy and sweetness surpass any material, concrete and objective union. It is this (imaginative union) which absorbed the spirit of Qays al-Majnûn, who turned away from his beloved Laylā, saying: "Go away from me"... because Laylā who was present to his Active Imagination was more suave and beautiful than the real, physical Laylā.⁸⁹

^{86.} $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ 15, lines 18-20. It might be appropriate to add here that T HUSAYN and KRAČKOVSKIJ were unduly impatient with the apparent incongruity of the poetic excerpts of the $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$, probably because they were not ready to see the Sufi substratum underlying these excerpts. Thus HUSAYN would have accepted some of Mağnūn's sayings and acts, but "from a philosopher and not from a madman." (ولكن من فيلسوف لا من مجنون) (op. cit. 507); see also KRAČKOVSKIJ: op. cit. 46-48.

^{87.} Agānī 34; also Dīwān 14, has the two lines with minor variations.

^{88.} On this point the reports are consistent. See, for instance, Appendix.

^{89.} CORBIN, Creative Imagination 336. The quotation is from Ibn 'Arabī's Kitāb al-Futūļāt al-makkiyya. Cairo 1911, II, 337. Cf. also Ibn Ţūlūn ad-Dimašqī: Bast sāmi' almusāmir 9.

This Sufi anecdote adds to Mağnun's attitude a dimension hardly explicit in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$. Yet, already Mağnun's condition, supported by his inflamed imagination, was pointing in this direction. We have seen that traditional Arabic poetry generally portrayed ideal types. Moreover, the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ has clear allusions to Mağnun's idealization of Layla, combined with the Sufi tendancy to overlook differences of creed, race, color, or class, in the unity of Love. Ibn 'Arabī declares:

My heart has become capable of every form:

it is a pasture for gazelles and a convent for Christian monks,

And a temple for idols and pilgrim's Ka'ba and the

tables of the Tora and the book of the Koran.

I follow the religion of Love: whatever way Love's

camels take, that is my religion and my faith.

We have a pattern in Bishr, the lover of Hind and her

sister, and in Qays and Layla and in Mayya and Ghaylān.⁹⁰

Similarly, Mağnūn was capable of loving gazelles, beasts of wilderness, and, above all, Laylā. He loved Laylā without caring whether she was short, sick, a black Ethiopian, or a foreign unbelieving Nabatean.⁹¹ For her sake, Mağnūn has a Christian love for those who hate him.⁹² The allusion to an Ethiopian Laylā, or a Nabatean Laylā, could give the impression that the $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ includes references to more than one beloved. This might have confirmed critics like T. HUSAYN and KRAČKOVSKIJ in their opinion that the $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ contains incongruities. Yet, this need not be the only way of interpreting the references and allusions to more than one Laylā. Wālibī — whoever he may have been — though a compiler, need not be confused or naïve, unless we insist on considering the $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ as a historical document for the identity of Laylā. But if we are open to the possibility of a Şūfī level of interpreting this collection, apparent instances of naïveté in the text acquire a more positive meaning, and many apparently random lines and fragments fall into place.

The wholeness of love and the notion that love transcends the physical conditions of a particular, finite beloved are echoed in many anecdotes and poetic fragments of the $D\bar{w}\bar{a}n$. Laylā herself admits that Mağnūn has

^{90.} Tarğumān al-Ašwāq. Trans. R.A. NICHOLSON. London 1911, 67. The translation has "Qays and Lubna" for the Arabic "Qays and Laylā": cf. p. 19, line 16.

^{91.} See Dīwān 9, lines 3,4, 5; 101, lines 5, 7, 23. Mağnūn's idealization of his beloved is attested by these examples, and by Laylä herself, when she says: "He has fatally fallen in love with me, without my deserving it." (لقد علق منّى ما الهلكه دون ان استحقّ ذلك)

^{92.} Ibid. 101, lines 24 and 25.

created of her an image far above her real qualities.⁹³ The same notion is implied in previously mentioned lines concerning love for love's sake, notwithstanding the special qualities of the beloved.⁹⁴ Consequently, we are led to believe that, even though the $D\bar{w}\bar{a}n$ does not formulate an anecdote like the above mentioned one of Ibn 'Arabī, such an anecdote is latent in the text.

Nevertheless, Mağnūn faced a problem familiar to the Ṣūfīs: a beatific vision of the beloved cannot be repeated frequently or at will. (Moreover, the Ṣūfīs were divided over the mode of this vision, that is to say, over whether it is contingent on, or independent of, the visible.) In his explanation of the dialectic of love as expounded by Ibn 'Arabī, CORBIN gives us a key to the interpretation of this anecdote, and to Mağnūn's frequent *dikr* (remembrance and mentioning) of Laylā's name. From CORBIN, we learn that the Ṣūfī

... in and by his being... gives substance to the divine Name with which he has been invested since pre-enternity and which is his own Lord. In the privileged hours of his spiritual life the mystic knows and feels this without need of any other pledge than the *sympathetic passion* which gives him or rather which *is*, this Presence...⁹⁵

Here, Ibn 'Arabī seems to use Mağnūn for an example wherein "pure 'imaginative contemplation' (*mushāhadāt khayālīya*) ... can attain such intensity that any material and sensible presence would only draw it down." But this "most subtile phenomenon of love,"⁹⁶ which was ascribed by Ibn 'Arabī to Mağnūn, is absent from the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$. This phenomenon, as Ibn 'Arabī represents it, is by necessity rare. It cannot be a frequent occurrence that "the Active Imagination... places the invisible and the visible, the spiritual and the physical in sympathy," thus enabling one "to love a being of the sensible world, in whom we love the manifestation of the divine Beloved."⁹⁷

Because of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$'s arabesque arrangement and lack of formal unity, it is difficult to suggest that this $\bar{S}u\bar{f}i$ notion of love was in the mind of the compiler, even when we know that it was a matter of common knowledge and practice. One can assert, however, that Mağnun normally yearned for seeing the concrete Layla, but, unable to fulfill his desire, he

95. Creative Imagination 142.

97. Loc. cit.

^{93.} Agānī 50, line 16.

^{94.} See supra, n. 91.

^{96.} Ibid. 156.

transfigured concrete reality by means of his Active Imagination and dreamt of total reunion with his beloved in the hereafter. Short of death, his identity with the beloved was bound to remain restricted to mere approximations of his ideal. Once he has lost his individual self and surrendered his life motivation to the unrealizable reunion with the beloved, he has to endure a sense of loss, and to seek refuge in his Active Imagination:⁹⁸

When I don't meet Layla I'm as if suspended

with thin ropes, whirling between plain and mountain.

Though if I wished, my yearning would be excited

by images which words cannot express.

وحــالق	سهـــلِ	بين	أهفو	بسبتين	معلّقٌ	ليلى	ألق	4	اذا	أني	
التنساطق	فيهساً	عِيَّ	رسومٌ	عليَّ	صبابتي	فت	هاج	شئت	إن	أنني	على

Thus restricted to fleeting glimpses and short encounters, Mağnūn seeks to satisfy his passion through a world of dream — through poetry and madness. There, his imagination creates for him ineffable visions resulting in words and actions which fall outside the reasonable norms of a traditional outlook on life.

In what mode does Mağnūn's madness display itself? This will be the subject of our next section. For the moment, let us observe that, from the earliest anecdotes and texts ascribed to Mağnūn, what was retained of the conventions of erotic poetry described a character largely compatible with the Şūfī concept of man's relations to God. It is very difficult to draw a demarcation line in Mağnūn's poetry between the erotic and the mystical, or between the profane and the sacred. Whether Sūfī notions played a major role in the formation of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, or whether one can say with Schiller that any naïve poet is, by nature, in harmony with the unity of God and nature, the fact remains that Mağnūn's $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ exhibits in a less systematic form most of the strains that distinguished Şūfī lovers.

In this respect, to say with HILĀL that Maǧnūn's love, though inspired by the Islamic climate, was only 'Udrī is to miss the mystical overtones of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$. Though cryptic, RITTER's remark on KRAČKOVSKIJ's essay,⁹⁹ a remark which applies to T. HUSAYN's position, remains, in my opinion, the best insight into the formative factors of Maǧnūn's character.

^{98.} FARRĀĞ: op. cit. 202.

^{99.} See supra, chap. one, n. 15.

C. Madness

As with his poetry and love, in general, and despite his claims to be unique, Mağnūn's signs of madness follow poetic convention. His distinguishing characteristic, however, lies in his going beyond the verbal limits of "poetic folly" to the point of becoming an existential incarnation of these signs. What other poets of love-madness were content to imagine, Mağnūn is supposed to have experienced in his body and soul. In this, he is again a precursor and an ideal of the Şūfīs, as attested by them and by later scholars. Consequently, VADET's perceptive observation that Mağnūn's madness was another type of "folie poétique"¹⁰⁰ should not induce us to overlook the distinctive mark of Mağnūn. Such a mark derives from the difference between metaphor *simpliciter* and a metaphor acted out in real life.

Madness is par excellence the realm of possession. Apart from its traditional connection with poetry and love, madness can occur in its own right, in which case it needs no poetic or erotic cause. We have seen that for the Arabs, whether pagans or Muslims, the jinn are real. Depending on their nature, the jinn can be good or evil. In either case, their presence robs the possessed of his normal judgment, thereby reducing him to a tool at their disposal.¹⁰¹

Madness, as conceived of in the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$, seems to manifest itself in two forms which complement each other. First, it is a break with the commonly accepted norms of behavior, whether on a personal or on a social level. Second, it seems to stem from an alien power residing in the inner self, or in what we now call the unconscious, a power which breaks loose from the control of sensible judgment. Both forms, exhibited by Mağnūn, are consistent in the sense that the latter (i.e. being possessed by an alien or by a hidden, inner power) leads to the manifestation of the former (i.e. rejection of normal behavior). As mentioned earlier, both forms remain largely within literary convention; it is rather their continual and pathological repetition that makes them characteristic of Mağnūn.

While the psycho-spiritual image of Mağnūn is more relevant to our analysis of his madness, since it is more meaningfully connected with the irrational, his physical image derives its importance from its symbolic contribution to the narrative. By providing the story with some stereotyped elements of mad behavior, the physical descriptions reinforce the mimetic

^{100.} VADET: op. cit. 368.

^{101.} Cf. "Djinn" in Encyclopaedia of Islam.

and realistic side of the narrative and bring it closer to the popular imagination. Moreover, the physical condition of Mağnūn becomes his distinguishing mark as an incarnation of the love-mad poet, and in contrast to other heroes of the same type of romance.

Though Mağnūn's fits of insanity are described in some poetic passages, the major bulk of this description is rendered by explanatory anecdotes. These anecdotes depict Mağnūn along lines very similar to what we find in *Maṣāri' al-'uššāq*, showing signs of behavior generally attributed to madmen.

The majority of these descriptions tend to associate Mağnūn's madness not with furor, frenzy, or violence, but with symptoms of melancholy. Nevertheless, the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ has occasional allusions to violent reactions in states of frenzy or of trance.

· Practically all the general signs of madness mentioned in Masāri' al-'uššāq are to be found in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$. It is worth noting, however, that the Dīwān stresses those physical signs which are explainable by inner states or motivations. It is true that social restrictions were the cause of Magnun's suffering, but once he reaches the state of insanity, society looks at him with compassion. Early in his evolution towards insanity, his family ties him up; thereafter, seeing that he took to biting his lips and tearing his garments apart, they set him free. There are one or two instances in which Mağnun is followed and laughed at.¹⁰² But this is done by children and seems to be meant to arouse pity rather than ridicule. In short, Magnun's madness, never used as a comic theme in any of the Islamic literatures, seems to have been taken too seriously to be ever deemed a source of laughter. This presents an interesting contrast to the Greco-Roman tradition where, according to O'BRIEN-MOORE¹⁰³ madness and frenzy often provided matter for comic parodies. Consequently, if we want to understand the curiously constant, serious tone given to the Magnun stories, we should be aware of the basic connection between his conduct and the Sufi adoption of the legend. The Sūfīs oriented the legend towards inspiring awe and compassion, instead of terror or ridicule. Thus, Mağnūn is conceived of chiefly as a pathetic figure. The external manifestations of his madness, largely connected with his inner states, vary in intensity and oddity according to the conditions of his relation of Layla. They can be either involuntary reactions to external stimuli, or ingrained attitudes, developed

^{102.} See Dīwān 38 and 45.

^{103.} A. O'BRIEN-MOORE: Madness in Ancient Literature. Weimar 1924, 37-42 and 53-66.

over a long period of time. In both cases, as already mentioned, poetry played an essential part.

The odd appearance of Mağnūn runs the whole gamut between shortlived weeping and pallor on the one hand, and, on the other hand, insane wandering at large in rejection of human society and its norms. Many of these manifestations can be seen in normal people under emotional stress: sadness, almost total lack of laughter, silence with the eyes cast down; or crying, yelling, tearing one's clothes,¹⁰⁴ exhibiting a bewildered appearance, and fainting. However, as his love obsession never releases him, these manifestations are so frequent that they become abnormal. They have the further significance of being parallel to some mystical states (*ahwāl*) and stations (*maqāmāt*).

Thus, once advanced on this path, Mağnūn exhibits these signs to a pathological degree. He is almost continuously gloomy, crying and fainting, He lives for days without approaching food or water and wanders totally naked in the surrounding of the encampments, or out in the desert. His feeling of fraternity with animals and birds conveys a picture of his paradisiac purity, and is probably meant to express his communion with the totality of nature. However, at its extreme, this communion with nature fails to be complete, since it leads to his divorce from human society, a divorce which does not seem to be redeemed, even by Mağnūn's imaginative communion with Laylā.

In this respect, Mağnūn represents not only extreme asceticism, but also a definite pessimistic outlook on life in its social context. These two attitudes are independent and separable, as witness the Sūfīs who, despite their rejection of many social norms, remain well-disposed towards men, and *in* society, if not *of* it.

Mağnūn's estrangement from society may be seen in greater relief when contrasted with the visionary and creative involvement with society on the part of another archetypal figure of Islamic literature, *viz.*, Joseph. In Joseph¹⁰⁵ we have an optimistic picture of the highest self-fulfillment within the scope of religious piety. Endowed with ideal beauty and with prophetic vision, Joseph puts his talents to the service of society and succeeds in realizing his dream. As a child, he has a vision of "eleven planets and the sun and the moon"¹⁰⁶ prostrating themselves before him. Later, at

^{104.} Tearing one's clothes under the pressure of affliction or emotional shocks is still common among the Arabs.

^{105.} See, for example, Ğāmī's Yūsūf u Zulayhā.

^{106.} Koran XII, 4.

the height of his power, "he placed his parents on the dais and they fell down before him prostrate, and he said: O my father! This is the interpretation of my dream of old. My Lord hath made it true..."¹⁰⁷ Furthermore, Joseph's chastity is rewarded by the fulfillment of his wish to bring Zulayhā back from old age, ugliness, and blindness to youth, beauty, and vision.

As will be mentioned in the following chapter, Joseph can be seen as the type of the Şūfī who does not reject social and religious conventions of morality. Although imprisoned through the mischief and ignorance of others, he keeps his faith in people and forgives their weaknesses. Most importantly, he preserves his inner visionary freedom, which leads him out of his physical prison and crowns him as a prophet-king.

In contrast to the Joseph archetype, Mağnūn seems to be the farthest expression of the glamorized rebellion against social, religious, and intellectual conventions. These alienating conventions seem to have generated a counter-alienation, an outcry for total freedom, and a desire to return to unity with nature, where life is imagined to be beyond good and evil. The $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ reiterates the romantic episodes of Mağnūn's wild intimacy with nature. Totally naked, Mağnūn lets his hair grow all over his body. He grazes with animals and runs with them,¹⁰⁸ mainly with gazelles, who resemble his god: Laylā. This behavior marks his irremediable madness. Thus, several anecdotes identify his appearance with that of the jinn¹⁰⁹ themselves. The $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ insists on this characteristic of Mağnūn's appearance, an insistence that could be interpreted both as an expression of the popular imagination behind the legend, and as a crystallization of Mağnūn's identification with the irrational forces of nature.

Instances of such an identification are evident in the narrative, where someone, relating his encounter with Mağnūn, comments on the latter's appearance by saying, "I had no doubt that he was a jinni"¹¹⁰ or reports that, frightened at the sight of Mağnūn, he actually asked him, "Are you a human being or a jinni"¹¹¹

Here we find clear implications of the intrinsic connection between Mağnūn's strange conduct and his much more important state of mental aberration. The aspects of this mental aberration are of special interest to

111. See Ibid. 12, line 16; 15, line 20.

^{107.} Koran XII, 100.

^{108.} See Dīwān 58.

^{109.} See Ibid. 15, 58, 89.

^{110.} Cf. for example, Dīwān 64, line 10; 15, lines 14-15.

our investigation, because they are expressions of the irrational possessing Mağnūn. Salient examples of this odd behavior are those in which the physical presence of Laylā literally bewitches him. Two famous instances are reminiscent of the story of Joseph and Potiphar's wife, Zulayhā, *viz.* the episode in which Zulayhā attempts to defend herself against the women who blame her for loving Joseph.

And women in the city said: The ruler's wife is asking of her slave-boy an ill deed. Indeed he has smitten her to the heart with love. We behold her in plain aberration.

And when she heard of their sly talk, she sent to them and prepared for them a cushioned couch (to lie on at the feast) and gave every one of them a knife and said (to Joseph): Come out unto them! And when they saw him they exalted him and cut their hands, exclaiming: Allah Blameless! This is not a human being. This is no other than some gracious angel.¹¹²

The angelic looks of Joseph are obviously contrary to the demonic demeanor of Mağnūn. The source of this sharp oppositon may be the fact that Joseph is a perfect mirror of divine beauty, while in the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ this role is assigned to Laylā. Bewitchment and mental aberration is the lot of those who contemplate the dazzling beauty as reflected in such mirrors.

This phenomenon is illustrated by two incidents that Mağnūn relates about his fascination with Laylā:

My father sent me to the encampment of Laylā's father and told me, "Ask him for some condiment." I went to him, stood at his tent, and called him. He said, "What do you wish?" I said, "Some visitors came to us, and we have no condiment for them, so my father sent me to ask you for some." He said, "Laylā, take out that churn and fill his container with butter." She brought it out. We began to talk, while she was pouring the butter into a wooden cup which I had with me. While the conversation distracted us, she kept pouring the butter. The cup was filled up, and neither of us was aware of it. It overflowed until our feet were soaked in butter.

I came to them another night asking for fire, and I was wrapped in a garment. She brought a rag on fire and gave it to me. Then we stood there talking. When the rag was burned up, I tore out a piece of my garment and set it on fire. As soon as that piece had been burned up, I tore out another piece and set it on fire, until I did not have any clothing left on me, except what covered my genitals. I did not know what I was doing.¹¹³

112. Koran XII, 30-31.

113. Agānī 28-29.

Another anecdote shows his rage. When he meets Layla's husband, he asks him if he had ever touched Laylā.

Her husband said, "By God, if you put me under oath, Yes." So Mağnūn grasped with both hands two handfuls of embers and held them until he fell unconscious, and until the embers and the flesh of his palms fell down.¹¹⁴

Whether in an ecstatic contemplation of the beloved, or in a frantic rage of jealousy, Mağnūn's behavior here is obviously abnormal. Such anecdotes are among the more accentuated touches in his picture; they are generally associated with Mağnūn's life in society, i.e., before he took to wandering in the desert along with the wild animals. With Mağnūn's total alienation from society (tawahhuš) the irremediable absence of Laylā initiates a process wherein her image is diffused into all aspects of nature, transforming them into symbolic epiphanies of her presence.

When this process occurs, Mağnūn's conduct becomes comparatively restrained, tending towards melancholy; his "madness" becomes another name for his deviation from norms of thought and conduct as established by human society.

The $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ exhibits narrative efforts to render an image of a person totally integrated into the natural animal world. Mağnūn's unity with nature is expressed not only negatively, through his demeanor and his shunning of society, but also positively through numerous hints which portray him as totally at home in his desert environment. While at the approach of a human being he stampedes like a wild horse,¹¹⁵ Mağnūn is at peace with sub-rational as well as super-rational nature. He communes with super-human forces, a communion symbolized by his being possessed by Laylā, but this communion is achieved through his sensitivity to the subhuman elements in nature. The $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ succeeds in conveying the impression of a fundamental intimacy between Mağnūn and the world of symbols that surround him and always revive in him the memory of the beloved. Mountains, plains, and valleys, wells, trees, and the wind, gazelles, doves, and even locusts;¹¹⁶ all nature is a book replete with variations on Laylā's name.

116. The $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ includes abundant examples of Mağnūn's company or fellowship with the animal and natural worlds. See for references to mountains, $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ 17, lines 2-6; 36, line 16; 39, line 15; and for a conversation between Mağnūn and the Tawbād mountain, *ibid*. 25, lines 15-19. Examples of references to valleys, p. 8, lines 15-16; to different kinds of birds, p. 20, lines 5-6; p. 22, lines 2-4; p. 25, lines 7-8; p. 29, lines 4-7. Intimacy with the

^{114.} Dīwān 97.

^{115.} See Appendix.

Thus, through fine touches of concrete details, through a collage of tableaux which in effect constitute variations on a theme, the $D\bar{i}wan$ succeeds in drawing a convincing portrait of a living being. The strangeness of the environment in which this living being is made to move acquires credibility by means of the suggestive force of realistic details. This force is rendered even more convinving by the naïve air of naturalness and by the matter-of-fact attitude in the narrative description of this popular figure.

It would be neither easy nor legitimate to attempt any systematization of a madman's actions, especially when he is not created by a systematic mind but as a compilation of anecdotes derived from a rather popular and simple origin. Furthermore, one could argue on behalf of the compiler or compilers that it is self-contradictory to expect a systematic behavior from a person whose whole being is a repudiation of our social and intellectual systems. Consequently, all that one can hope legitimately to trace is the underlying general attitude and the symbolism of its manifestations as they relate to the dominant ideas of a specific period.

The above restriction is even applicable to the aspects of Mağnūn's psychological world. As with the notions of love and poetry, the lyrical and fictional expressions of Mağnūn's madness were not supported by an elaborate system. Among those who treated the Mağnūn theme, $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ is the first to provide us with extensive, theoretical and historical writings that expound his philosophical and mystical views. $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ goes even to the extent of including didactic explanations in his *Laylī u Mağnūn* itself.

Besides its lack of such views and explanations, the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ presents a collective, arabesque composition based on loose juxtapostions that defy any strict systematization. Therefore, our ambition has to be limited to discovering a general pattern which might enhance our understanding of Mağnūn's madness and link together the more meaningful manifestations of this madness.

As with his physical behavior, Mağnūn's psychological attitude is one of withdrawal from the laws of reason, and of return to nature on its prerational level. It is the expression of a romantic nostalgia for a state of freedom from rational restrictions as much as his behavior was a rejection of social laws.

Yet, beyond the mere nostalgia of a romantic poet in society, exulting vicariously in primitive life, Mağnūn's irrevocable break with social and intellectual norms make him the symbol of the dropout par excellence. We

wind, esp. the east-wind, the $sab\bar{a}$, is a common motif: see, for example, p. 21, line 20; p. 39, line 15 *et seq.*; p. 40, line 11; and an especially telling line, p. 22, line 6. An example of references to water can be found on p. 30, lines 1-3.

shall see that with the Sūfīs, this break has cognitive implications: it means that knowledge is possible only when free from the rationalistic cage, i.e., when it stems from the unconscious. Thus, dream, day-dream, poetry, trance, unconsciousness, etc. are the ways of envisioning the source of one's *ğunūn* (madness), and of identifying with this source. On the other hand, spontaneous reactions, such as fainting, tears, shouts, total silence (dumbfoundedness) express the state of the subconscious under the overpowering shock of too much or too little vision.¹¹⁷.

Here again, Laylā is the source of Mağnūn's madness and the subject of his obsession. She is the jinni who bewitches his mind. The notion that a person can be endowed with magic power or can even be a jinni in human form is a common motif both traditionally¹¹⁸ and in the particular case of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$. Besides identifying Laylā with "magic itself,"¹¹⁹ Mağnūn is aware that the talisman he needs should be effective against his particular jinni which is none other than Laylā.¹²⁰

They said, 'He has been stricken by the evil eyes of a jinni?'

had they only realized, they would have said, 'stricken by the eyes of a human.'

وقــالـوا بــه من أعين الجنّ نظرةٌ ولـو عقلـوا قــالـوا بـه أعيـنُ الأُنسِ The same motif is expressed by Ibn Durayd:¹²¹

She said abusingly, 'He is touched by a demon.'

It is you — once you possessed him — who are his demon.

قالت، تعرّض، مشَّ شيطانٍ به، بل انت، حينَ ملكتِهِ، شيطانُهُ

In *Maṣāri* al-'uššāq, this motif is not left without an explicit generalization provided by Abū Muḥammad al-Ğarīrī, who says,

^{117.} We shall have the occasion to elaborate on this point in our analysis of Gāmī's treatment of the theme. For the moment, it might be well to specify that knowledge here should be understood as gnostic experience of the Beloved which the mystic attains through love. "Freed from the world of perception, he may advance to the cessation of all conscious thought. When wholly immersed in the contemplation of the Divine, the mystic loses consciousness even of his loss of consciousness and attains to the highest beatitude of the soul." (VON GRUNEBAUM: Medieval Islam 135).

^{118.} $Maş\bar{a}ri'$ al-'ušš $\bar{a}q$ includes many examples of love between the jinn and human beings, and of human beings having the magic power of love. See, for example, $Mas\bar{a}ri'$ I, 199 and II, 98-99.

^{119. (} هى السحر) Agānī 57 and Dīwān 73, line 14; 44, line 2.

^{120.} Masāri' I, 199.

^{121.} Ibid. 232.

If remembrance becomes entrenched in the heart, and its dominion powerful, the foe (i.e. the person affected) cannot escape from it and is smitten by it as when a person is driven to madness by a touch of a jinni. The jinn would pass by him and ask, 'what is wrong with this one?' They would be told, 'he was touched (i.e. maddened) by a human being'.¹²²

The identification between beloved and daemonic power is evident in Mağnūn's following line:¹²³

O doctor of the jinn, woe unto you, find me a cure, for the doctor of humans is helpless against my ill.

ألا يــا طبيب الجنّ ويحك داوني فــإنَّ طبيب الإنس اعيــاه دائيــا

It seems that in order to make peace with this daemonic power, hidden in the darker part of the soul, one has to surrender to it, i.e., to allow it as much freedom as possible, hence, to harmonize with it by giving free reign to its presence. Since the night of the soul is the realm of this possessing power, Mağnūn has to do his best to surrender to it continuously. One way of surrendering to this possessor is the constant remembrance of its presence.¹²⁴ Night time seems to be particularly conducive to such a remembrance. Mağnūn is a good example of those lovers who stay awake:¹²⁵

I, the lover, bewildered and wandering with a pierced heart, observe the setting of the Pleiades, while the careless are fast

أنــا الـوامـق المشغـوف والهائم الــذي أراعي الثريَّــــــا والخليّون نُوَّمُ

In order to lessen his anxiety, Mağnūn tries to sleep, ¹²⁶ but even when he is able to sleep, his dreams revolve around his yearning for Laylā:¹²⁷

asleep.

127. Ibid. 45.

^{122.} Maṣāri' II, 99: The motif goes so far as to have stories about men in love with female jinn, such as the poet Mudriğ ar-Rīh: see *Kitāb aš-Ši'r* 622. For comparison of the beloved to a jinni, see *ibid*. 625.

^{123.} Dīwān 73.

^{124.} See, for example, Dīwān 9, last line.

^{125.} $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ 30. Similar allusions are found on pp. 11, line 2; 33, line 5; 37, lines 9-10. For an allusion to his constant remembrance, see p. 35, line 3; and for Laylā's constant presence in Mağnūn's consciousness, see p. 9, last line.

^{126.} Ibid. 11, line 4.

Dreams tell me that I see you.

I wish nights' dreams were true.

When awake, Mağnūn compensates for Laylā's absence by daydreaming as well as by weeping and composing poetry:¹²⁸

If you deny me Laylā and the beauty of her conversation, you will not deny me rhymes and tears.

Since you deny me her words, why not forbid her

image from coming freely to me, despite the distance.

لقـوافيـــا	وا	البك	منّي	تمنعوا	فلن	حسديثهسا	جُسْنَ	لیلی و	وا	تمنعو	فسيان
خاليا	النـأي	على	ينــا	لاً يواف	خيسالا	۱	كلام	منعتم	إذ	منعتم	فهلاً

This kind of compensation for Laylā's absence is also expressed in two famous lines of Mağnūn, mentioned by Ibn Qutayba:¹²⁹

I feign drowsiness when I have no desire for sleep, hoping that your image may cross my mind.I leave the company of men hoping to converse about you with myself, in secret and alone.

It is worth noting here that in the last line, the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ includes a variant which makes it more expressive of the desert and nocturnal worlds than the version of the lines used above. While Ibn Qutayba's line reads,¹³⁰

خاليا	السرّ	في	النفس	عنك	أحدّث	لعلني	الجلوس	بين	من	وأخرجُ
			the fo							
خاليا	الليل	ب	النفس	عنك	أحدّث	لعلّني	البيوت	بين	من	وأخرجُ

Wālibī's narrative, which lacks organic structure, does not describe the increasing entrenchment of Mağnūn in the world of desert and night. The $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ hints at, but does not develop, many of the motifs that were part of Şūfī trade-in-stock. The $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ does, however, insist on weeping and poetry as channels of psychological catharsis. We have already seen

- 129. See Appendix.
- 130. Kitāb aš-Ši'r 477.
- 131. Dīwān 84.

^{128.} Dīwān 84.

Mağnūn's need for poetry. This need is sometimes given an exclusive psychological function, as when Mağnūn says:132

I climb the hills only because of yearning and chant verses only for remedy.

فها أشرف الايقاع إلاً صبابةً وما أنشد الاشعار الاً As for weeping, one may easily recall that it was among the oldest motifs of the nasīb, as witnesses the first line of Imra'alqays's Mu'allaqa. However, in at least one of its numerous allusions to weeping,¹³³ the Dīwān provides us with a poetic image that endows tears with a significant psychological dimension:134

What runs down from the eye is not its water,

but a soul which melts and drips.

ولكنّه___ نفسٌ ت____ذوب وتقطرُ وليس الذي يجرى من العين ماؤها Wine, another means of loss of consciousness, is scarcely mentioned in the Dīwān. The motif of trance-like drunkenness would hardly be appropriate to the pious 'Udrī context. Thus, Mağnūn's allusions to wine¹³⁵ might be explained in terms of the common Sufi motif of wine as a

symbol of spiritual intoxication.

To these expressions of the subconscious power one can add the phenomenon of total perplexity at the sight of the beloved. This perplexity is a favorite motif of the Sūfīs. In Mağnūn's words: 136

If she ever comes up to me suddenly

I am stupefied, and know not right from wrong.

هو إلاَّ أن أراهـــا فجـــاءةً فــأبهت لا عرفٌ لـــديٌّ ولا نکُ ها A more literal reading of the second hemistich is "I become perplexed, and unaware of good and bad conventions." But a less literal interpretation cannot fail to see in this line a mystic allusion to ecstatic vision.

On a more extreme level than this stupefaction and perplexity, we encounter a pathologically frequent phenomenon of loss of consciousness, through fainting. The frequency of this phenomenon led Tāhā HUSAYN to approach the Magnun figure somewhat sarcastically:

تداوسا

^{132.} Agānī 76.

^{133.} Weeping is considered the best proof of love, Dīwān 17, line 17.

^{134.} Dīwān 14. See for the same meaning, loc. cit. line 8.

^{135.} Ibid. 19, lines 12 and 13; 29, line 12.

^{136.} Ibid. 42.

It was not enough that you would talk to him about Laylā, for him to faint and fall on his face... He spent all his life either falling on his face, or wandering at large. He never, or almost never, knew a calm, reasonable life; all his life was full of anxiety, divided between fainting and madness.¹³⁷

This is a correct description of Mağnūn's condition, which HUSAYN finds unrealistic because Mağnūn's love "always has strange manifestations, unfamiliar, and untrue to human nature, even to the nature of mad lovers."¹³⁸

Yet, should a legend be realistic? Or is it rather the task of the critic to attempt an interpretation of a literary work that fascinated people's imagination? Is it sufficient to dismiss the love story of Mağnūn, because when compared with the love stories of Ğamīl and Kutayyir, it appears to HUSAYN to be "the most insane and exaggerated among them, and the least meaningful."¹³⁹ Thus, by insisting on the necessity of realism, HUSAYN denies the legend the benefit of the doubt, thereby missing the symbolism it may contain.

Fainting is too frequent with Mağnūn not to symbolize more than a sickly and recurrent failure of the nervous system. Difficult to miss in the legend are the connections between fainting spells and the sight or mention of Laylā, and between fainting spells and the poetry uttered immediately before or after these spells.¹⁴⁰ Indeed, we have more than one account, according to which, Mağnūn's insanity was the result of his having said or heard some lines of poetry.¹⁴¹

Mağnūn's loss of self-consciousness and the intimate connection of this loss with inspiration (especially in the form of improvisation) strongly recall the state both of Ṣūfīs and, more importantly, of the Prophet himself, under the weight of receiving revelation.

One of the stories told of the famous Sufi, as-Šiblī, describes him as

140. See, for example, $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ 2, in which Mağnūn faints at hearing Laylā's verses, then improvises some verses the moment he regains consciousness; on p. 16, we see him faint after he recites his own poetry. See also other instances on p. 26, Appendix, and Agānī 16.

141. Cf. Agānī 10, 32, and 44. Mağnūn's subconscious is manifested sometimes in the form of the hidden voice (al-hātif) which seems to have been functional in leading him to his final madness: "He heard some one call 'O Laylā' in a dark night, or else he imagined it." (فسمع صائحًا يصبح يا ليلى في ليلةٍ ظلّهاء، أو توهم ذلك)

^{137.} T. HUSAYN: op. cit. 506.

^{138.} Loc. cit.

^{139.} Loc. cit.

having the same reaction to hearing love poetry as Mağnūn, especially poetry alluding to ecstatic vision: aš-Šiblī entered an insane asylum; there, a madman recited some verses in front of him, "whereupon aš-Šiblī screamed and fell unconscious."¹⁴²

Madness, then, in its more symbolic and deep psychological meaning, is comparable to love and poetry, in envisioning the beloved. It is another necessary means of communication with the beloved. More often than not the cause or result of this communication is poetry. Sometimes, however, the vision is ineffable. It is expressed by frantic shouts when violent, or by silence and weeping when calm and more continuous. Fainting probably symbolizes the state in which the lover is totally smitten by the presence or the vision of the beloved. This motif is popular with the Sūfīs, who pass from perplexity (dahša) to fainting (igšā) on the way to the vision of, and unity with, the Beloved. This motif is very common in Masāri' al-'uššāq, describing both Sūfī and non-Sūfī lovers. The highest degree of this experience is expressed by the term sar' (i.e. epileptic fit, or death) and sa'aq (i.e., shout, yell; death). as-Sar', according to Ibn 'Arabī is "annihilation of self at the moment of divine epiphany."143 "Abu l-Hasan al-Aswārī said, 'Sufism is my forgetting myself, and my waking to my Lord.' "144 Is it then not possible to interpret Mağnūn's fainting as a kind of waking to his inner world, where he can be united with the source of his love and poetry?

Of course the mystical interpretation of these manifestations of madness, relating them to the presence of a possessing spirit, is only one way of seeing the legend. Except for a few lines perhaps, one can interpret the whole $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ from nothing but a 'Udrī point of view. But then one could do the same for much of the poetry of the Şūfīs themselves.

III. THE UNITY AND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE ARCHETYPE

In the previous sections, we dealt with poetry, love, and madness separately. Although analysis and abstraction are preconditions for any intellectual understanding, we must not forget that we are dealing here with the product of a mind verging on the mythical. In such a product, the boundaries between the notions of poetry, love, and madness are fluid. Although the archetypal figure of Magnun places poetry, love, and

^{142.} Mașāri' I, 172.

^{143. (}الفناءُ عند التجلّي الربانيّ) Ibn 'Arabī: Kitāb Işțilāḥ aş-şūfiyya. Tehran 1969, 7.

^{144.} Quoted in 'Ayn al-Qudāt al-Hamadānī's Apologia. Trans. and introd. by A. J. ARBERRY under the title of A Sufi Martyr. London 1969, 57.

madness in a triadic unity, thus making them inseparable, these dimensions of the triad remain distinct: they are different modes of achieving the same ideal.

In the analysis of these three modes, we have seen that they often merge, and that we can never separate them totally and still retain the distinctiveness of the Mağnūn character. In other words, the moment we eliminate any of these facets, Mağnūn ceases to exist as a distinguishable character, just as a triangle would cease to be a triangle if it were to lose one of its sides.

Although the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ exhibits every aspect of a collective composition, thereby displaying the characteristics of an anthology, this anthology is carefully specialized. While Gamīl and Kutayyir — probably the major historical figures behind Mağnūn's legend and poetry — have other concerns than that of love, Mağnūn's whole being is centered around Laylā. Thus, in spite of its arabesque style, the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ very rarely alludes to anything which is not related to this obsessive quest. Having integrated in her person the powers of *šaytān*, Aphrodite, and jinni, Laylā gives her Mağnūn no chance to separate these three supernatural agents. thus, none of the facets of his character is explainable except in connection with the other two.

This point may become more obvious by quoting the following, particularly interesting lines:¹⁴⁵

They speak of a madman crazed with her memory.

By God, I have no madness, nor am I bewitched.

- If I (try to) compose poetry not in her remembrance,
- I swear by your fathers, my verse will not obey.

سحر	ولا	جنون	من	ما بي	ووالله	، لــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	لذكره	 er	بحنون	ولون،	يق
				وأبيكم							

By attempting to deny his madness, Mağnūn indirectly admits it. He insists that if there is any reason for calling him mad, it should not be on account of any other agent that Laylā. He thus establishes an intrinsic link between these two facets of his character and his obsession with Laylā. Therefore, the apparent divergence between the different dimensions of Mağnūn should not conceal the essential unity, integrating poet, lover, and madman in one character.

From the literary point of view, what concerns us most is the unity of the creative imagination in these modes of relating to reality. In this,

145. Dīwān 28.

Mağnūn seems to be an embodiment of the archetype described by Shakespeare's verse:

The lunatic, the lover, and the poet Are of imagination all compact.¹⁴⁶

To conclude this chapter, let us once again emphasize the fact that, in spite of the rigidity of its classical prosody, Arabic literature was able to develop a figure of a love-mad poet, who became the symbol of rebellion against social and rational norms. In Mağnūn, Persians as well as Arabs, Şūfīs as well as 'Udrīs, litterateurs as well as popular story-tellers expressed their thirst for the absolute. This thirst could not be quenched solely by means of a revealed, final book, but by personal, immediate, ecstatic vision. In spite of the restrictions set by Islamic orthodoxy, the pre-Islamic connection between the poet and the supernatural was revived. By reopening the door of personal vision, and by channelling the literary and spiritual legacy of different Islamic peoples into the creation of rituals appropriate for, and conducive to, this personal vision, Şūfism played a decisive role in the elaboration of the Mağnūn character.

Yet one should not forget two essential points: (1) The popularity of the Sūfīs between the eighth and twelfth centuries remained more at the level of ideas, personal experience, and spiritual disciplineship than at the level of organized method and ritual.¹⁴⁷ (2) The arabesque composition of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, and the darkness covering the identity and ideas of its authors(s) do not permit us to assert the Sūfī character of the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ in any categorical way.

These two observations, however, do not apply to $\tilde{G}ami's Layli u$ Mağnūn. How $\tilde{G}ami$ integrates his $\tilde{S}ufi$ ideology and rituals of ecstatic vision within his interpretation of the Mağnun theme will be the subject of the coming chapter.

^{146.} Midsummer-Night's Dream V, 1.

^{147.} See VON GRUNEBAUM: Medieval Islam 125.

CHAPTER FOUR ĞĀMĪ

The previous chapters have emphasized the cultural elements which seem to have led to the rise and growth of the Magnun legend. My discussion of the Dīwān has centered on the analysis of Mağnūn as an archetypal figure. However, my remarks in relation to the symbolism of the legend were meant to follow only one of many possible ways of interpreting the text. My main concern was to delineate the archetype. The meaning given to this archetype in the different Arabic versions was found to involve a basic ambiguity deriving from the collective composition of the legend. In . the development that took place between a possible original version and a later pseudo-Wālibī, it seemed that an intimate understanding of Sūfī notions was at work, and clear traces of these notions were pointed out in the Dīwān. Yet, archetypal significance cannot be detached from the narrative of the legend; ¹ and, in the $D\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, the narrative lacks the organic unity which could have given it a discernible symbolic pattern. However, if it lacks the individual stamp of one author, the narrative gains the scope of representing the universal and the typical. Therefore, it was found advisable to center the preceding chapter on elucidating the general significance of the archetype, without reaching any clear-cut conclusion concerning its level of symbolism in this particular case.

The present chapter will discuss two main points: (1) the new complexity in meaning and form developed in the treatment of the legend before $G\bar{a}m\bar{n}$, and (2) $G\bar{a}m\bar{n}$'s *Laylī u Mağnūn* both in its representation of the Şūfī quest, and in its creative use of convention.



^{1.} Cf. Northrop FRYE's distinction between myth and archetype: "The myth is the central informing power that gives archetypal significance to ritual and archetypal narrative to the oracle. Hence the myth is the archetype, though it might be convenient to say myth when referring to narrative and archetype when speaking of significance." N. FRYE: Fables of Identity. New York 1963, 15.

I. THE NEW COMPLEXITY IN MEANING AND FORM

Before discussing $G\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s romance, let us take a glance at the major features in the development of the legend since Wālibī. Two principal dimensions of the legend were expanded: (1) it grew into an epic romance, and (2) it was definitely adopted by the Sūfīs. Since M. G. HILĀL has amply discussed the development in these two dimensions,² it may be advisable in the present context to turn our attention to a problem essential to both the Arabic and the Persian versions of the legend: the problem of ambiguity in the meaning assigned to the legend in its different parts or in its totality. With the Persian authors, we realize that this problem has more than one cause, and that such a problem is not easy to settle even when we know that a literary work has only one author and when we can identify this author. Thus, we shall here consider, with regard to the Mağnūn theme, (A) the ambiguity of meaning in relation to Sūfī symbolism and (B) the epic romance and the use of convention before Gamī.

A. Ambiguity and Sufi Symbolism

The ambiguity facing the critic seems to emerge from a basic dilemma which goes beyond identity of author and unity of work. It emerges from the very nature of the subject matter. The basic similarity between the erotic and the mystical experiences, and between romantic and religious poetry makes it well-nigh impossible to draw a line between the sacred and the profane in love poetry. Both by conception and by experience, love and mysticism have been closely associated. We have seen that historically, at least as early as Plato, love was considered a divine madness, and Platonic love was a religious quest for contemplation of the ultimate Beauty. Arabs and Persians were acquainted with the Platonic ideas concerning love. Some of these ideas are mentioned by Ibn Dāwūd, who was a Zāhirite and who violently opposed the claim of some Sūfīs to be in love with God. Ibn Dāwūd, the first to condemn al-Hallāg³ for his declarations of such a love, collects in his book, az-Zahra, the most typical expressions of 'Udrī love. Although aware of Plato's definition of love as divine madness,⁴ Ibn Dāwūd refuses to see in this madness any channel for a direct relationship with the divine.

98

^{2.} See HILAL: op. cit. 123-75, 203-57, and 282-301.

^{3. &}quot;Ibn Dâwoûd (d. 297/909), qui avait rendu la première fatwā d'excommunication contre al-Ḥallāj." (MASSIGNON: Al-Hallaj, martyr mystique de l'Islam. Paris 1922, 353).

^{4.} az-Zahra 15.

Bien loin de voir dans l'amour, l'élan de l'âme vers Dieu, le désir supérieur de l'esprit, Ibn Dâwoûd en isola, avec un sens plus exact du ritualisme discipliné de l'Islam, tout le culte dû à Dieu. L'amour, bien loin de nous unir à Lui, n'est qu'une fatalité aveugle, d'ordre physique commune à tous les hommes, — le rôle de l'élite est de la subir sans y céder.⁵

However, the extremism of Ibn Dāwūd does not prevent the Şūfīs from taking an opposite extreme, even when their position may lead to their martyrdom. Similarly, the Şūfī approach to poetry was based on the same principle which inspired them in understanding the Koran: the $ta'w\bar{vl}$.

aš-Šiblī, God have mercy on him, recited in his assembly one day: 'Two eyes to which God said: Be, and they came into being. They affect the heart like wine.' Then he said: 'I do not mean the wide eyes, but I mean the eyes of the hearts (عيون القلوب).'⁶

In the same manner, the Ṣūfī can use and interpret in his own way the very lines anthologized by Ibn Dāwūd and deemed only profane. Thus, aš-Šiblī repeats the famous lines of Maǧnūn, as cited by Ibn Dāwūd:

I am distracted from understanding any talk,

except what is about you, for that is my concern.

I keep staring at those who talk to me so they may believe that I understand, but my mind is with you.⁷

as well as other lines in *az-Zahra*, not ascribed to Mağnūn by Ibn Dāwūd but later added to his poetry.⁸

Which one of these positions should the critic assume? Fortunately, the critic does not have to take sides. To understand this kind of poetry, one has definitely to be aware of the dilemma, but does not have to solve it. The effect of such a dilemma, and the plurisignation of imagery resulting therefrom, could only add to the richness and flexibility of the poetic world created by both the poet and his public. Thanks to this plurisignation, the same poetry can appeal to the popular imagination as well as to the spiritual refinement of aš-Šiblī.

5. MASSIGNON: op. cit. 176.

8. See for instance *az-Zahra* 183, line 4, cited by aš-Šiblī in Abū 'Abdarraḥmān b. Mūsā as-Sulamī: *Kitāb Ţabaqāt aṣ-sūfiyya*. Ed. J. PEDERSEN, Leiden 1960, 344, line 5. See also *Dīwān* 1, line 14. Instances of lines common to 'Udrīs and Ṣūfīs or exchanged between them are abundant. See concerning Ibn Masrūq, *Ţabaqāt* 237, last two lines, and *Dīwān* 72, lines 18-19; concerning Abu l-Ḥusayn, *Ţabaqāt* 301, line 8 and *Agānī* 31, line 5.

^{6.} Abū Naşr as-Sarrāğ: Kitāb al-Luma' fi t-taşawwuf. Ed. R. A. NICHOLSON, Leyden 1914, 252.

^{7.} See supra 85. Compare az-Zahra 24 and Kitāb al-Luma' 252; also Agānī 34, and Dīwān 14.

What seems to aggravate the problem is the long interconnection between the experiences of mystical and human love. This problem is part of the general anthropomorphism which is difficult to eradicate from any imaginative, personal relationship with the deity. Consequently, not only our expression of this relationship, but also the experience itself seems to be an extension, if not a projection, of experiences which occur at the human level. In man's groping to express the feeling of self-exaltation that results from the mystical experience, the erotic metaphor seems to come closest to a satisfactory rendering of this feeling. Modern research tends to emphasize the essential resemblance between the two experiences of profane and of mystical love:

L'étude de ces régions limites où ressort plus clairement le facteur d'un auto-dépassement coactif, c'est-à-dire non expressement voulu, amène aussi à remarquer les points communs qui existent entre les extases mystiques et les extases érotiques.⁹

Once they reach the level of ecstasy, profane and mystic love tend to have the same effect. When these ecstasies become particularly intense, "l'une peut être la conséquence de l'autre ou l'une et l'autre peuvent naître en même temps."¹⁰

Moreover, when, in the context of 'U $dr\bar{i}$ poetry, the physical side of desire is suppressed, love metaphors become equally applicable to a divine or to a human beloved. It is worth noting here that not only were the 'U $dr\bar{i}$ poets influenced by the new religious piety introduced by Islam, but also, and more significantly, they transposed the religious conception of man's attitude toward God to the level of man's attitude toward his human beloved. Thus, in the strict religious sense, it would be unlikely that religious people should deem pious such lines of Mağnūn as:¹¹

I see myself, when praying, direct my face to her even when the house of worship is at my back.

1 11	ĩ u		• 1		نحوهـــــا	3	س <i>و</i>	1.1	: : :
وراتيا	المصلى	210	وال	بوجهى،	بحوهـــــا	يممت	صليت	121	ارابي

The transposition of religious notions to the realm of love is summed up in the following verses:¹²

11. Agānī 56.

^{9.} J. EVOLA: Métaphysique du sexe. Paris 1968, 126.

^{10.} Ibid. 127, quoted from H. VON KRAFFT-EBING: Psychopathia Sexualis, trans., Paris, 12.

^{12.} Al-Muwaššā 71.

Those who died in the faith of love have found death a honeyed spring

Qays, 'Amr, and al-Muraqqiš before them

were the interpretation of the Koran (or Revelation) of Love

معسولا	منهلاً	ā	ا المنيَّــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	وجــــدو	الهوى	على ديـن	مـــاتـوا	إنّ الألى
				كـــانوا				

Inasmuch as these circumstances made it easy for the Sūfīs to use erotic language, they make it difficult for us to recognize the symbolic level of a poem. Hāfiz is probably the most famous case in point.¹³ But whereas we may doubt the Sūfī intention of Hāfiz in much of his poetry,¹⁴ it would be difficult to doubt Ibn 'Arabī's intentions, especially since he warns the reader against any possible suspicion.¹⁵ Thus, Ibn 'Arabī recites:

When she kills with her glances, her speech restores to life as tho' she, in giving life, thereby, were Jesus,

The smooth surface of her legs is (like) the Tora in brightness, and I follow it and tread in its footsteps as tho' I were Moses.¹⁶

And we may remember, in this context, that Ibn 'Arabī was among those who furthered the adoption of Mağnūn by the Sūfīs.¹⁷

Ibn 'Arabī was only one of many Ṣūfīs who conceived of Mağnūn as an ideal lover, and who considered his madness a symbol of beatific vision.

13. Cf. R. PARET: Symbolik des Islam. Stuttgart 1958, 43-44.

15. See Ibn 'Arabī: Tarğumān al-ašwāq 12.

16. Ibid. 49. Of particular interest for the elucidation of the problem under discussion is the following interpretation which accompanies the above lines: "She kills with her glances": referring to the station of passing away in contemplation (الفناء في المشاهدة). "Her speech restores to life": referring to the completion of the moulding of man when the spirit was breathed into him. She is compared to Jesus in reference to Kor. xxxviii, 72: "And I breathed into him of My spirit," or Kor. xvi, 42: "That We say to it 'Be', and it is." "Her legs": referring to Bilqīs and the glass pavement (Kor. xxvii, 44). "Is like the Tora in brightness," because the Tora (التوراة) is derived from the phrase , ورى الزند produced fire." The four faces (الوجه) of Tora, namely the four books (the Koran, the Psalms, the Pentateuch, and the Gospel), correspond to the fourfold light mentioned in Kor. xxiv, 35 (الشركة والمصاح والزجراجة والزير), ibid. 51.

17. Ibn 'Arabī's contribution to Mağnūn's Sūfī image was not only through poetic references to him (see *Tarğumān* 67), but also through bestowing credibility on the anecdote about Mağnūn's shunning of Laylā because he was totally absorbed by her presence in his imagination. CORBIN: *Creative Imagination* 336.

^{14.} Cf. ibid. and H. R. ROEMER: Probleme der Hafizforschung und der Stand ihrer Lösung. Wiesbaden 1951.

Already aš-Šiblī (d. 945), himself accused of madness and shut up in an insane asylum,¹⁸ gives Mağnūn as a Şūfī example:

Whenever Mağnūn of the Banū 'Āmir was asked about Laylā, he would say, 'I am Laylā.' Thus, by means of Laylā, he would absent himself from Laylā, until he remains present to his vision of Laylā, and absent to every sense except Laylā, and (thereby) sees everything present through Laylā.¹⁹

It is worth noting that the terminology used here by aš-Šiblī (e.g. gayba, $baq\bar{a}'$, $suh\bar{u}d$, etc.) refers to Şūfī states $(ahw\bar{a}l)$.²⁰

Mağnūn's total surrender to his Possessor's image seems to have earned him canonization by the leading Sūfīs. Thus, his madness is explained by al-Ğunayd (d. 910): "Mağnūn of the Banū 'Āmir was one of God's saints, and God concealed his saintliness with his madness.²¹ His passionate love is symbolically interpreted by al-Gazālī (d. 1111): "Mağnūn of the Banū 'Āmir was seen in a dream. He was asked: 'What has God done to you?' He answered: 'He forgave me and made me the proof of the Lovers'."²²

In short, by the time of Rūzbihān Baqlī Šīrāzī (d. 1209), a contemporary of Nizāmī "l'idée de *tajallī* atteignant à sa limite dans l'amour de Majnûn, nous permet de pressentir la voie par laquelle l'amour théophanique fait éclater la limite sur laquelle échouait l'amour 'odh-rite."²³

In his Introduction to 'Abhar al-' \bar{a} siq $\bar{i}n$, CORBIN sums up the two major \bar{S} ufī attitudes to human and divine love: (1) some \bar{S} ufīs, the pious (*zuhhād*) remained aloof to human love; (2) others saw in human love the entrance to the Way. In view of the importance of this latter attitude for the understanding of $\bar{G}am\bar{i}$'s Laylī u Mağnūn, we may benefit here from CORBIN's summary of Rūzbihān's description. In relation to the second group of \bar{S} ufīs, CORBIN observes:

مجنون بني عامر كان اذا سُئل عن ليلى فكان يقول أنا ليلى، فكان يغيب بليلى عن ليلى حتى يبقى) .19 بشهد ليلى ويغيب عن كل ما سوى ليلى، ويشهد الأشياء كلّها بليلى (بمشهد الأشياء كلّها بليلى (بم

22. Loc. cit.

102

23. H. CORBIN: "Introduction" to Rūzbihān Baqlī Šīrāzī: 'Abhar al-'āšiqīn 15.

^{18.} See Abū Nașr as-Sarrāğ: Kitāb al-Luma' 50.

^{20.} Cf. G.-C. ANAWATI and L. GARDET: *Mystique musulmane*. Paris 1968, 128. Also, concerning the Sūfī meaning of Mağnūn's obsession with Laylā's image, cf. *Kitāb al-Luma*' 360.

^{21.} Bast sāmi' al-musāmir 106.

Leur progression sur la voie conduisant de l'amour humain à l'amour célestial ne consiste pas en un transfert de l'amour d'un object à un autre (Dieu n'est pas un *objet*), mais en une métamorphose du *sujet* de l'amour: à sa limite, l'amour extatique de Majnûn fait de lui, non pas simplement le modèle à imiter, à transposer par le soufi; Majnûn est lui-même alors le *miroir* de Dieu (â'yina-ye Haqq), ou mieux dit l'oeil par lequel Dieu se contemple soi-même; l'amour de Majnûn est devenu l'amour au sens vrai.²⁴

B. The Epic Romance and the Use of Convention

With the Persian poets, the Mağnūn legend was not only vastly enriched on the symbolic level, but it was also expanded and raised to the level of an epic romance. The new epic and symbolic dimensions were largely interconnected, if not by a causal relationship, at least to the extent of their mutual interaction.

It was Nizāmī's achievement to transform the structure of the legend from an arabesque of anecdotes and poetic fragments into an organically conceived, poetic romance. The effect of the new form was twofold. First it invested the legend with temporal extension, thus allowing its events to go beyond the mosaic, almost atemporal, juxtaposition of anecdotes. This new temporal sequence helped create a more believable protagonist, possessing a life that has a discernible curve, no matter how insane or unconventional this curve may seem.

Secondly, the sequential development and the unity of narrative, largely due to the unity of the author's personality, produced some harmony in the imagery, while raising the whole narrative structure to a higher level of complexity.

Obviously, in exploiting the potential of the new symbolism and the scope of the epic romance, Nizāmī was not inventing these two dimensions. By his time, the epic form had already been established by Firdawsī (d. ca. 1020), and most of the imagery had already been stereotyped.²⁵ As in Arabic literature, oral tradition played an important role in furthering conventionalism in Persian poetry. Poets, no less than reciters and story-tellers, were accustomed to perform in public, and their poetry, especially that of the epic and panegyric genres, relied much on conventional imagery.

Such poetry can only be composed if the poet has at his command a ready-made stock of traditional and familiar phrases, half-lines and

^{24.} Ibid. 13-14.

^{25.} Cf. A. BAUSANI: "Letteratura Neopersiana". In: A. PAGLIARO and A. BAUSANI: Storia della Letteratura Persiana. Milano 1960, 251-52 et passim.

lines, which he can throw in when he is at a loss for the precise words he needs... So it is that standard qualifications, similes, and metaphors become associated in the poet's mind with certain types of persons and situations.²⁶

Although the above quotation refers in particular to the $\tilde{Sa}hn\bar{a}ma$, it is also true of the epic romance and of the *gazal*. Thus, Nizāmī's poetic talent, though amply displayed in his creative use of metaphor, did not escape being entangled with an already traditional "excess of overelaborate imagery."²⁷ This overelaboration had more than one reason. In the first place, Persian poetry had inherited most if not all of the poetic motifs and clichés elaborated in Arabic poetry by both Arabs and Persians.²⁸ Moreover, Persian poetry multiplied the old imagery, while exhibiting a strong tendency towards metaphor instead of the common similes of Arabic poetry. RITTER points out a possible role played by the linguistic nature of each of these two traditions of poetic diction:

Jene durch ein bedeutsames ka'anna "es ist als ob" eingeleiteten Vergleiche haben bei dem Perser kein Gegenstück; dafür beherrscht die Metapher die ganze Dichtersprache in einem Maße, wie wir es aus keiner europäischen noch vorderasiatischen Literatur kennen.²⁹

This strong Persian tendency seems to be particularly appropriate to the mythical mind, which is at the basis of great poetry. By transmuting objects into each other, metaphor establishes a certain unity between them, a unity that goes beyond the mere resemblance revealed by other kinds of tropes. This transmutation coincides perfectly with the mythological atmosphere in poetry, in which may be said "eine Sehnsucht nach jenem frühen Zustand der Unmittelbarkeit, des ungeschiedenen Einsseins mit der Natur noch als lebendig gefühlt zum Ausdruck komme."³⁰

The same nostalgia for reunion with Nature — whether seen as epiphanies of the immanent Godhead, or as signs $(\bar{a}y\bar{a}t)$ of His power and beauty — is an essential feature of Şūfī poetry. This does not mean that Sūfīs, even the pantheists among them, do not go deeper than the level of natural appearances; it only means that, to the Şūfīs, these appearances

29. Über die Bildersprache Nizāmīs 4.

30. Ibid. 5.

^{26.} R. LEVY: An Introduction to Persian Literature. New York 1969, 78.

^{27.} Ibid. 82.

^{28.} Detailed illustrations of this subject are found in U. M. DAUDPOTA'S *The Influence of Arabic Poetry on the Development of Persian Poetry*. Bombay 1934; see also H. RITTER'S comment in his *Über die Bildersprache Nizāmīs*. Berlin 1927, 2; and BAUSANI'S discussion of the rise of Persian poetry, op. cit. 239-43.

come alive and begin to function as symbols of a higher, eternal reality. Furthermore, in the concept of Nature, one must not forget to include human nature as well. For ultimately, all external natural signs acquire their value from their capacity to direct man towards discovering God within his own soul. In this, not only natural objects, but especially other human beings, are necessary reminders of the Divine Beauty which resides within man. They are necessary only as sign-posts on the traveller's Way towards unity with his eternal Self.

Thus, both for poetic and for Sūfī purposes, the metaphoric capacity of the Persian language was fully exploited in order to add more complexity to the conventional imagery. This complexity was doubled by the symbolic ambiguity which we have already described.

However, if Persian poetry distinguishes itself from Arabic poetry by its power to combine the epic breadth and the symbolic level, Persian poetic creativity was soon subjected to a limited number of themes, and to a repetition of worn-out imagery. This repetition went far in weakening the tensive value of the metaphors; it reduced them to "tied" images, "a tied image being one so employed that its meaning and associational value is the same or nearly the same for all readers."³¹ Consequently, most of these conventional metaphors lost their power to achieve semantic transference. They became clear equations, readily understood and liable to be codified. Ecstasy is symbolized by wine, the lips by sugar or ruby, the face by the moon, and so on. "Sūfī poetry gladly adopted this custom and created a whole nomenclature of symbols which were metamorphosed into the transcendental."³² But the use of this nomenclature was obviously not confined to the Sūfīs.

Thus it is always difficult and in most cases impossible — unless we are dealing with known and avowed mystics — to determine when the conception of love or of wine indicates the actual thing and when on the contrary the poet has in mind a metaphor, an allegory, whether in a factual or transcendental sense.³³

With Niẓāmī, we have one of these borderline cases. Most critics rightly tend to consider him the best Persian poet in the genre of the romantic epic.³⁴ Comparing him to his predecessors, ARBERRY remarks

^{31.} A Handbook to Literature 232.

^{32.} J. RYPKA: History of Iranian Literature. Ed. K. Jahn. Dordrecht 1968, 85-86. 33. Ibid. 85.

^{34.} Cf. BAUSANI: op. cit. 640: «La letteratura persiana troverà.. il suo più grande narratore, e forse, non è esagerato dirlo, il suo più grande poeta, in Nezâmî di Gangè.»

that "in Nizāmī we encounter a genius of universal significance, the first in Persian literature worthy to take place beside Firdausi."³⁵

However, in spite of some mystical sense that can always be discerned (or imagined) in his poetry, we may well say with ARBERRY that Nizāmī "turned away from religious poetry when his *Mahzan al-asrār* failed to achieve its author's purpose."³⁶

Keeping the above remarks in mind, I should like to devote the rest of this chapter to $\check{G}am\bar{i}$'s Laylī u Mağnūn, which may illustrate the transmutation of the legend into an allegory of mystic love. Although $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ emulates Nizāmī and Amīr Husraw, one must not forget that he is generally regarded as the last of the great classical Persian poets.³⁷ As such one may apply to him RYPKA's following generalization:

The constant re-use of themes, customary in the Orient, must not grow into a mere soulless copying, to which in the hands of a master it has in fact never descended. Here too there exists, on the part of the poet, an honest wrestling with his work within the given limits.³⁸

This wrestling produces imagery which, as a rule, is not constructed by creating new poetic objects, but by restructuring the relationships between conventionally "tied" images.³⁹ Thus, even when both theme and imagery are not original, a real poet can always contribute a new perspective which gives new life to old symbols. "For even the most banal cliché or the most plainly factual report is formulated from a certain standpoint, and represents a certain trend of associations and expectations."⁴⁰

What new perspective does $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ contribute to the Maǧnūn legend? And how does his interpretation of the Maǧnūn archetype recontextualize narrative details, traditional imagery, and doctrinal motifs? These will be the main questions underlying our analysis of his *Laylī u Maǧnūn*.

II. ĞĀMĪ's Laylī u Mağnūn

Perhaps the most general result that the study of $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s version may yield is an idea about the pattern of development in symbolic conscious-

106

^{35.} A.J. ARBERRY: Classical Persian Literature. London 1958, 122.

^{36.} Ibid. 129.

^{37.} Ibid. 425.

^{38.} J. RYPKA: op. cit. 89.

^{39.} Cf. BAUSANI: op. cit. 251, and 252: "la magia del poeta sta nel far giocare abilmente insieme gli oggetti mantenendone le forme (luna-volto, ricciolo-bastone de polo)."

^{40.} Ph. WHEELRIGHT: Metaphor and Reality. Bloomington 1968, 16.

ness. Since Ğāmī uses virtually the same material found in the Arabic versions, not inventing new motifs, but harmonizing and orchestrating the old ones, the examination of his poem may illuminate the symbolic potential of these versions. Also, occasional comparisons with the versions of Nizāmī and Amīr Husraw may shed light on some basic differences among the Persian interpretations of the legend.

Ğāmī's writings provide us with evidence concerning the Ṣūfī intention behind his poem. Besides his other poetic works and his theoretical and historical writings, Ğāmī offers us a helpful guide to his own conception of poetic creativity as illustrated in his critical books. Chief among these is his *Lavāmi'*, a commentary on *al-Qaşīda al-hamriyya* of Ibn al-Fārid. Ğāmī's interpretation of this poem gives us many important clues as to the symbolism in his own poetry. The author's *Lavā'ih*, *Aši'at al-lama'āt* and *Šarh-i rubā'iyyāt*, as well as his *Naqd an-nusūs*, *Nafahāt al-uns* and Lārī's *Takmila* provide us with guidelines for understanding the doctrinal background of Ğāmī's poetry.

Obviously, such guidelines cannot be regarded as a table of equivalence. Every poem, no matter how conventional it may seem, expresses a particular angle of vision, and deserves a particular approach. Accordingly, the following pages will center on what I think to be the major features of uniqueness in $\check{G}am\bar{i}$'s Laylī u Maǧnūn: (A) $\check{G}am\bar{i}$'s conception of the Maǧnūn archetype and his technique in using it as a channel for his own quest in life and art; (B) some examples of the creative use of convention within the framework of $\check{G}am\bar{i}$'s poem.

A. The Archetypal Character and the Quest of Mağnūn

The archetypal pattern that Mağnūn represents is easily descernible in Ğāmī's poem. Here the triadic character of Mağnūn is clearly drawn; and while each of the sides of this "magische Dreieck" can be separately analyzed, the fundamental unity of the character is not difficult to demonstrate.

Perhaps the main factor which produces this unity is the fact that Mağnūn has become a persona symbolizing the author's own quest in life and art. This symbol is prominent not only in the magnawī under discussion, but also in the voluminous $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ -i $k\bar{a}mil$ -i $\check{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$.⁴¹ A look at the Index of the $D\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$ -i $k\bar{a}mil$ ⁴² will show that, aside from $\check{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s tahallus,

^{41.} References are made to the edition of H. RAŻĪ, Tehran 1962.

^{42.} See ibid. 876-81.

ĞĀMĪ

the frequency of Magnun's name is matched only by that of Layli. This attachment to Magnun is not a mere cliché. For in addition to the fact that Laylī had become a symbol of Divine Beauty, Mağnūn's character represents a dilemma in which Gamī himself seems to have been involved, viz., the dilemma between the obligation to keep the secret of love and the irresistible drive to divulge this secret at the highest moments of intimacy, i.e., under the maddening pressure of ecstasy. In this respect, we notice a striking similarity between Mağnūn's problem and that which led Hallāğ to his martyrdom.⁴³ Not only is Ğāmī highly aware of this problem, but he also adds to it a dimension which renders it more complex and more interesting from both the human and the poetic points of view: Gāmī seems to be poignantly conscious of the ultimate futility of human endeavors, including poetry. This might not be surprising when it comes from a Sufi or an ascetic, but it is quite a revelation when it comes from someone for whom poetry was almost a raison d'être, as witness Lari's words that Gami "was constantly occupied with, and meditating upon poetry and poetics."44

And yet, despite Ğāmī's huge poetic production, we often hear him repeat a note of self-admonishment:

Ğāmī, leave polishing of phrases, cease

writing and chanting falbes, hold thy peace;

Dream not that "Truth" can be revealed by words;

from this fond dream, O dreamer, find release!45

نسد	ً تــاچ	سازى	وفسانــــه	افسونگری	چند	ترازی تا	سخن	تن زن	جامى
چند	رى تا	خيال با	دل این .	ای ساده	خيال	هست	بسخن	حقائق	اظهار

One would be inclined to consider this admonishment a convention⁴⁶ if it were not fundamentally connected with the whole question of knowledge and expression, reality and appearance, and ultimately with the paradox of poetic creativity, when poetry is the product of a spiritual

^{43.} We have already discussed this problem as it is manifested in the Arabic $D\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ of Mağnūn. See supra 74 et passim.

^{44. (}دائم الاوقات شغل شعر وشاعرى ميداشتند وانديشه بر آن مى گماشتند) 'Abdalgafūr Lārī: *Takmila-i havāšī-i nafahāt al-uns:* šarḥ-i ḥāl-i Mavlānā Ğāmī. (Harāt) 1343/1964, henceforth referred to as *Takmila*.

^{45.} Gāmī: Lavā'ih.Ed. and trans. by E.H.WHINFIELD and Mīrzā M. KAZVĪNĪ, London 1928, 55. Further quotations from Lavā'ih are taken from this translation. The corresponding Persian text is quoted from the facsimile in the same edition.

^{46.} WHINFIELD mentions the fact that "the *Masnavī* finishes in the same strain." (*Ibid.* 55, n. 2).

experience that ends in silence. This is only a ramification of the central $S\overline{u}f\overline{i}$ paradox of the *fanā*'. For if we accept the $S\overline{u}f\overline{i}$ logic which conceives of the goal of perfect love as self-annihilation in the Beloved, then it will follow that the end of perfect gnosis is loss of reason, and the end of perfect expression is silence.

Consequently, if pursued to its logical conclusion, the doctrine of the $fan\bar{a}$ ' should lead to a real annihilation of anything that draws close to the divine Beloved. Short of insanity, total silence, or death, the $fan\bar{a}$ ' remains a figure of speech, and a good matter for poetry.

Theoretically, the Neoplatonic strain in Sūfism can suggest a solution for the dilemma. Since the world of appearance is an emanation from the Real, and the world of plurality is an extension of the One, natural objects can be conceived as mirrors reflecting the Divine, man being the purest mirror in which God contemplates Himself. It is in this sense that a martyr like Hallāğ could say "anā l-Haqq", and a madman like Mağnūn could say "anā Laylā" and be regarded by the Sūfīs as "ā'īna-i Haqq"⁴⁷ Also, it is chiefly in this sense that we may interpret the quest of Mağnūn in Ğāmī's poem. As the persona of the poet, he represents the struggle of the Sūfī to purify his soul through love and suffering, and to be spiritually reborn as a clear image of Divine Beauty.

- 1. O Gāmī! Behold that every atom of the creation is in the eyes of the clear-sighted
- 2. A cup broken by the blows of (pre-) eternity. All around it a name is written.
- What cup is that cup? The cup of the Eternal Whose name is that name? — The name of the sāqī.
- 4. From the cup, seek peace in wine; and through the name, behold Him Who is named.
- 5. In the One Who is named, lose the sign; in His being, be lost to the world.
- 6. So that you may be free from your own being, and from the darkness of your selfishness.
- 7. You reach a realm above which there is no realm; it is attained only through loss of consciousness.
- 8. Of the world of no sign, we have given you a sign. The rest is up to you.

___امی بنگر کز آفرینش هر ذره بچشم اه____ل بینش از زخم ازل شکسته جامی ست گردا گردش نوشت نامی ست

47. Cf. supra n. 24 and 102-3.

ĞĀMĪ

وان نــام چــه نــام نــام ساقى	آن جام چه جام جام باقی
وز نــــام نگر بصاحب نــــام	از جــــام ببـــاده گیر آرام
در هستی وی شو از جهان گم	در صاحب نـــام کـن نشان گم
وز ظلمت خود پرستی خویش	تــا بـاز رهـی ز هستـی خویش
جز بیخبری ازان خبر نیست	جـایـی برسی کزان گــذر نیست
گفتیم نشان دگر تو دانی ⁴⁸	بـــا تو ز جهـــان بي نشانی

Although the English translation of these lines falls short of rendering the rhetorical and imaginative subtleties present in the original, one can still obtain an idea about the allegorical meaning of Gami's poem. In the abovequoted lines, we may note several allusions to the poet's conception of life and of poetic creativity, as well as some of his basic symbols. Most intriguing among these lines is the first $misr\bar{a}$ of the second line). The main ambiguity lies here in the word (از زخم ازل شکسته جامی ست) zahm, which can mean, among other things, both blow and wound. The first of these two meanings renders an image of a world of broken pieces, or atoms, each of which is compared to a cup which contains part of the Eternal Wine. From this Wine, Gāmī wants to drink, so as to find peace and freedom from world and self, and be annihilated in the Being of the One to Whom the name belongs. This is the quest of Magnun. If "Lavli" is the name of the cup, and the cup is a fragment of the Whole, then through this fragment, Magnun will have a taste of the Wine which is hidden in appearances and which enchants the mind into an ecstatic unity with its divine source. For concrete beings are fragments, separate from the totality of Being, only as they appear to the limited human vision. In essence, they are facets of the indivisible Unity of Being:

All are attributes of Deity which have descended from the zenith of the Universal and the Absolute to the nadir of the particular and relative. (They have descended) to the end that thou mayest direct thy course from the part towards the Whole, and from the relative deduce the Absolute, and not imagine the part to be distinct from the Whole, nor be so engrossed with what is merely relative as to cut thyself off from the Absolute.⁴⁹

This clear Neoplatonic⁵⁰ conception of existence brings us to the second meaning of the word *zahm*, *viz.*, wound. This meaning conveys the

^{48.} Ğāmī: Laylī u Mağnūn. In: Haft Aurang. Ed. and introd. by M. MUDARRIS GĪLĀNĪ, Tehran 1337/1958, 897. All references to Ğāmī's Laylī u Mağnūn are to this edition.

^{49.} Lavā'ih 7.

^{50.} Cf. WHINFIELD's "Preface" and "Appendices" to Lavā'ih, I-XVIII, and 47-61.

image of fissure between concrete things, through which runs the same lifeblood. But the image can lead to a double-entendre, since blood is commonly regarded as an archetypal symbol of death.⁵¹ Thus the emanation of existence from the One, generally compared to sun-rays emanating from the sun, is rendered here by means of an organic image that contains its inner contradiction. As a symbol of both life and death, blood unites opposites and infuses vitality into the more static image of broken cups. The combination of both symbols, life as a basic wound and the fragmented condition of the particular and relative, creates an image of rare complexity. Yet it is not an optimistic image. It depicts creation as a somewhat violent explosion and gushing forth, not as the traditionally more serene fountain of light emanating from the Sun. And here lies the pessimism expressed through this image, which is not only central to this passage, but also reflects the mood of the whole allegory.

Moreover, this passage contains the moral of the story. Coming right after Mağnūn's death, the passage sums up Ğamī's conception of life and of both the Ṣūfī and the poetic quests. This is borne out by the fact that the central image which we have tried to elucidate is rendered more complex by including a play on the word $\check{g}am\bar{i}$ (a cup) which obviously alludes to the poet himself. Thus, we have the possibility of interpreting the *misrā'* as referring to Ğāmī himself, broken and wounded by the very act of eternal creation. In this context, we may understand the desire of the mystic poet to free himself from his own separate existence (line 6) and mend the break, or heal the wound of his separation by losing his individual self in the Unity of the Absolute.

Acquiring its meaning from the first two lines, the rest of the passage points the way towards redemption. Although the existence of particularized things is imperfect and resembles the existence of broken cups, the way back to reunion with the absolute Whole should begin through drinking from the cup of the relative $s\bar{a}q\bar{i}$ (sc. the human Laylī). Although the One is ineffable (line 7), and ultimate knowledge of the One results in silence, the poet can approximate an expression of the One through symbols.

Thus, we have again the three dimensions of the Mağnūn archetype as we have encountered them in Wālibī. Here, however, love, madness, and poetry are interiorized, and projected into a persona whose journey towards death and spiritual rebirth is allegorized by external events. Yet, all

^{51.} Cf. WHEELRIGHT: op. cit. 114.

ĞĀMĪ

112

through, we find the same insistence on love, madness, and poetry as channels of communication with the Beloved.⁵²

Although her own beauty is finite, 53 Laylī reflects Divine Beauty. She is the cup — a sort of Holy Grail — which contains the water of life, the wine which liberates Mağnūn from being conscious of his limited self and makes him one with the Whole.

Relatively little happens in Ğāmī's poem, and what happens is not very eventful. As with Nizāmī and Amīr Husraw, the structure is based on episodes, many of which are repetitive. Intended as a spiritual quest, the movement of the narrative is outwardly cyclical, although, from the standpoint of Mağnūn's spiritual progress, it could be regarded as spiral. Hardly any new elements are added to a plot already well known before Ğāmī. As we shall see, Ğāmī does not boast of being inventive in his plot, and yet he claims individual inspiration. An insight into this inspiration might be gained from a close look at the first episodes of the poem.

The first seven episodes are a general introduction, following the common Islamic tradition. As a rule, they move from the general to the particular. The first, in praise of God, has a telling *matla*:

O (God)! The dust of your land is the crown of the proud; mad in you is the reason of the wise.

ای خــاك تو تــاج سربلنــدان محنون تو عقـــل هــوشمنــدان ⁵⁴(750:1)

What follows is a *munāğāt*, based on an ample use of traditional Ṣūfī symbols (e.g., 750:13-15), and ending with a touching imploration for help in the poet's old age (752:1-6) and for inspiration in his poem (752:7-8).

The second episode continues in the same vein, verging on the didactic, and emphasizing the necessity of transcending the world of plurality to the intuition of Oneness. The same episode prepares the way for the following one dedicated to the praise of Hwāğa 'Ubaydallāh Aḥrār (d. 1498), the $p\bar{r}$ of the Naqšbandiyya order to which Ğāmī belonged.⁵⁵ Ğāmī's position

^{52.} See, for instance, Haft Aurang 769, lines 17-19; 805, line 23; and 806, lines 1-2.

^{53.} Cf. The judgement of Mağnūn's father, as he tries to persuade Mağnūn to seek another girl: *ibid*.785, lines 11-15.

^{54.} The number 750 stands for the page, and the number 1 for the line. Unless other sources are indicated, all references are to the afore-mentioned edition of *Haft Aurang*.

^{55.} $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ eventually, and somewhat against his own will, was recognized as the $p\bar{n}r$ of this $\bar{f}ar\bar{i}qa$. See 'A.A. HIKMAT: $\check{G}am\bar{i}$. Tehran 1320/1941, 66-76, and H. Razī in his "Introduction" to $D\bar{i}wan-i kamil$ 96.

as the virtual poet and theoretician of this order might explain much of the didacticism which marrs the poetic flow of the $masnav\bar{v}$ under discussion as well as that of his poetry in general.

The fourth episode, though dealing with a traditional theme, the $Mi'r\bar{a}\check{g}$, has a particular relevance to the present allegory, because we can interpret it as a symbol of the Sūfī parallelism between Macrocosm and microcosm. Muhammad's $Mi'r\bar{a}\check{g}$ is described as a physical ascendance through the Heavens (755:15; 757:3) until he reaches the Throne, where he is liberated from time and space, and where he returns to the One as a drop of water returns to the sea (757:4-10). This passage is important because it emphasizes *al-haqīqa l-muhammadiyya* (757:11) and orients the reader towards understanding the Light of Muhammad (757:18) as an inner experience.

The fifth episode describes Sufi love. The *matla* establishes the doctrine of love as the source of creation:

When the pre-eternal morning breathed (or spoke) out of love, love inflamed the Pen with the fire of desire.

زد	قلم	در	شوق	آتش	عشق	زد	دم	زعشق	ازل	صبح	چون
			•							(75	57:20)

This line presents a traditional motif that repeats an image of creation through the divine imperative "Be!", an image used in the first episode (750:10-16), where the poet combines descriptions of the Creative Verb in both its oral and its written forms. However, while maintaining the linguistic metaphor for divine creativity, the poet introduces a new element, namely, the causal relation between love and creativity, a relation that may easily be applied to the poetic realm.

The same episode reiterates $\check{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s conception of love: without love one is not human (759:7); yet above the common love for women, one should attach one's heart to a $p\bar{i}r$, whose beauty is the mirror of souls, and whose words are the key to the highest mysteries (758:18):

Of the desert of life this is the flower.

Of the sea of metaphor this is the bridge.

صحرای وجود را گل ست این دریـای محاز را پـل ست این (758:20)

Both images in this line are keynotes for the rest of the poem: in the desert of life, the spiritual beauty, and the gnostic wisdom of the $p\bar{r}$ are our guide

to the Creator. As an interpreter of Divine Truth, he is the bridge over which the wayfarer crosses the sea of metaphor.

In the following episode, the poet explains his reason for choosing the Mağnūn theme: in all the fields of eloquence, the most inspired, and the most welcome is the song of love. After alluding to his two predecessors, Niẓāmī and Amīr Ḫusraw, and praising their achievement, Ğāmī claims that he will be happy to follow them and have his face covered by the dust stirred up by their fast steeds. Then, in a sudden transition, the poet exclaims:

Nay! Nay! I am drowned in the Qulzum sea. Why make my ablution with dust!

114

In order to wipe out the dust from his face, the poet will rely on the fountain of his own inspiration (760:8) and sing his mystic melody (760:18).

This mystic melody does not immediately begin with the story of Laylī and Mağnūn. $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ lingers further to convey, in the following episode, what he specifically means by love. He strikes a note of grief over his Şūfī friends and masters — $p\bar{i}r\bar{a}n$ - $ims\bar{a}lik$ - $itar\bar{i}qat$ (761:9) — who have passed away. In order to escape his sorrow (761:16-17), he asks the $s\bar{a}q\bar{i}$ to pour him some of the wine allowed to "men of heart" (760:22). Then, after he announces his aim to write a poem rhyming with Sūfī customs (761:24, he repeats his request to drink

From that wine which, like a candle, illuminates the soul and burns the moth of reason.

ز آنمی کـه چـو شمع جـانفروزد پروانــــــهٔ عقــــــل را بسوزد (763:8)

As already mentioned, Ğāmī's narrative does not deviate from the episodic structure adopted by Nizāmī and followed by Amīr Husraw: Moreover, in laying less emphasis on the dramatic than on the inner, spiritual side of the story, Ğāmī is led into long descriptions of Mağnūn's emotional and psychological states, with little more than a single, virtually insignificant incident in each episode. While this treatment of the legend gives him much scope for minute lyrical and descriptive details, it does not allow his plot to go beyond a conceptualized allegorical structure. Accordingly, not only the imagery is to be taken symbolically, but also the

events of the plot must be seen as stages on Mağnūn's "course from the part towards the Whole."⁵⁶

In general, this course tends to exhibit a spiral curve which begins with a finite ego and expands until it dissolves into the infinite totality of Being. As a rule, the more Mağnūn advances on his way, the more he grows out of his egoism as well as of his attachment to finite things. By the same process, his love and madness are gradually intensified to the point where he becomes capable of reconciling opposites and beholding this world "under the aspect of Eternity."

We must not forget, however, that we are dealing here with an inner experience which takes place within the microcosmic self. In its spiral curve, the self goes through a cycle of death and rebirth reflected on the various planes of Mağnūn's attitude towards the world. The planes that seem to be most emphasized in the poem can be divided into four categories bearing on the development of Mağnūn's attitude to Laylī, to society, to nature, and to religious rituals, whether traditional or Ṣūfī.

Insofar as Mağnūn's love for Laylī derives from a kind of possession, it obviously motivates all his behavior. As a symbol of Divine Beauty, Laylī represents the axis around which Mağnūn's life revolves. Accordingly, our analysis will center on the phases of this love, without losing sight of its ramification on the social, natural, and ritual planes.

In contrast to the previous versions of the legend, Qays does not meet Laylī in their childhood. He is first put on the scene as the type of the happy-go-lucky, youthful poet, with love in his blood, and songs on his lips (766:5-7). His heart has such power over his reason that people think he was insane (766:9). He feels attracted to a certain *Karīma*, but soon discovers her unloyalty; so he sets out again looking for beautiful girls (766-768).

By not making Qays fall in love as a child, Ğāmī seems to imply that this love was not occasioned by mere circumstances, or as a result of immaturity. The first love experience of Qays, resulting in disillusionment, substantiates this notion. Thus, the author prepares the way for presenting the first encounter between Qays and Laylī as a shock of recognition. After by-passing many a beautiful woman, Mağnūn reacts to Laylī's appearance as though she were a vision which steals away his heart (769:16), his patience, and his mind (769:19).

Soon the two lovers are assailed by troubles. The first of these is a combination of social norms and natural law. Both society and time

^{56.} Cf. the quotation from Lavā'ih 7, supra 110.

ĞĀMĪ

conspire against them and make them dread the approach of night. They pray for the sun to remain victorious until the Day of Judgment (770:9-10); but as night falls, social norms oblige them to separate.

Henceforth, $G\bar{a}m\bar{n}$ exploits the motif of day and night in their traditional symbolism of good and evil, as well as in their symbolism of Time. The archetypal motif of night serves as a dark curtain which falls at the end of many scenes and suggests the rhythms of physical nature. While it symbolizes death — the death of the sun, which in turn stands for inner light — the night motif is used as a narrative technique that brings several episodes to their conclusion and prepares the reader to the raising of the curtain with the rebirth of the sun. Owing to the central role of the day and night motif, and to the many levels of symbolism associated with it, we shall have the occasion to study it in some detail in the coming section.

Gami dedicates a special episode for the first night of separation, thus giving full scope to what we may call the cosmological obstacle which faces the soul in its wordly prison (770-772). Night is a black dragon (771:12) tightening its jaws on good and bad things alike (771:13). Yet, through the night burns the fire of love (772:5) with fear and hope; and the lovers wonder,

In the heart, the anxiety of what the night may beget. When the day comes, what face will it show!

در دل غم آنکه شب چه زاید چون روز شود چــه رو نمایــد (772:8)

With the rebirth of day, Qays hurries towards Laylī, his water of life (773:7), only to meet with a new order of obstacles, set for him this time by his own friends and companions. Laylī opens to Qays the secrets of her heart, confessing her love and suffering (773:17-774:4). This confession leads Qays into a trance which symbolizes his first mystic experience. But this trance is soon interrupted by his friends, who gather around him and deprive him of his vision (774:5-12).

The next day, however, Qays succeeds in meeting Laylī in private. But while the social obstacle is momentarily removed, our protagonist is faced with another obstacle that takes place in his own psyche. Here we have the third sphere of struggle which endangers the course of the journey. This struggle is led against the temptations of the animal soul, symbolized by the she-camel (775-778). The moment Qays loosens his grip on the reins, his camel tries to carry him where she desires.

116

After overcoming these obstacles, Qays is presented with a final test. This time, it is the beloved who shuns him, in order to measure the depth of his love (780-781). Qays passes the test and concludes with Laylī the pact of love. This pact — clearly reminiscent of the Ṣūfī notion of the answer of mankind to God's eternal question, *"a-lastu bi-Rabbikum?"*⁵⁷ — sets the story on a definite course, in which external events become incidental and can result only in leading the story to its logical conclusion. This conclusion is logical insofar as it is based on Ṣūfī premises. Thus, after the pact of love, Qays loses his mind, becomes known as Maǧnūn, and is proud of his new name (782:18-22). What follows is a series of trying events that are meant to symbolize the purification of the soul through suffering. No parental or social pressure is able to stop Maǧnūn from becoming more entrenched in love. However, this pressure deprives him from Laylī who is forced to marry another man.

In relating these events, Ğāmī relies heavily on the Arabic versions of the legend, while making sure to intersperse the narrative with Sūfī comments. Such comments, however, tend to weaken an already transparent allegory. Nonetheless, some of these events are employed in an interesting way, making it possible for Ğāmī to lead Mağnūn's journey towards more communion with the divine. This is done through emphasizing Mağnūn's increasing intimacy with nature, evolving into his transcendence of human society, and ultimately of Laylī herself. Moreover, the author adds to his predecessors' versions conscious allusions to Sūfī manners and rituals in describing Mağnūn's way towards becoming one with the Whole.

Early in the story we are given a hint about Mağnūn's natural friendship with the wild animals of the desert. On the way to his first pilgrimage (795-797), these animals are his only companions; "he is like a king, and they like his army" (796:3). But the description of the journey towards Mecca is meant to convey a sense of the difficulties encountered through the desert of life, and the presence of the animals — among which we have ants and snakes — is still associated with the pain of walking with bleeding feet over hard stones and thorns (796:1-5).

This association with the animals becomes more recurrent after Mağnūn loses hope of marrying Laylī. Thus after her father rejects him (812-815), Mağnūn leaves society and lives in the desert in total harmony with the animal world (815:25-816:5). This harmony is increased with every step that leads him away from society. Paradoxically, it seems to represent a

^{57. &}quot;Am I not your Lord?", Koran VII, 171.

return to a primitive bliss after each ascendance to a higher stage of purity achieved through suffering. Thus, after Laylī's marriage, Mağnūn becomes "liberated from good and evil thoughts" (854:20) and puts his whole trust in the purity of his animal friends (855:2).

This purity that accompanied Mağnūn on his traditional pilgrimage serves again as an external symbol of Mağnūn's last stages of development. In these stages, Mağnūn's religious practices tend to be identical with those of the Şūfī's, and Ğāmī succeeds in integrating some old motifs with some new elements to serve his purpose. This success can be contrasted with Ğāmī's earlier allusions to Ṣūfī ritual. As an example, we may mention the episode in which Mağnūn finds out that Laylī was married:

Mağnūn, upon hearing this melody rose up and began his Şūfī dance.

محنون ز سماع این ترانیه برخاست برقص صوفیانه (853:8)

In this line, using the word *tarāna* to denote the bad news, $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ slips easily into associating it with *raqs* (dance), which forces upon him another association with the word *samā*^{\cdot} (hearing; also, in Ṣūfī terminology: listening to ritual music). Although these associations could be interpreted as indicative of Mağnūn's trance,⁵⁸ the reference to the Ṣūfī ritual remains abrupt, and hence fails to be convincing.

In contrast, $\check{G}am\bar{n}$ makes a better use of $\check{S}uf\bar{l}$ customs in the final episodes of his poem. After having relied on the motif of Mağnun's intimacy with the animal world, the author introduces a new $\check{S}uf\bar{l}$ element in the plot. In order to draw Laylī, Mağnun is led to wear a sheep-skin, and to join her flock (879-883). The symbolism here is not difficult to detect: coming towards the end of Mağnun's journey, it clearly alludes to the $\check{S}uf\bar{l}$ *Tarīqa* as the most efficacious way of fulfilling his quest. Similarly, the archetypal figure of the shepherd recalls $\check{G}am\bar{l}$'s praise of $\check{H}wa\check{g}a$ 'Ubaydallāh Aḥrār in the opening episodes of his poem. In his narrative device, $\check{G}am\bar{n}$ was helped by the etymology of the word *taṣawwuf*, which was believed to derive from the Arabic word *şuf* (wool), and among whose connotations we find *şafā*' (purity).⁵⁹

118

^{58.} This interpretation might be inferred from the rest of the episode, cf. 853-54.

^{59.} Another possible derivation is mentioned by CORBIN, viz., the Greek word sophos (sage), cf. Creative Imagination 30, n. 5. Although we cannot ascertain whether Ğāmī was aware of this meaning, we can be sure that it falls within his conception of taşawwuf, esp. in the sense of Hikmatu I-Išrāq, cf. WHINFIELD's "Preface" to Lavā'ih 8.

Through the Sufi way, Layli's shepherd, compared to Moses (880:10-11), leads Mağnun to attain a vision of the Beloved. At this stage, Layli has become a clear symbol of God, and the journey is totally internal. In this context, the reconciliation between day and night becomes reality. This reconciliation had already begun after Layli was forbidden to meet Mağnūn (801:5). Here, however, the meeting takes place at night (881:7 and 883:12), and Gamī makes it plain that this union was an ecstatic vision, in which Layli's appearance dazzles Magnun and makes him lose his consciousness (882:12 and 18-23). That this experience represents an ecstatic vision is clear from the fact that, when Mağnūn regains consciousness, he addresses Laylī as the "Light of the Illustrious Lamp" (883:2, وى نور چراغ ارجمندى),60 and refers to his being earth, whereas she is an eternal, Supreme Throne (883:3, اى عرش برين تو وزمين من). Again our author yields to the temptation of explaining his allegory and makes Magnun state explicitly that Layli is only a vision produced by mystic drunkenness (883:6-7).

Here it is daylight which comes to deprive Mağnūn of his inner vision, and the episode ends with the recurrent complaint against the Wheel of Time, or Fortune (883:23). Yet the protagonist is led to a still higher state by the same shepherd, who is this time compared to Jesus. Mağnūn implores the shepherd to bring him back to life as he did before:

Your breath kindly caressed me

and, like (the breath of) Jesus, gave me a new life.

ساخت	ام	زنده	مسيح	چو	نو	وز	بنواخت	بلطف	تو	انفاس
	<u> </u>		•							(884:17)

In order to satisfy Mağnūn's desire, the author uses an old motif in a new context: Mağnūn is led to Laylī's tent as a beggar.⁶¹ Laylī's apparition puts Mağnūn in the same bewilderment as before; but the real trance occurs when, instead of filling his cup, she breaks it (885:19). This act brings Mağnūn to the highest point of both his suffering and his mystic ecstasy.⁶² His appearance as a beggar represents his achievement of *faqr* (spiritual poverty), which is the epitome of mystic virtues.⁶³ He is no more in need of

^{60.} Cf. the Koranic reference to God: "Allah is the Light of the heavens and the earth. The similitude of His light is as a niche wherein is a lamp, etc.", *Koran* XXIV, 35.

^{61.} This motif is already to be found in Walibi, see Diwan 49.

^{62.} For the motif of Mağnūn's delight in being rejected and mistreated by Laylī, see H. RITTER: *Das Meer der Seele*. Leiden 1955, 390-91.

^{63.} Cf. T. BURCKHARDT: Introduction aux doctrines ésotériques de l'Islam. Paris 1969, 120.

cups, since he is in a state which allows him to drink directly from the water of life. He has reached a stage where his shimmering $ahw\bar{a}l$ have become $maq\bar{a}m\bar{a}t.^{64}$ At present, he does not have to seek anyone outside himself. In his $maq\bar{a}m$ (887:25), he is visited by a vision of Laylī, the last vision in which he recognizes her (886-887). Thereafter, he remains rooted in his $maq\bar{a}m$ like a tree, with the birds of madness nesting in his head (888:8-11). When Laylī comes back to him, Mağnūn has no single atom of reason left in him (888:21). He meets her with the question: "Who are you?" (888:25), then he sends her away (889:3); for the drop of water has drowned in the Ocean of Love (889:10), and Mağnūn has lost all sense of duality (889:11) between lover and Beloved.

Yet, in his "station" of total love and madness, and right before his death, Mağnūn is given time to recite his poetry! (890-892) This gives us final evidence of Ğāmī's conception of Mağnūn as an archetypal character whose unity with the Absolute is achieved through the threefold channel of love, madness, and poetry.

B. Gāmī's Creative Use of Convention

After the episode relating Mağnūn's death (892-896), Gamī adds six episodes, two of which describe Laylī's sorrow, illness, and death (899-905). However, these episodes are anti-climactic: they come as an unnecessary dénouement of a story in which climax and dénouement are one. In its spiral ascent, Mağnūn's progress could have its real end only at the highest point of expansion, in which the hero dissolves into the Whole. This point is symbolized by Mağnūn's physical *fanā* which is the starting point of his total *baqā* in union with the divine. After this point, what happens to Laylī is of little importance: her existence had become superfluous the moment Mağnūn's cup was broken by his divine Beloved.

However, Ğāmī insists again on giving us a general clue to his allegory. Thus, the short episode that follows Mağnūn's death (896-897) is meant to explain that Mağnūn was able to transcend the world of appearance and become one with the Real. Ğāmī concludes this episode with the passage analyzed above.⁶⁵ As already mentioned, this passage contains not only the mood of the author, but also the moral of the story, on which our analysis of the poem may have shed some light.

^{64.} For the distinction between the two terms, see ibid. 119-120.

^{65.} See supra beginning of Chap. Four, II A.

Is that passage really central? And are we justified in our interpretation? Was the poet aware of what is implied in his imagery, or was it the result of a happy rhetorical coincidence? These are some of the questions that we have to ask before analyzing another passage of $Layl\bar{i}u$ $Magn\bar{u}n$, which may give another illustration of $\check{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s creative use of convention.

Of course, there is always the danger of reading too much into a poem. But this danger seems to me less grave than remaining blind to the richness of poetic ambiguity. On the one hand, Ğāmī does not claim that the poet himself has to be aware of all the ambiguities involved in what he expresses in his unconscious, ecstatic visions (897:8). Indeed, he makes it clear (897:9) that it is up to us to understand. On the other hand, our poet does not refrain from claiming originality in his imagery. His insistence on his "hayāli hāss"⁶⁶ makes it incumbent upon us to attempt an appreciation of his poetic contribution, instead of adopting the somewhat common, but facile attitude of accusing him and his contemporaries of playing sheer rhetorical games. From recent critical works on Ğāmī's period, "we can clearly see the principal characteristics of Ğāmī's harmonic, consistent and, for his time, undoubtedly progressive literary-historical and critical conception."⁶⁷

It is true that, on the poetic level, harmony and consistency are not sufficient. They can be present in a literary work without imparting to it any poetic tension. And that is precisely what is generally felt to be lacking in $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s poetry. One possible explanation is that one can hardly be passionate about *fanā'*, even when it is believed to lead to the *baqā'*. In $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s case, BAUSANI observes that

Il desiderio della nulla, la fuga dalla bestemmia dell'Essere verso la sola posività, che è il Non Essere, sembra essere l'unica vera «passione» del pur freddo Giâmî: siamo, come più volte dicemmo, in pieno periodo mistico della letteratura persiana...⁶⁸

Gamī's metaphysical outlook, combined with a desire for originality resulted in making him one of the major precursors of the "Indian style" (*sabk-i hindī*) in Persian poetry. In an analysis of one of Gamī's poems, BAUSANI has pointed out three main aspects of this style, *viz.*, the

^{66. &}quot;The meaning of this, in V. A. KAPRANOV'S opinion, 'fundamental term', is interpreted as the 'specific (for every respective poet) imagery." (A.N. BOLDYREV: *Literary-Critical Opinions of Jāmī and his Contemporaries*. In: Yādnāme-ye Rypka. The Hague-Paris 1967, 65).

^{67.} Loc. cit.

^{68.} BAUSANI: op. cit. 474-75.

concretization of abstract objects, the overaccurate study of the imagery, and an effort to enrich this imagery by the introduction of common objects into poetic diction.⁶⁹

What I should like to do here is to show that the general "coldness" and over-intellectualization of $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s style do not prevent him from achieving, at times, the necessary ambiguity which keeps a poem alive.

As already mentioned, Mağnūn's quest faces three main planes of obstacles. These are similar to concentrated circles which move from the cosmological to the social, then to the instinctive realm. Thus, Mağnūn's movement towards freedom takes the opposite direction and grows, in a spiral curve, towards final reconciliation. Accordingly, the first reconciliation occurs on the instinctive plane. This is symbolized by the fraternity between gazelle and wolf (855:8-9). On a higher plane, Mağnūn achieves social and emotional reconciliation, symbolized by his crying over the death of his rival, Laylī's husband (873-875). Finally, as we have already seen, Mağnūn transcends the Wheel of day and night.

Yet, before leading the protagonist to his final rebirth, Gāmī accentuates the phases of Maǧnūn's self-purification by relying on the archetypal opposition between light and darkness, and making the break of a new day stand for the reawakening of Maǧnūn's spiritual and physical worlds. This motif is consistently used in the poem. Whenever it occurs, it is associated with some form of positive relationship with Laylī.⁷⁰ An example of the way Gāmī concentrates his imagery around this motif could be seen from the following passage:

- 1. As the morning, Jesus-like, began to breathe
- and brought out its standard from a yellow reed,
- 2. The breeze of its breath, sprinkling musk over green trees and dropping blossoms,
- 3. Its golden banner, scattering gold and pearls (born out of) a shell of azure,
- 4. Qays was delivered from the breath of the Night Dragon and held his breath from sighs and groans.
- 5. He called out to his travelling camel and went forth on the way of ecstasy.
- 6. He rode, singing the hymn of Love until he arrived at the camp of the Beloved.
- 7. Since he had no way to the shadow of (Laylī's) tent, he reined in from a distance.

69. Ibid. 470-75.

122

70. Cf., for instance, the opening passages of the episodes beginning on pages 772, 795, 825, 828, 857.

- 8. Having seen no sign of the tent-dweller, he began reciting his story to the tent itself:
- 9. 'O Qibla of light and bridal-chamber of houris, in your shadow the sun is veiled.
- 10. Laylī is like the light of my eyes. You are the veil over my clear sight.'

وز زرد قصب علم بـر أورد او, د صبحدم بر اخضر شجر وشكوفيه ريه دم او بمشك ٦٢ نیـلی صـدف وگهـر فشانـ علمش فشان بزر دم 01 فروس ونفير وز شب رہ _اى قسدم نورد دم وانــدر ره بيخودى رە گاه جانان تـا ىد شوق سابة خيمه چون نه ره داشت نگھ خود زمام دور نشانـ داست itan كاى افت قىلە نور وحجلة ليلي است مرا چو چشم روشن روشن يردة تو (772:11-20)

When isolated, few of the metaphors in this passage are really original. Yet, together, they become polyreflective and serve to depict this particular phase of Mağnūn's development as an integral part of his whole quest.

In achieving this, \check{Gam} makes his imagery function on two planes. In the first place, he relies on the flexibility of Persian grammatical structure, which provides his meanings with horizontal ambivalence. In the second place, he draws on the philological and etymological denotations of words, thus investing the meaning with a vertical ambivalence. This second type of ambivalence gains a great deal from the historical and doctrinal connotations of words.⁷¹

The passage under discussion exhibits both types of ambivalence. In the first *misrā*['] of the *mațla*['], $\tilde{G}am\bar{i}$ plays on the word *subhdam* (early morning, dawn) which introduces a keynote, soon to become a leitmotif: the word *dam*. Several denotations of this word, included in S.HAïM's *Farhang-i* $\tilde{G}ami$ ['], are relevant to the present passage: (1) breath; (2) bellows; (3) instant, moment; (4) a smell, a scent, a perfume or sweet

^{71.} Our best external evidence for this kind of plurisignation in Ğāmī's poetry is to be found in his own commentary on *al-Qaşīda l-hamriyya* of Ibn al-Fārid. Cf. Ğāmī: Lavāmi'-i *Ğāmī*: šarh-i qaşīda-i hamriyya-i Ibn-i Fāriz. Ed. H. AL-ĀGā, Tehran n.d., passim.

odor; (7) mouth, opening; (9) a draught or drink; (11) incantation (esp. by the effect of one's breath), conjuration; (13) life; (14) a poetical meter. Some of its connotations are: *dam-i subh* (dawn, morning-tide, early in the morning) and *dam-i* \overline{Isa} (the miraculous breath of Jesus).

In order to describe the reawakening of Mağnūn's life, within and without, $\check{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$ orchestrates a triumphant march of the vital forces of nature and sets it in harmony with Mağnūn's quest. *Dam-i şubh* associated with *dam-i* $(\bar{I}s\bar{a})$ — as it were by incantation or conjuration — breathe life into the world of nature and triumph over the Night Dragon.

This triumph of life is personified through the presence of 'Isā, a presence that ramifies into various connotations, especially in opposition to the Night Dragon. $A \check{z} dih \bar{a}$ (a standard; the constellation Dragon) is used in the meaning of $a\check{z} darh \bar{a}$ (dragon) and hence constitutes a complex metaphor symbolizing the forces of death: the standard of the black dragon of night, and its evil breath are set in opposition to the standard of the dawn, bringing life with the breath of Jesus.

The multiple symbolism of this struggle recalls two archetypal heroes. The first is Saint George — here associated with Jesus — who triumphs over the dragon and rescues Qays. The second is Jonas — here associated with Qays — who comes back to life from the belly of the whale.⁷² The fourth line presents the climax of the struggle, in which Qays is delivered from death. The verb *rastan* (to grow, to come forth, to spring; to be delivered or liberated; to get rid of; to escape) conveys the sense of springing out of the dragon's belly. This sense relates the present passage to the previous one, in which $G\bar{a}m\bar{n}$ develops the image of night as a black dragon swallowing everything (771:12-13). On the other hand, *rastan*, connected with the growth of plantation, reiterates the image of the reawakening of *ahzar šağar* (line 2) and constitutes a common denominator between the image of vital growth and the function of *Hizr* (an Islamic combination of Saint George and the prophet Elias, both symbolizing eternal life) whose name connotes eternal greenness.

The new greenness of life liberates with it the whole world of colors and perfumes (lines 1 and 2) while replacing Qays's sighs and groans with a song of love. Qays is free now to ride towards Laylī, and to address his *munāğāt* to her tent, which is a veil obstructing his vision. The tent is another kind of shell which conceals the pearl of the sun (cf. line 3) —

^{72. &}quot;The passage of the magical threshold is a transit into a sphere of rebirth in the worldwide womb image of the belly of the whale..." (J. CAMPBELL: *Hero with a Thousand Faces*. New York 1956, 90).

hayma recalls *hayma-i kabūd*, a metaphor for the sky — which is another hiding place of the sun-gazelle symbolizing the Beloved.⁷³

As mentioned earlier, Qays succeeds in obtaining a vision of Laylī, but soon the privacy of the lovers is interrupted (773). However, since this episode is only a "station" on Mağnūn's Way, it does not prevent him from finally fulfilling his quest to dissolve in the Sun.

(So that) I become, free from intellect and distinction, in His rays like an insignificant atom.

گردم فـــــارغ زهـوش وتميز در پرتـو آن چـو ذرّه نــــاچيز (843:13)

Mağnūn reaches this "station" through physical death in his desert exile. Then, begins his $baq\bar{a}$, ba'd al-fan \bar{a} , ⁷⁴ And yet, is this the only end to which this archetypal vehicle could lead?

^{73.} The same metaphor recurs in the *matla*' of another passage: "When in the morning, from the gazelle-like sun, the earth put on golden ringlets" (825: 17),

⁽چون صبحــــدم از غزالــــــه خور پوشيــــد زمين غلالـــــه زر) 74. For comments on this notion, cf. RITTER: *Das Meer der Seele* 18, 147, 633, and 635.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

It may be appropriate to introduce these concluding remarks with a confession. The story of Mağnūn and Laylā, ever since it was conceived, has not ceased to enchant popular imagination, and inspire poets and narrators. It has received some critical attention, yet hardly commensurate with its fame or importance. However, what really intrigued me in the subject was the discovery that the Mağnūn theme was not necessarily confined to the Islamic context. ARAGON's epic romance, Le Fou d'Elsa¹ — perhaps one of the most beautiful works of modern French literature — is a remolding of this theme from the perspective of a modern concept of life and literature. The intriguing phenomenon was that the story of Magnun had been frequently used as a symbol for a mystic quest, and thus it had seemed hardly compatible with the ideals of a Marxist poet. This phenomenon incited me to take a fresh look at the story in order to discover the basic elements which make it so potent as to become the Song of Songs of Sūfī poetry, while keeping it open to an almost directly opposite Weltanschauung. I had, therefore, intended to examine some prominent Islamic versions of the story, then contrast them with Le Fou d'Elsa, thus hoping both to elucidate the permanent characteristics of the Magnun theme and thereby to gain a new perspective, from which to approach the different variations on that theme.

As my research developed, I found out that my initial project could not be realized within the scope of a dissertation, except at the price of remaining too theoretical and too sketchy. The archetypal approach, which I have deemed adequate for understanding the character of Mağnūn, has its strength as well as its weakness. On the one hand, it allows us to discover the perennial characteristics of a mythical or semi-mythical hero, and to transcend the limitations of the individual and

1. Louis ARAGON: Le Fou d'Elsa. Paris 1963.

the local. On the other hand, it tends to seek its criteria outside the literary field and to make us overlook the individual stamp that infuses life into a work of art.

In order to avoid this shortcoming of the archetypal approach, I have found it necessary to limit this study to the Arabic and Persian expressions of the theme, and to concentrate, first, on the rise and development of the theme, then on two representative versions, namely, those of Wālibī and $\check{G}am\bar{i}$. I believe, however, that my initial intention opened my eyes to the necessity of a wider perspective than those already adopted in approaching the subject, a perspective that may allow us to see the picture in a more universal framework.

Here I should like to sum up the results of this investigation, then to suggest further research on the subject by sketching a comparison between the quests of Mağnūn as conceived by $\check{G}am\bar{i}$ and Aragon. A stylistic comparison — unless elaborately detailed and documented will not be profitable. Moreover, my references to the Marxist and Surrealist world-views can be safely considered a matter of common knowledge, and hence need no documentation.²

Ι

The general question underlying the previous chapters concerns the existential situation confronting the "I" with the "Other". No matter what terms we use to describe this confrontation — finite and Infinite, part and Whole, relative and Absolute, microcosm and Macrocosm, man and God, — it would seem that the finite, relative "I" experiences a sense of separation that results in an existential anguish, and creates what is generally called "a thirst for the Absolute."

This thirst is not easily quenched. The Absolute is too infinite to be fathomed by the human mind, or to be possessed within the human body. Moreover, "Nature loves to hide", says Heraclitus. Yet, the burning thirst for the Absolute seems to make this world look like a desert — the appropriate landscape of Mağnūn's journey — and to set sensitive souls on an unremitting quest for the hidden Water of Life. Many a journey may lead to a mirage, but since no one can guess the result, this mirage does not fail to endow existence with a meaningful goal, and to enliven it with hope. At any rate, Mağnūn would declare on

^{2.} For an elaborate study of the Marxist foundations of Le Fou d'Elsa, cf.Ch. HAROCHE: L'idée de l'amour dans "Le Fou d'Elsa" et l'oeuvre d'Aragon. Paris 1966.

 $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s behalf, 'It is not very important to find the Water, it is the pleasure and pain of the quest that count.'³

For its visions of the Invisible, pre-Islamic Arabia found its guides in poets, seers, and madmen. The $\check{S}\bar{a}$ 'ir was a combination of the three. But his vision was only one of many: Arab society was made out of many tribes and worshiped many gods. However, with the rise of Islam, this multiplicity of visions was replaced by the Revelation, the many gods by the One, and the poets by the Prophet.

Thus the poet lost his prerogative of communication with the spiritworld. Organized religion monopolized this prerogative and held the poet's vision to be a pure figment of a misled imagination. Further chains on the imagination and on psychic freedom were added by the adoption of Greek rationalism. Inspiration as well as conduct had to comply with established rules. It would seem that these rules, added to a general human disillusionment with the material world, led to a reaction that found one of its best expressions in the Mağnūn figure.

In the previous chapters, it was argued that, although the rise of the Mağnūn story was due to a specific historical context and to a specific literary tradition, it was formulated in a structure, simple enough as to accommodate various levels of interpretation. The mosaic structure of the story made it a compilation of various anecdotes and poetic fragments revolving around the love-madness of a certain poet called Mağnūn. Even when one accepts KRAČKOVSKIJ's argument that a real Qays b. al-Mulawwah did exist, such an argument does not prove the identity between the historical Ibn al-Mulawwah and the figure of Mağnūn presented by the texts of Ibn Qutayba, Işfahānī and Wālibī. Indeed, we are dealing here with a legend that was the product, not only of the popular mind, but also of Şūfī motifs.

A major part of the present study was devoted to prove that the Mağnūn legend achieved its universal appeal because it expressed a collective need for rebellion against the rationalist claims of society. If reduced to his basic characteristics, Mağnūn remains a poet, a lover, and a madman. Each of these aspects of his personality constituted a common motif in Arabic poetry. However, Mağnūn's uniqueness rests on two foundations: first, the intrinsic unity between the three aspects of his character, a unity without which he loses his defining characteristic; secondly, the fact that he is the only love-poet who is described as actually

3. Cf. Haft Aurang 851:25, and 852:1.

insane, and who leaves society in order to live and die with the wild animals of the desert.

Thus, love, madness, and poetry, considered as archetypal channels for communion with the divine, were fused in one legendary character who symbolized different quests in different contexts. In all these contexts, however, Mağnūn represents the rejection of established intellectual, social, and psychological limitations and symbolizes the basic yearning of the "I" to be at one with the "Other". His project is to fulfill the eternal human desire to make the part identical with the Whole, a project that is conceivable only within the realms of love, madness, and poetry.

In the Arabic context, it was argued — contrary to what is generally believed — that the Mağnūn theme does not simply express a romantic nostalgia for life in nature, but it also exhibits clear Sūfī overtones. Before reaching $\check{G}am\bar{i}$, Mağnūn had become, in CORBIN's words, "le miroir de Dieu (*â'yîna-ye Haqq*) ou mieux dit l'oeil par lequel Dieu se contemple soi-même."⁴

However, it was Ğāmī's contribution to set Mağnūn on his allegorical quest for self-purification, a quest that leads him to self-annihilation in union with the Friend.

II

In the previous chapter, we ended our discussion of Gāmī's poem by asking whether the quest he assigns to the Mağnūn archetype was the only possible one.

In answer to this question, we may begin by quoting WHEELRIGHT's comment on Heraclitus's notion of our apprehension of the Real:

From the contextual and perspectival character of reality it follows that the nature of reality is intrinsically and ultimately hidden from any finite exploration. When Heraclitus declared that "Nature loves to hide" and that "The Lord whose oracle is at Delphi neither speaks nor conceals, but gives signs," he was indicating a fundamental and permanent characteristic of What Is, and not a temporary state which man's increasing knowledge would some day succeed in rectifying.⁵

Thus, neither $G\bar{a}m\bar{n}$ nor ARAGON — both poet-oracles and decipherers of oracular signs — is expected to give us a final code for the

^{4. &#}x27;Abhar al-'āšiqīn, 14.

^{5.} Metaphor and Reality, 172.

hidden reality of Nature. However, a comparison between their different treatments of the Mağnūn legend may shed light on a basic human approach to the apprehension of reality. Such a comparison may illuminate not only the general meaning of the archetype, but also the works of the two authors and their historical contexts. The terms of the comparison are innumerable. Here, I shall suggest only two angles of approach which may be useful for future research.

1) Perhaps the first task of the critic would be to compare the two different ways in which these two poets conceive of the "Other". This will involve an examination of the poets' ideologies. Such an extra-literary examination is not only warranted in this case, but also necessary. Each of these two poets starts out from a definite ideology which he tries to express through his work. Accordingly, the method of approach should cope with the poets' concepts of life and with the role they assign to the creative individual.

The basic difference between Ğāmī and ARAGON would seem to lie in their definition of the Real. Ğāmī's conception is largely Neoplatonic: the Real is ultimately immutable. Although God is immanent in nature, although He manifests Himself in an infinity of epiphanies and is especially mirrored by the beauty of the human soul, His essence transcends history. From this perspective, we can understand Ğāmī's conception of man's nature, a conception which strikingly recalls Pascal's "roseau pensant":

Man, in regard to his corporeal nature, stands at the lowest point of degradation; nevertheless, in regard to his spiritual nature, he is at the summit of nobility. He takes the impress of everything to which he directs his attention and assumes the colour of everything to which he approaches.⁶

Thus, in order to achieve union with the divine Beloved, Mağnūn's struggle is essentially internal; it is the purification of his soul from any concerns with the historical vicissitudes of the material world.

ARAGON, by contrast, identifies the Real with History, the essence of which resides in the laws of dialectical materialism. Man cannot find salvation outside History. If there is a paradise, the only place in which it can be attained is on this earth.

It is true that, as a Surrealist, ARAGON resembles $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$ in believing that the human soul contains a microcosmic image of the Real, and that ultimate salvation is achieved by liberating this image from the distorting

6. Lavā'ih, 7.

frames of social and moral limitations. Yet, the basic question is how to achieve this freedom in order to realize a perfect identity with the Real.

2) The second question that one may raise would involve the implications of the above world-views on the literary conceptions of the two authors, and especially in relation to these authors' variations on the Mağnūn theme.

What $\tilde{G}am\bar{n}$ and ARAGON seem to have in common is expressed by RIMBAUD's famous outcry: "Changer la vie. Car la vraie vie est absente!" But RIMBAUD was claimed by the Marxists, as well as by the Surrealists, who exhibit a clear mystic strain.⁷ Consequently, our method for changing life seems to depend on the manner we conceive of "la vraie vie." $\check{G}am\bar{n}$ and ARAGON express the same dissatisfaction with the "here and now", and the same yearning for a blissful paradise. But, whereas $\check{G}am\bar{n}$ aims at sacrificing the "here" for a perfect "now" in union with the divine — and ultimately for a timeless *baqā' ba'd al-fanā'* — ARAGON has to struggle within the stream of History, hoping to realize his paradise on earth. He does not subscribe to BAUDELAIRE's ideal, "anywhere out of this world;" he dreams of realizing his paradise "everywhere *in this* world."

However, this paradise has to wait for the future. Thus ARAGON'S Medjnoun, roaming the streets of Granada on the eve of its reconquest by the Christians (A.D. 1492), dreams of his future union with Elsa, a union in which all personal, social, and historical contradictions will be resolved.

ARAGON finds in the Mağnūn archetype an adequate symbol for his concept of man's imaginative and emotional faculties; he thus uses it, but redirects its quest. However, no matter how the new quest differs from the old one, the image of the Real — latent in the human soul — can be actualized only through a combination of love, madness and poetry. In $\check{G}am\bar{i}$'s quest, these channels are meant to transcend the imperfections of history; in ARAGON's, they are meant to accelerate the coming of a paradise on earth.

Yet this Paradise, on earth or in heaven, remains far away. ARAGON's protagonist might sing the Future and be mad in love with it, but he still has to face war, persecution, and the vicissitudes of Time the source of his hope and fear:

J'ai tout mon temps d'homme passé Sans lendemain dans les fossés

^{7.} Cf. A. BALAKIAN: *The Literary Origins of Surrealism:* A New Mysticism in French Poetry. New York 1947, Introduction *et passim*.

Attendant une aube indécise La mort à mes côtés assise.

(Le Fou, p. 17)

Similarly, $\tilde{G}\bar{a}m\bar{i}$'s quest to transcend this world does not save him from Time, and the hope for the $baq\bar{a}$ ' does not prevent him from repeating at the approach of death:⁸

Alas! While I am gone, many a day will pass,

many a rose will grow, and many a spring will smile.

Many times will (the months of) Tīr, Dīmāh, and Urdībihišt come again, when I am as dry as dust.

نوبهار	كفــــد	وبش	ئىل	ل گ	برويــــ	روزگار	بسی	ما	بى	که	دريغا
وخشت	باشيم	خاك	ما	که	بيايد	رديبهشت	وا	دعاه	و	تير	بسی

Ğāmī's sadness in his old age, and ARAGON's realization that "il n'y a pas d'amour heureux" make one wonder whether idealism is frequently doomed to end in some disillusion.

Facing reality with dream, exciting the memory of an original beatific vision, or poetically creating their own beatific visions, these poets seem finally to realize that the abyss of physical death gapes between them and the Beloved. Thus we are back again to the Arab poet's less idealistic, if not less poignant,

Only God and the mountains will remain.

Meanwhile, through their love and madness, and especially through their poetry, these poets cover the abyss with their enchanted gardens, with the beauty and fragrance of their roses, and with the songs of their nightingales.

8. Takmila, 40.

APPENDIX AL-MAĞNŪN¹

Some say Mağnūn was Qays b. Mu'ād, others say he was Qays b. al-Mulawwah. Some say he belonged to the clan of Ğa'da b. Ka'b b. Rabī'a b. Ṣa'ṣa'a. Others say he belonged to the clan of 'Uqayl b. Ka'b b. Rabī'a.

He was nicknamed al-Mağnūn (the Madman), since his reason had left him because of the intensity of his passion. al-Aşma'ī used to say that he was not mad, but a little crazy, like Abū Ḥayya.² He was one of the best poets, but they have ascribed to him much tender poetry similar to his own, such as the lines of Abū Ṣaḥr al-Hud̪alī:³

By the One who makes us laugh and cry, live and die, whose will is supreme,

She makes me envy the wild beasts

when I see them in couples, unstartled and serene.

O separation from Layla, you have brought me to the end,

further than separation has ever brought any man. O love of Laylā, each night double my grief.

O solace of love, we shall meet on the Last Day. I was at one with you; you said: "He knows no disaffection!"

I visited each day; you said; "He has no patience!" When she is mentioned, my heart becomes brisk as a bird flutters when raindrops moisten it.

^{1.} The following is a translation of the chapter on Mağnūn in Ibn Qutayba's K. $a\dot{s}$ - $\check{S}i'r$ waš- $\check{s}u'ar\bar{a}'$ (Beirut: Dār at-Taqāfa, 1964). Although the story itself is not unknown to the Western reader, and excerpts of Ibn Qutayba's text have already been included in some translations, it seemed advisable to translate the integral text of Ibn Qutayba so as to give the reader a full picture of the earliest extant version (or performance) of this legend.

^{2.} Abū Hayya an-Numayrī, a poet of the second half of 8th century, popularly accused of being a great "liar" and thought to be epileptic. Cf. GASII, 464-65.

^{3.} Abū Ṣaḥr al-Hudalī a poet of the second half of the 7th century, known for his love poetry. Cf. GAS II, 405.

Strange are the efforts of Time to drive us apart, and when an end came

to what was between us,

Time stopped, and Fate was still.

And such as the lines of Abū Bakr b. 'Abdarraḥmān b. al-Miswar b. Maḥrama:⁴

While we were speeding down the Balakit plain,

and the camels were hurling us forward,

At night, memories of you assailed my heart.

I was too weak to keep going.

When longing for you summoned me, I shouted: "Here I am!" and to the two cameleers: "Turn around the mounts!"

When, as children, Mağnūn and his companion Laylā used to tend the lambs together, he grew attached to her with a childlike love, which he expresses as follows:

I fell in love with Layla when she was a heedless child,

when no sign of her bosom has yet appeared to playmates. Two children guarding the flocks. Would that we never

Two children guarding the flocks. Would that we never had grown up, nor had the flocks grown old!

When he grew up, he would sit and talk to her among some of his people. Handsome and gracious, he was brilliant in conversation and poetic recitation. But she would shun him and converse with others, to the point where he was hurt. When she realized that, she turned to him and said:

In front of other people, we both display hatred,

while each of us is entrenched in the other's heart.

Things worsened for him so much that his reason left him, and he wandered aimlessly with the wild beasts. He would not put on any garment without tearing it to pieces, nor would he understand anything unless Laylā was mentioned to him. Once she was mentioned, he would recover his reason and talk about her without dropping a letter.

Once Nawfal b. Musāhiq came to the tribe to collect the alms tax. He stopped by a gathering of the tribesmen and saw Mağnūn, naked, playing with earth, so he gave him a cloak. Someone asked him: "May God keep you! Don't you realize who this is?" Nawfal answered: "No!" The

^{4.} Abū Bakr b. 'Abdarrahmān b. al-Miswar b. Mahrama: a poet who probably lived in the first half of the 8th century. His grand-father, al-Miswar b. Mahrama b. Nawfal az-Zuhrī, was a *sahābī* and died in 64/683. Cf. ZIRIKLĪ: $al-A \cdot l\bar{a}m$ VIII, 123-24.

person said: "This is Mağnūn [Qays b. al-Mulawwah]. He does not wear clothes and he does not want any." Nawfal was told that if he wanted him to talk sensibly, he should mention Laylā to him and ask him about his love for her. When Nawfal did so, Mağnūn gave him his attention, and talked to him about Laylā, reciting his poetry about her. Nawfal asked him: "Is it love that has brought you to this state?" "Yes," said Mağnūn, "and it will bring me to a worse state than this." Nawfal asked: "Would you like me to help you marry her?" "Yes," replied Mağnūn, "is there any possibility of that?" Nawfal replied: "Come with me. I will bring you to her and arrange your engagement to her, making you desirable to her people by [paying her father your] marriage gift." "Will you really do it?" asked Mağnūn, and Nawfal said: "Yes." Mağnūn said: "Mark what you are saying." "I will make it my duty to do this for you," said Nawfal.

So Nawfal went off with him, and then sent for some clothes. Mağnūn put them on and went with him like the soundest of his companions, talking with him and reciting poetry. The news reached Laylā's family; they came to meet them with arms and said to Nawfal: "By God, O son of Musāhiq, we would die before Mağnūn enters our house; the Sultan has allowed us to shed his blood (with impunity)." Nawfal tried his best to persuade them, but they refused. When Nawfal realized that, he told Mağnūn to go away. Mağnūn said: "By God, you have not kept your word!" Nawfal answered: "Your departure is easier for me than bloodshed." So he (i.e. Mağnūn) went away.

Concerning this event, Mağnūn says:

Halt with me, Companions, at a station which Time, ruining Time has worn.

In every station, there is a diwan of knowledge, the remembrance of diwans has kept nothing alive.

I see the returning (pangs) of love kill me,

and their beginning was sufficient for me.

I meet blows of despair that kill me,

and smiles of hope that bring me back to life.

Concerning the return of his reason whenever Laylā is mentioned, he says:

Woe to him whose mind has been stolen (by deceit),

and who is led to follow any course.

Disowned by companions, except for censurers,

I am mocked by those who would prefer to shun me. Whenever Laylā is mentioned, I become reasonable,

and from a manifold passion, my mental powers return.

They say: "He is sane, with no phantom of any jinni, nor any touch of madness, except the lies he invents."

A man from the Murra tribe went on business towards as-Sam and al-Hiğāz, passing close to Taymā' and as-Sarāt in Nağd. Suddenly a huge tent came in sight. Since he was caught in the rain, he drew towards the tent and cleared his throat. A woman there addressed him saying: "Come in." [When he related the story] he said: "So I went in. Their flocks and camels passed by. They were great in number and had many herdsmen. She said [to the people around]: 'Ask this man where he is coming from.' I answered: 'From the direction of Tihāma and Nağd.' She asked: 'O servant of God, through what parts of Nağd did you pass?' I replied: 'All of them.' She questioned: 'With whom did you stay there?' I answered: 'With the 'Amir clan.' She drew a deep sigh and asked: 'With which family of the 'Amir clan?' I replied: 'With the Harīš.' She shed tears, [and asked:] 'Did you hear any mention of one of their young men, called Qays and nicknamed Magnun?' I responded: 'Yes, indeed, I stayed with his father and went to see him.' She inquired how he was, and I told her that he was wandering in the deserts with the wild beasts, possessing neither sense nor understanding except when Layla is mentioned to him; then he weeps and recites the poetry that he has composed for her." [The man from Murra continued] saying: "Then she removed the veil that was between us, and I saw a moon-face, the likes of which my eyes had never seen before. She wept and wailed until, by God, I thought that her heart would break. I said: 'Woman, don't you fear God?⁵ I have not said anything objectionable, have I?' She continued to weep and wail for a long time, then said:

Would that I knew, while dangers are so many,

when Qays's camel will be saddled for return.

May my soul be his ransom who does not prepare his camel,

and who is lost if God does not protect him.

Then she cried until she fainted, and when she regained consciousness, I said: 'And who are you, O handmaid of God?' She answered: 'I am Laylā, who has caused his ill-fortune and does not comfort him.' "The man from Murra concluded, saying: "I have never seen any grief or anguish, nor any passion similar to hers."

The father and clan of Mağnūn had gone to the father and people of Laylā to ask them in the name of kinship [to have pity on him], informing them of what had afflicted him. But Laylā's father rejected [their plea] and swore never to give her to him in marriage.

^{5.} This implies the common expression: "Fear God!", i.e., be reasonable!

People suggested to Mağnūn's father that he take him to Mecca to have him seek the help of the House [of God] and pray to God in the hope that he would forget her, or that God would relieve him of his affliction. Thus, he went on a pilgrimage. While he was walking in Minā⁶ with his father holding his hand, and was about to perform the Ğimār rite,⁷ someone called out: "O Laylā!" Mağnūn fell down, unconscious. People gathered around him clamoring and threw water on him. His father cried by his side. He regained consciousness. Jaundiced and perturbed, he began to recite:

A caller called as we were in the [pilgrim] tract of Minā; unknowing, he excited the sorrows of the heart. He called another Laylā, and it was as if

by "Layla" he set to flight a bird caged in my chest.

Al-Haytam (Ibn 'Adiyy) relating on the authority of Abū Miskīn, said: "One of our young men travelled until he reached the well of Maymūn. There (he saw) a group of people on a certain mountain. In their midst was a young man whom they had caught hold of. He was tall, fair, curly-haired, with big dark eyes, the most handsome man I had ever seen. Yet he was jaundiced, emaciated, and pallid." "I asked about him," continued the narrator, "and they said: 'This is Qays, known as Mağnūn. When he was stricken with his affliction, his father, al-Mulawwah, brought him to al-Haram seeking refuge and cure in the House (of God). Al-Mulawwah thought that Magnun should seek refuge at the tomb of the Prophet (God bless him and grant him peace!).' I asked: 'What is he doing here and why are you holding him?' They replied: 'Because of what he does to himself, for he treats himself so vilely that even his enemies would have mercy on him. He pleads: 'Take me out to breath the east wind of Nagd.' So we bring him out here, and he turns towards the region of Nağd hoping that the east wind would blow in his direction. We do not like to leave him alone, for fear he might throw himself down from the mountain. Be kind, come close, and let him know that you have come from Nagd. When he asks you how things are in Nağd and in his home, let him know.' I said: 'I will do so.' They told him: 'O Abu l-Mahdī, this is a man who has come from the region of Nağd.' He breathed so deeply that I thought his heart had broken. Then he began to ask me about it, valley by valley and place by place. While I described it for him, he cried in the most intense and heartbreaking manner. Then he recited:

^{6.} A place in Mecca near the Ka'ba.

^{7.} Throwing of stones at stone pillars at three different stages of the Pilgrimage.

Would that I knew if the two hills of Qanā,

through the length of nights, have changed after my parting, And if the high winds blow on Nağd,

when they carry the smell of lavender,

And what the sand-daisy would do

if some night it should journey to a moist and generous soil. Will the wind ever blow the locks of my hair

over a (horse) slender of hips and fast in gallops!

And should I ever hear the sounds of camels

moving up from valley to abundant valley!

Along the same lines, he also says:

At Mecca, by night, the pilgrims prayed to God beseeching forgiveness for their sins,
While I called: 'O God, my first request for myself I make for Laylā, then be my reckoner.
If I have Laylā in my life-time

no worshipper's repentance will be greater than mine.'

A sheikh of the Murra tribe travelled out to the 'Amir land to meet Mağnūn. "They directed me to a tent," he said, "so I went to it and saw his father, a very old sheikh, and his grown-up sons. Their flocks were much in evidence, and their wealth was great. I asked about Magnun; they all wept and cried, while the sheikh explained: 'He was indeed my favorite among all of these. He fell in love with a kinswoman who could entertain no hopes for the likes of him. After the relation between them became known, her father was averse to giving her to him in marriage. Then he gave her in marriage to another man, and my son became mad out of passion and deep affection for her. We imprisoned him and put him in fetters. But he would bite his tongue and lips until we were afraid that he would bite them off. When we saw that, we set him free. He is in the desert with the wild beasts. Every day we have people take food for him and place it where he can see it. When they move away from it, he comes to eat. If his clothes wear out, they bring him other clothes and throw them where he can see them. Then they go away. He sees them, comes to them, throws out what he is wearing, and puts them on.' Then I asked them to show me where he was, so that I could go to him. They directed me to a young man from their quarter and said: 'He is still friends with Mağnūn, who does not like anyone else's company except his. He learns his poetry and brings it to us.' So I went to him and asked him to tell me what to do in order to draw near to Magnun. He said: 'If you want his poetry, I have it all. I shall go tomorrow, and if he has composed anything I

will bring it to you.' I said: 'No, instead, show me the way, so I can go to him.' He objected: 'If he is scared away by you, I am afraid he also might be scared away from me, and his poetry will disappear.' I insisted that he show me where Mağnūn was. He said: 'All right, seek him in the desert. When you see him, approach him in a friendly way, and do not show fear. He will threaten and menace you, and probably throw something at you if he has anything at hand. Then sit down as if you were not looking at him, but glance at him out of the corner of your eye. When you see that he has calmed down and begun to make marks in the sand with his hand, recite some poetry to him if you know by heart any of Qays b. Darīh's, for he admires him.' "

"So I went," (the narrator) said, "and wandered all day, but found him only in the late afternoon, sitting on a sand-hill, on which he had traced lines with his fingers. I drew near to him without being afraid, and, by God, he bolted just as wild animals do when they catch sight of a human. At his side there was a heap of stones, and he picked up one of them. Nevertheless, I drew closer until I sat down near him. He remained quite a while as if he were still startled and ready to run away. But when I sat still long enough, he calmed down and began making marks in the sand with his fingers. I looked at him and said: 'Qays b. Darīh was indeed excellent when he said:

I shall drain my tears by crying,

fearing what has not been, but will be.

They said: 'Tomorrow or a night thereafter brings the parting from a beloved who though not yet departed, will depart.'

I never feared that my death would be by my own palms, yet whoever is fated meets his fate.'

He cried at length and said: 'But I am a still better poet when I say:

You kept me close until you put a spell on me

with words that bring the mountain-goats down to the plains. When I had no way out, you shunned me,

but you left what you left within my breast.'

Suddenly some gazelles appeared. He sprang up to follow them. I went away, then returned the next day, but did not find him. I went back to inform them. They sent the man who used to take him food, and he brought the news that it was still untouched — he had not eaten anything. Then I returned again the third day, and did not succeed in finding him. I looked at his food; it was untouched. Then, the next day, his brothers, relatives, and I went out in the morning. We searched for him all day and all night, but

could not find him. The next morning, we looked over a very stony valley and suddenly saw him, dead, among the stones. They bore him home and buried him."

Mağnūn has offspring in Nağd. No poet has ever excelled him in expressing the meaning of his saying: "You kept me close until you put a spell on me..." Similar to it is the saying of Ibn al-Ahnaf:

I complain of those who made me taste their love,

then when they awakened me to passion, they went to sleep.

Also, among his poetry is the following which is said to be falsely attributed to him:

She who claimed that your heart was weary of her

was created for your love as you were created for hers. Whenever you are tempted to forget her, your conscience

intercedes, and your heart expells the temptation.

She is white (of skin). Early in her life, comfort came to her, elegantly fashioned and refined her, and made her sublime.

In my soul I hide a rapture for her so great

that if it passed over her, it would cover her in its shade. In my breast lies a passion so great

that were it under her couch, it would carry her to me.

She has withheld her favors, so I said to my

companion: "What wealth she is to us and what poverty!"

And among his good poetry, is his saying:

You told me that Taymā' is a stop

for Laylā when summer lays anchor.

Now that the summer months have passed,

why does the stormy journey still toss Laylā about? If a slanderer's house were in the heights of Yamāma

and mine in Hadramawt, he would find me out.

When I am in her pleasing company, they gather around and admonish us till I grow weary of my place.

What good fortune is that to them — May God decrease

their lot! — if Laylā cuts to shreds the cords which bind us?

And amongst his saying, is;

I feign drowsiness when I have no desire for sleep

hoping that your image may cross my mind.

I leave the company of men hoping to converse about you with myself, in secret and alone.

142

This is similar to the saying of Du r-Rumma:

I love deserted places, for there I can keep chanting her name without mumbling.

And of what was wrongly attributed to him:

Welcome be the work of Satan, If my love for her is Satan's work!

A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ABU-DEEB, Kamal: *al-Jurjānī's Theory of Poetic Imagery*. Warminster, Wilts: Aris & Phillips Ltd. 1979.
- : Towards a Structural Analysis of pre-Islamic Poetry. In: International Journal of Middle East Studies VI (1975), 148-184.
- Abū Dīb, Kamāl: *Fi l-binya al-īqā'iyya liš-ši'r al-'arabī*: naḥw badīl ǧadrī li-'arūd al-Ḫalīl wa-muqaddima fī 'ilm al-īqā' al-muqāran. Beirut: Dār al-'ilm lil-malāyīn 1974.
- : *Ğadaliyyat al-hafā' wat-tağallī:* dirāsa binyawiyya fi š-ši'r. Beirut: Dār al-'ilm lil-malāyīn 1979.
- ADŪNĪS ['Alī Aḥmad Sa'īd]: at-Tābit wal-mutahawwil: baht fi l-ittibā' wal-ibdā' 'ind al-'arab. 3 vols., Beirut: Dār al-'awda 1974-78.
- ANAWATI, G.-C. and Louis GARDET: Mystique musulmane. Paris: Librairie Philosophique J. Vrin 1968.
- ANDRAE, Tor: *Muhammed, the Man and His Faith.* New York and Evanson: Harper and Row 1960.
- ARAGON, Louis: Le Fou d'Elsa. Paris: Gallimard 1963.

ARBERRY, A.J.: Arabic Poetry. Cambridge: The University Press 1965.

- : Classical Persian Literature. London: Allen and Unwin 1958.
- : A Sufī Martyr: the 'Apologia' of 'Ayn al-Qudāt al-Hamadhānī. Trans. and introd., London: Allen and Unwin 1969.
- :Sufism. London: Allen and Unwin 1950.
- AL-ASAD, Nāşir ad-Dīn: *Maşādir aš-ši'r al-ğāhilī wa-qīmatuhā at-tārīhiyya*. Cairo: Dār al-ma'ārif 1956.
- AL-AZM, Ṣādiq Ğalāl: Fi l-hubb wa-l-hubb al-'udrī. 2nd ed., Beirut: Dār al-'awda 1974.
- BALAKIAN, Anna: *The Literary Origins of Surrealism:* A New Mysticism in French Poetry. New York: New York University Press 1947.

- BASSET, René; Mille et un contes, récits et légendes arabes. II, Paris: Maisonneuve 1926.
- BATESON, Mary Catherine: Structural Continuity in Poetry: A Linguistic Study in Five Preislamic Arabic Odes. Paris, The Hague: Mouton & Co. 1970.
- BAUSANI, Alessandro: "Letteratura Neopersiana". In: Antonino PAGLIARO and A. BAUSANI: *Storia della Letteratura Persiana*. Milano: Nuova Accademia Editrice 1960, 157-898.
- BELL, JOSEPH N.: Love Theory in Later Hanbalite Islam. New York: State University of New York Press 1979.
- BENCHEICH, Jamāl Eddine: *Poétique arabe:* essai sur les voies d'une création. Paris: Editions Anthropos 1975.
- BLACHÈRE, Régis: *Histoire de la littérature arabe*. 3 vols., Paris: Adrien-Maisonneuve 1952-66.
- BODKIN, Maud: Archetypal Patterns in Poetry. Oxford Paperbacks 1965.
- BOLDYREV, A.N.: Literary-Critical Opinions of Jāmī and His Contemporaries. In: Yādnāme-ye Jan Rypka. Ed. the Czechoslovak Academy, Prague. The Hague, Paris: Mouton and Co. 1967.
- BOWRA, C.M.: Heroic Poetry. London: Macmillan and Co. 1952.
- BROCKELMANN, C.: Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur. 2 vols. and Supplement, 3 vols., Leiden: E.J. Brill 1937-49.
- BROWNE, E.G.: A Literary History of Persia. 4 vols., Cambridge: University Press 1928.
- BURCKHARDT, Titus: Introduction aux doctrines ésotériques de l'Islam. Paris: Dervy-Livres 1969.
- CACHIA, P.: The Effect of Monorhyme on Arabic Poetic Production. In: Journal of Arabic Literature I (1970), 9-13.
- CAMPBELL, Joseph: Hero with a Thousand Faces. New York: Meridian 1956.
- CHITTICK, William C.: The Perfect Man as the Prototype of the Self in the Sufism of Jāmī. In: Studia Islamica XLIX (1979), 135-57.
- CORBIN, Henri: Creative Imagination in the Sūfism of Ibn 'Arabī. Trans. Ralph Manheim, Princeton: Princeton University Press 1969.
- : En Islam iranien: aspects spirituels et philosophiques. 4 vols., Paris: Gallimard 1971-72.
- : Terre céleste et corps de résurrection. Paris: Butchet/Chastel 1960.
- :"Introduction" to: Ruzbehan Baqli Shirazi: Le jasmin des fidèles

d'amour. Ed. H. Corbin and M. Mo'in, Tehran, Paris: Adrien-Maisonneuve 1958.

- Dictionary of World Literature. Ed. J.T. Shipley, New York: Philosophical Library 1953.
- DODDS, E.R.: The Greeks and the Irrational. Berkeley: University of California Press 1968.
- DUNCAN, Thomas Shearer: *Plato and Poetry*. In: The Classical Journal XL, No. 8 (1945), 481-94.
- ELIADE, M.: *The Forge and the Crucible*. Trans. Stephen Corrin, New York: Harper and Brothers 1962.

Encyclopaedia of Islam. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1913-38 and 1960-.

EVOLA, J.: Métaphysique du sexe. Paris: Payot 1968.

- FAHD, Toufic: La divination arabe. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1966.
- :Le panthéon de l'Arabie centrale à la veille de l'Hégire. Paris: Paul Geuthner 1968.
- FARHĀDI, Abd-ol-Ghafour Ravan: L'Amour dans les récits de Djāmi. In: Studia Iranica, IV (1975), 207-18.
- FARRĀĞ, 'Abdassattār Ahmad: *Dīwān Mağnūn Laylā*. Cairo: Maktabat Mişr 1963.
- FREUD, Sigmund: *Character and Culture*. Ed. by Philip Rieff, New York: Collier Books 1963.
- FRYE, Northrop: Fables of Identity. New York: Harcourt, Brace and World, Inc. 1963.
- GABRIELI, F.: *Gamīl al-'Udrī, studio critico e raccolta dei frammenti.* In: Revista degli Studi Orientali XVII (1938), 40-71, 132-72.
- :*Contributi alla interpretazione di Gamil.* In: RSO XVIII (1940),173-98.

Ğāмī, Nūraddīn 'Abdarraḥmān: Aši''at al-lama'āt. Tehran 1329/1911.

- :Dīvān-i kāmil-ī Ğāmī. Ed. Hāšim Razī, Tehran: Pīruz 1962.
- :Haft Awrang. Ed. and introd. Murtażā Mudarris Gīlānī, Tehran: Kitābhāna-i Sa'dī 1337/1958.
- :Lavā'ih Ed. and trans. E.H. Whinfield and Mīrzā Muhammad Qazwīnī, London: Royal Asiatic Society 1928.
- :Lavāmi'-i Ğāmī: Šarh-i qaşīda-i hamriyya-i Ibn-i Fāriz. Ed. H. al-Āgā, Tehran: Intišārāt-i bunyād-i mihr, n.d.
- : Naqd an-nuşūş fī šarh naqš al-fuşūş. Ed. and introd. William C.

Chittick, Tehran: Imperial Iranian Academy of Philosophy (Nº 17) 1977.

- GAUDEFROY-DEMOMBYNES: Ibn Qutaïba. Introduction au Livre de la poésie et des poètes. Paris: Société d'Edition "Les Belles Lettres" 1947.
- GELPKE, Rudolph: Liebe und Wahnsinn als Thema eines persischen Dichters: Zur Mädschnun-Gestalt bei Nezami. In: Symbolon IV (1964), 105-18.
- GERHARDT, Mia I.: The Art of Story Telling. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1963.
- GIBB, Hamilton A.R.: Studies on the Civilization of Islam. Boston: Beacon 1962.
- GIFFEN, Lois Anita: Theory of Profane Love among the Arabs: the Development of the Genre. London: University of London Press 1972.
- GOLDZIHER, Ignaz: Muhammedanische Studien. 2 vols., Halle 1888.
- VON GRUNEBAUM, G.E.: Kritik und Dichtkunst. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz 1955.
- :Medieval Islam. 2nd ed., Chicago: University of Chicago Press 1953.
- : Modern Islam. New York: Vintage Books 1964.
- Die Wirklichkeitweite der früharabischen Dichtung. Wien 1937. (Beihefte zur Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, 3. Heft).
- GUILLAUME, Alfred: Prophecy and Divination among the Hebrews and the Semites. London: Hodder and Stoughton 1938.
- HAïm, S.: Farhang-i ğāmi', Persian-English Dictionary. Tehran: Librairie Béroukhim 1962.
- AL-HAMADĀNĪ, 'Ayn al-Quḍāt: Apologia. Trans. and introd. by A.J. ARBERRY, London: Allen and Unwin 1969.
- A Handbook to Literature. Ed. C.H. HOLMAN et al., New York: Odyssey Press 1960.
- HAROCHE, Charles: L'idée de l'amour dans "Le Fou d'Elsa" et l'œuvre d'Aragon. Paris: Gallimard 1966.
- HEINRICHS, Wolfhart: Die altarabische Qasīde als Dichtkunst. In: Der Islam 51 (1974), 118-24.
- Arabische Dichtung und griechische Poetik. Hazim al-Qarțăğannis Grundlegung der Poetik mit Hilfe aristotelischer Begriffe. Beirut: Franz Steiner, Wiesbaden 1969 (Beiruter Texte und Studien, Bd. 8).

149

HIКMAT, 'Alī Asgar: Romeo u Juliet muqāyasa bā Laylī u Mağnūn. Tehran: Bārūhīm 1941.

— : *Ğāmī*. Tehran: Čāphāna-i bānk-i millī-i Īrān 1320/1941.

- HILĀL, Muḥammad Ġunaymī: Al-Ḥayāt al-ʻāṭifiyya bayna l-ʻudīriyya waş-sūfiyya. 2nd ed., Cairo: Maktabat al-anglo-al-mişriyya 1960.
- HITTI, Philip K.: *History of the Arabs*. 10th ed., London: Macmillan 1970.
- HUSAYN, Țāhā: Min Tārīh al-adab al-'arabī. Beirut: Dār al-'ilm lilmalāyīn 1970.
- IBN 'ARABĪ, Muḥyiddīn: Kitāb al-Futūḥāt al-makkiyya fī ma'rifat alasrār al-malikiyya wal-mulkiyya. 4 vols. Cairo 1911.
 - :Kitāb Istilāh as-sūfiyya. Ed. M. Bahtiyār, Tehran: Čāphāna-i bīst u panğum-i šahrīvar 1969.
 - :*Tarğumān al-ašwāq*. Ed. and trans. R.A. Nicholson, London: Royal Asiatic Society 1911.
- IBN (Abī Sulaymān) DāwūD: *Kitāb az-Zahra* I. Ed. A.R. Nykl, Chicago: University of Chicago Press 1932.
- IBN AL-ĞAWZĪ, Abu l-Farağ 'Abdarraḥmān: *Damm al-hawā*. Ed. Muṣṭafā 'Abdalwāḥid, Cairo: Dār al-kutub al-ḥadīṯa 1962.
- IBN AL-MU'TAZZ: *Țabaqāt aš-šu arā' al-muḥdatīn*. Ed. A. Eghbal, London: E.J. Browne Memorial Series 1939.
- IBN QUTAYBA, 'Abdallāh b. Muslim: (*Kitāb*) aš-Ši'r waš-Šu'arā'. De Goeje's edition with additions by I. 'Abbās and M. Nağm, Beirut: Dār a<u>t-t</u>aqāfa 1964.
- IBN ŢŪLŪN AD-DIMAŠQĪ: Bast sāmi' al-musāmir fī ahbār Mağnūn Banī 'Āmir. Cairo 1964.
- İNALCIK, Şevkiye: "Introduction" to Kays b. al-Mulavvah (al-Macnun) ve Divani. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Basımevi 1967.
- AL-IȘFAHĀNĪ, Abu l-Farağ: Kitāb al-Agānī. Beirut: Dār at-taqāfa 1955-61.
- JACOBI, Renate: Studien zur Poetik der altarabischen Qaside. Wiesbaden: F. Steiner Verlag 1971.
- JUNG, C.G.: *Psyche and Symbol*. A Selection from the Writings of C.G. JUNG. Ed. Violet S. de Laszlo, New York: Anchor Original 1958.
- KHAIRALLAH, As'ad: Collective Composition and the Collector's Art: Observations on the Dîwân of Mağnûn Lailâ. In: La signification du bas moyen âge dans l'histoire et la culture du monde musulman. Aix-en-Provence 1978, 117-25.

- [KORAN] *The Meaning of the Glorious Koran*. Trans. Marmaduke Pickthall, New York: Mentor Books 1953.
- KRAČKOVSKIJ, I.J.: Die frühgeschichte der erzählung von Macnūn und Lailā in der arabischen literatur. Trans. H. Ritter. In: Oriens VIII (1955), 1-50.
- Lārī, 'Abdalģafūr: *Takmila-i ḥavāšī-i nafaḥāt al-uns:* šarḥ-i ḥāl-iMawlānā Ğāmī. [Harāt]: Našarāt-i anğuman-i Ğāmī 1341/1964.
- LASZLO, Violet S. de: "Introduction" to C.G. JUNG's *Psyche and Symbol*. New York: Anchor Original 1958.
- LEVEND, A.S.: Leylâ ve Mecnun hikâyesi. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Basımevi 1959.
- LEVY, Rueben: An Introduction to Persian Literature. New York: Columbia University Press 1969.
- LEWIS, Bernard: The Arabs in History. New York: Harper and Row 1960.
- LORD, Albert B.: The Singer of Tales. New York: Atheneum 1965.
- LYONS, M.C.: The Effect of Monorhyme on Arabic Poetic Production. In: Journal of Arabic Literature I (1970), 3-9.
- McDoNALD, M.V.: Orally Transmitted Poetry in pre-Islamic Arabia and Other pre-Literate Societies. In: Journal of Arabic Literature IX (1978), 14-31.
- AL-MAĞNŪN, Qays b. al-Mulawwaḥ: *Dīwān*. See various versions under FARRĀĞ, IBN ṬŪLŪN AD-DIMAŠQĪ, İNALCIK, AL-IŞFAHĀNĪ, and AL-WĀLIBĪ.
- MARGOLIOUTH, D.S.: The Early Development of Mohammedanism. London. Williams and Norgate 1914.
- :Lectures on Arabic Historians. Calcutta: University of Calcutta 1930.
- : The Origins of Arabic Poetry. In: Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society (July 1925), 417-49.
- MASSIGNON, Louis: Essai sur les origines du lexique technique de la mystique musulmane. 2^e éd., Paris: Vrin 1954.
 - :al-Hallāj, martyr mystique de l'Islam. Paris: Paul Geuthner 1922.
- MATTOCK, J.N.: Repetition in the Poetry of Imru' al-Qays. In: Glasgow University Oriental Society. Transactions 24 (1974), 34-50.
- MONROE, James T.: Oral Composition in pre-Islamic Poetry: the Problem of Authenticity. In: Journal of Arabic Literature III (1972), 1-53.

- MEYEROVITCH, Eva: Mystique et poésie en Islam: Djalāl-ud-Dīn Rūmī et l'ordre des derviches tourneurs. Paris: Desclée de Brouwer 1972.
- MULLĀ ŞADRĀ ŠĪRĀZĪ: Aṣnām al-ǧāhiliyya. Ed. M.T. Dāniš-Pažūh, Tehran: Maţba'a-i ǧāmi'a-i Tihrān 1962.
- MUZĀHIM AL-'UQAYLĪ: The Poetical Remains of Muzāhim al-'Uqailī. Ed. and trans. by F. Krenkow. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1920.
- NALLINO, Carlo-Alfonso: La littérature arabe des origines à l'époque de la dynastie umayyade. Trans. Charles PELLAT, Paris: Maisonneuve 1950.
- NICHOLSON, R.A.: A Literary History of the Arabs. Cambridge: University Press 1930.

- : Studies in Islamic Mysticism. Cambridge: University Press 1931.

- NIETZSCHE: The Philosophy of Nietzsche. Ed. Jeoffry Clive, New York: Mentor 1965.
- Nı'мA, Nuhād T.: *al-Ğinn fi l-adab al-'arabī*. Beirut, Ṣaydā: al-Matba'a al-muhallişiyya 1961.
- AN-NĪSĀBŪRĪ, al-Ḥasan b. M.: 'Uqalā' al-mağānīn. Ed.M. Baḥr al-'Ulūm, Nağaf: al-Maktaba al-ḥaydariyya 1968.
- NWYIA, Paul: *Exégèse coranique et langage mystique*: nouvel essai sur le lexique technique des mystiques musulmans. Beirut: Dār al-mašreq 1970.
- O'BRIEN-MOORE, A.: Madness in the Ancient Literature. Weimar: R. Wagner Sohn 1924.
- PARET, Rudi: Symbolik des Islam. Stuttgart: Anton Hiersemann 1958.
- PLATO: Collected Dialogues of Plato. Ed. E. Hamilton and H. Cairns, New York: Bollingen Series LXXI 1964.
- AL-QALI, Abū 'Alī: Kitāb al-Amālī. 2 vols., Cairo: Dār al-kutub 1926.
- Razī, Hāšim: "Muqaddimah". In: *Dīwān-i kāmil-i Ğāmī*. Tehran: Instišārāt-i Parvar 1341/1962.
- RENTZ, G.: "Djazīrat al-'Arab". In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1960.
- REVERDEN, Olivier: La religion de la cité platonicienne. Paris: E. de Boccard 1945.

RIMBAUD, Arthur: Œuvres. Paris: Garnier 1960.

RINGGREN, Helmer: Studies in Arabian Fatalism. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz 1955. RITTER, Hellmut: Das Meer der Seele. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1955.

- : Arabische und persische Schriften über die profane und die mystische Liebe. In: Der Islam XXI (1933), 84-109.
- : Muslim Mystic Strife with God. In: Oriens V (1952), 1-15.
- :Nachwort des übersetzers. In: Oriens VIII (1955), 49-50.
- : Über die Bildersprache Nizāmīs. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter and Co. 1927.

ROEMER, Hans R.: Probleme de Hafizforschung und der Stand ihrer Lösung. Wiesbaden: Steiner 1951. (Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Mainz: Abh. der Klasse der Lit., 1951, Nr. 3).

- ROUGEMONT, Denis de: L'Amour et l'Orient, Paris: Ed. 10/18, 1962.
- RŪZBIHĀN Baqlī Šīrāzī: *Kitāb-i 'Abhar al-'āšiqīn*. Ed. and introd. H. Corbin and M. Mo'īn, Tehran: 1337-1958.
- Rypka, Jan: *History of Iranian Literature*. Ed. Karl Jahn, Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Co. 1968.
- AS-SARRĀĞ, Abū Muḥammad Ğaʿfar b. A. b. al-Ḥusayn: Maṣāriʿ al-'uššāq. Beirut: Dār Bayrūt 1958.
- AS-SARRĀĞ, Abū Nașr: Kitāb al-Luma' fi t-tașawwuf. Ed. R. A. Nicholson, Leiden: E.J. Brill 1914.
- SEZGIN, Fuat: Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums. 6 vols., Leiden: E.J. Brill 1967-78.
- Shorter Encyclopaedia of Islam. Ed. H.A.R. Gibb and J.H. Kramers, Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press 1965.
- AS-SULAMĪ, Abū 'Abdarraḥmān b. Mūsā: Kitāb Tabaqāt as-sūfiyya. Ed. Johannes Pedersen, Leiden: E.J. Brill 1960.
- VADET, Jean-Claude: L'esprit courtois en Orient dans les cinq premiers siècles de l'hégire. Paris: Maisonneuve et Larose 1968.
- AL-WĀLIBĪ, Abū Bakr: *Dīwān Mağnūn Laylā*. Ed. 'A. aṣ-Ṣa'īdī, 2nd ed., Cairo: Maktabat al-Qāhira, n.d.
- : Qays b. al-Mulawwah al-Mağnūn wa-dīwānuh. Ed. Şevkiye İnalcık, Ankara: Türk Tarin Kurumu Basimevi 1967.
- AL-WAŠŠĀ', Muḥammad b. Isḥāq b. Yaḥyā: *al-Muwaššā aw aẓ-ẓarf waẓẓurafā*'. Cairo: Maktabat al-Ḫānǧī 1953.
- WATT, W. Montgomery: *The Faith and Practice of al-Ghazālī*. London: Allen and Unwin 1953.
- WEISWEILER, Max: Arabesken der Liebe: Früharabische Geschichten von Liebe und Frauen. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1954.

- Die fr
 üharabische Liebesgeschichte und ihr historischer Hintergrund. In: Saeculum IX (1958), 163-75.
- WELLHAUSEN, J.: Reste arabischen Heidentums. 2nd ed., Berlin: Georg Reimer 1897.
- WHEELRIGHT, Philip: Metaphor and Reality. Bloomington: Indiana University Press 1968.
- AZ-ZIRIKLĪ, Hairaddīn: al-A'lām. 10 vols., 2nd ed., Cairo: 1954-59.
- ZUHAYR b. Abī Sulma: Mu'allaqa. In: IBN AL-ANBĀRĪ: Šarh al-qaṣā'id assab' at-tiwāl. Ed. 'A. Hārūn, Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif 1963.
- ZWETTLER, Michael: *The Oral Tradition of Classical Arabic Poetry:* Its Character and Implications. Columbus: Ohio State University Press 1978.

INDEX

cAbdu'l-cUzzā 40 ^cAbhar al-^cāšiqīn 102 Absolute, the 21, 96, 110, 128 Abu 'Amr aš-Šaybānī 60 Abū Bakr b. 'Abdarrahmān b. al-Miswar b. Mahrama 136 ABU-DEEB, K. 7 Abu l-Hasan al-cAlawi 59 Abu l-Hasan al-Aswārī 94 Abū Hayya an-Numayrī 54, 135 Abu l-Husayn 99 n Abū ^cĪsā b. ar-Rašīd 59 Abu l-Mahdī (one of Mağnūn's nicknames) 139 Abū Miskīn 139 Abū Muhammad al-Garīrī 89 Abū Nuwās 53 Abū Şahr al-Hudalī 135 Abū ^cUmāra 59 Active Imagination 77 n, 78, 80, 81; see also Ibn 'Arabī ^cAdī b. Zayd al-^cIbādī 32 ADŪNĪS (^cAlī Ahmad Sa^cīd) 5 al-Agānī, Kitāb 15, 49, 50, 53, 54, 55, 61, 62, 63, 65 ahl al-Kitāb 20 ahwāl 84, 102, 120 Alī b. Abī Ţālib 44 alienation 50, 73, 76, 84, 85 Allāt 32 ambiguity 98, 105, 110, 121 ^cAmir (the tribe of Mağnūn) 51, 53, 54, 58, 63, 101, 138, 140 Amīr Husraw 2, 106, 107, 112, 114 ^cAmr 101 ^cAmr b. Ma^cdīkarib az-Zubaydī 37 ana l-Haqq 47 n, 109 anachronism 58, 59, 60, 61 ANDRAE, TOR 38 n anecdote(s) 42, 49, 51, 53, 54, 55, 58, 62, 79, 81, 83, 85, 88, 129 animal(s) 87 n, 117, 118, 130

animal soul, the 116 animism 48 annihilation; self - 2, 45, 94, 109, 110, 111, 130 al-Anțākī, Dāwūd 15 Antara b. Šaddād 37 Aphrodite 18, 95 Apollo 18 arabesque structure 55, 57, 80, 88, 96, 103 Arabian Nights 62 ARAGON, Louis 3, 5, 9, 11 n, 127, 128, 130, 131, 132 Arberry, A.J. 12, 105, 106 archetype, archetypal 2, 3, 4, 11, 13, 16, 17, 22, 57, 62, 73, 85, 94, 96, 97, 106, 107, 116, 120, 122, 124, 125, 127, 128, 130, 131, 132; the Joseph – 85;the Mağnūn – 2, 4, 106, 107, 130, 132 Aši^{cc}at al-lama^cāt 107 al-Așma^cī 8, 38 n, 53, 54, 135 authenticity, authentic 6, 7, 14, 15, 27, 28, 29, 30, 35, 50, 53, 54 *āvāt* 104 āyīna-i Haqq 103, 130 ^cAyn al-Quḍāt al-Hamadānī 94 n aždar | aždarhā 124 Al- Azm, S. 5 ^cAzza (Kutayyir's beloved) 66; see also Ku<u>t</u>ayyir Balākit plain 136 baqā' (bacd al-fanā') 102, 120, 121, 125, 132 Başra; the philological school of - 30 Bast sāmi^c al-musāmir 60 BATESON, M. 6 al-bāțin 45 Bātiniyya 47 BAUDELAIRE, Ch. 77, 132 BAUSANI, A. 121 Bedouin 31, 32, 34, 37

INDEX

Bell, J. 5n Beloved, the 45, 77 n, 120, 125; divine - 131; divine and human — 100; self-annihilation in the — 3; union with the -75, 78, 81; identification with the -3; vision of the - 94, 119 BENCHEIKH, J. 6 BEVAN, A.A. 40 Bilqīs 101 n Bistāmī 46 Blachère, R. 8, 34, 56 BODKIN, Maud 13 BROWNE, E.G. 12, 13 Buhārī 28 CACHIA, P. 6 caliph, caliphate 44 cave; symbolism of — in a great mountain 68 Сніттіск, W.C. 5 Christ; see Jesus Christian, christianity 19, 20, 32, 44, 74, 77 cliché(s) 104, 106 collective; — composition 6, 7, 8n, 52, 56, 88, 97; — memory 34; need for rebellion 7, 129; - unconscious 22, 70; - consciousness 9; — style 8 communion, communication 84, 94 Companions of the Prophet 42 composition; see collective composition consciousness 23, 92, 119 convention, conventionalism 17, 35, 70, 92, 93, 108; Ğāmī's creative use of — 97, 107; literary — 82; poetic - 65; social, religious and moral - 85 conventional; — imagery 53, 77, 105; — and oral tradition 103; — device 57 Corbin, H. 2, 5, 45, 80, 102, 130 "courtois" 56 Creative Verb 113 Creator 114 crying, associated with poetry 66, 84, 89, 92, 94

cyclical movement; — in Ğāmī's narrative 112 daemonic; - power 90; - source 24 dahr 31 dahša 94 dam 123; — -i subh 124; — -i 'Īsā 124 darwishism 48 Day of Judgement 116 death 11, 120, 124; cycle of - and rebirth 115, 116 Delphi; oracle of -130dialectical; - reasoning 18; - materialism 131 dikr 67 Dionysos 18 divination 48, 67 divine; — assurance 69; — beauty 86, 105, 108, 109, 112, 115; - Beloved 87 n, 109; communion with the – 2, 41, 46, 100, 117, 130, 132; — decree 72; — epiphany 94; creativity 113; Laylā's - power 73; — truth 114 dīwān 7, 9, 52, 56, 62 Dīwān (Mağnūn Laylā) 8, 16, 50, 56, 57, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 73, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 91, 92, 94, 95, 96, 97 Dīwān-i kāmil-i Ğāmī 107 et passim Dreiklang 24; see triad DUNCAN, Th. Sh. 18 n Du r-Rumma 143 Ecstasy 86, 100, 105, 108, 110 Eden; Garden of - 77 ego 2 ELIADE, M. 21 n Elias, the Prophet 124 ELIOT, T.S. 17 Elsa (Triolet), heroine of Le Fou d'Elsa 132 Enkidu 3 The Epic of Gilgamesh 3 epic romance 12, 98, 103, 104; Aragon's — 3 Eros 18 esoteric, esoterism 45, 46; - interpretation 44; esoteric her-

DFG

156

INDEX

meneutics: see ta'wil eternal, eternity 115 Ethiopian Layla 79 Eurydice 4 FAHD, T. 69 fahr 36 fainting 93, 94 fanā-109, 120 faqr 119 al-Farazdaq 70 FARHĀDĪ, A.R. 5 FARRĀĞ, ^cA.A. 8, 53 Fate 72 Firdawsī 103, 106 floating verses 7, 8, 52 folkloric; - level of interpretation 56 Forêt de symboles 77 forgery; — of poetry 28 formulaic; - expressions 7; see: oral tradition, conventional imagery, tied images; oral - composition 37, 39 Le Fou d'Elsa 3, 5, 11 n, 127 FREUD, S. 22 n Friend, the; union with -2Gabriel, Archangel 38, 39. 41 Ğa^cda b. Ka^cb b. Rabī^ca b. Ṣa^cṣa^ca (as Mağnūn's clan) 135 al-Gāhiz 53 *Ğāhiliyya* 15, 27, 28, 29, 31 n, 35, 36, 37, 39 Ğāmī, Nūraddīn 2, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 24 n, 71 n, 96, 97, 98, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114; 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132; — his didacticism 88 Gamīl b. 'Abdallāh al-'Udrī 5, 50 n, 64, 71 n, 93, 95 gayba 102 Ġaylān (the lover of Mayya) 79 gazal 104 al-Gazālī 46, 102 Gelpke, R. 24 George, Saint 124 genuineness; - of poetry 28 GIBB, H.A.R. 47 GIFFEN, L.A. 5

God; — the Friend 2; man and — 81; unity with -4Granada 132 Greco-Roman tradition 83 GRUNEBAUM, G. von 35, 45 al-Ğunayd 102 ğunūn 89 hadīt; collectors of the -28, 29, 30Hadramawt 142 Hāfiz 101 Haidar Āmulī 45 n Наїм, S. 123 Halaf al-Ahmar 30 al-Hallāğ 46, 47 n, 98, 108, 109 Hanafi (law school) 42 al-haqīqa l-muhammadiyya 113 al-Haram 139 Harīš (Mağnūn's clan) 135 Hātim at-Tā'ī 37 hayāl-i hāss (specific imagery) 121 hayma-i kabūd 125 al-Haytam b. cAdiyy 139 HEINRICHS, W. 35 n Hell 42, 46; heaven and - 40 Heraclitus 128, 130 hermetic "sciences" 48 Heroic Age 36 hiğā' 36 al-Hiğāz 138 Нікмат, ^сАlī А. 12 n, 13, 14 HILÄL, M.G. 12n, 13, 14, 15, 16, 81, 98 Hind, the beloved of Bišr 79 Hirā' 68 Holy Grail 112 HUSAYN, Ṭāhā 8, 15, 79, 81, 92, 93 Hwāğa 'Ubaydallāh Ahrār 112, 118 Ibn al-Ahnaf al-cAbbās 142 Ibn 'Arabī, Muhyīddīn 77 n, 78, 79, 80, 94, 101 Ibn Darih; see also Qays b. Darih 64, 71 n Ibn Dāwūd al-Isfahānī 8 n, 49, 50, 61, 62, 98, 99 Ibn Durayd 89 Ibn al-Fārid 107 Ibn al-Gawzī 60 Ibn Masrūq 99 n

157

- Ibn al-Mu^ctazz 53
- Ibn al-Qirriyya 54
- Ibn Qutayba 2, 3, 15 n, 28 n, 35, 38 n, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 61, 62, 91, 129, 135 n
- Ibn Rušd 44
- Ibn Sacd 69

158

- Ibn as-Sarrāğ 60
- Ibn Ţūlūn ad-Dimašqī 60
- igšā' 94
- images 22, 104, 123; tied 105, 106 imagination; creative — and its unity in the triadic modes of vision 38; pure imaginative contemplation 80
- imām 44, 45
- Imra'alqays 92
- INALCIK, Ş 16 n
- inquisition 20; mentality 19
- inspiration 35, 39, 43, 66, 93; in Ğāmī 112, 114
- inspired; divinely 44, 45
- irrational 42, 70, 82, 85, 86
- cIsā (Christ); see Jesus
- al-Isfahānī, Abū l-Farağ 2, 8, 15, 49, 53, 54, 55, 61, 129
- Isḥāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Mauṣilī 59
- *isnād* 28, 30, 42, 43, 50, 54, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61
- ¢išq 59
- išrāqī 46
- Jacobi, R. 7
- Jesus, ^cIsā 101, 119, 122, 124
- Jews, Jewish, Judaic, Judaism 19, 32, 33
- jinni, jinn 24, 33, 36, 39, 40, 85, 89, 90, 95
- Jonas 124
- Joseph; contrasted with Mağnūn 84, 85, 86
- JUNG, C.G. 1, 17 n, 21
- Ka^cba 73 n, 79
- Kabbalistic 67
- kāhin 33
- Karīma (a friend of Mağnūn) 115
- *Kitāb aš-ši^cr* 50, 54, 62, 63
- Koran, Koranic; revelations of the 29, 67; — the Book 28, 31, 33, 37, 38, 39, 40,41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 77,

99; — Şūfi interpretation 4; verses 29 Kračkovskij, I. 15, 50, 57, 58, 59, 60, 79, 81, 129 Kūfa; the philological school of - 30 Kutayyir 'Azza 50 n, 64, 66, 93, 95 Lārī, 'Abdalġafūr 107, 108 Lawā'ih 107 Lawāmi^c 107 Laylā, Laylī passim; — 1-cĀmiriyya 78 Laylī u Mağnūn; — of Gāmī 2, 11, 14, 47, 88, 96, 97, 102, 106, 107; of Nizāmī 12, 24 legend (of Mağnūn), legendary passim LEVEND, A.S. 12n, 13, 14 Lord, A. 3, 6, 7 love, Love 23, 73; — is life itself 73; Christian — 79; Sūfī — 113; pact of -117; divine and human -100 102; - madness 14; - -mad poet 49; ocean of -120; - poet 2, 129; - poetry 5; profane and sacred - 4, 78; theophanic and 'Udrī — 16; the triad of —, madness and poetry 23, 62, 94, 96, 111, 120, 129, 132; secret of - 108 lover, as seen by Plato 18 Luqmān al-Hakīm 37 LYONS, M.C. 6 al-Macarrī 43 madīķ 36 madman, madmen 1, 33 madness 18, 20, 23, 24, 82, 95, 98, 101, 120; - as part of the Mağnūn archetype: see love, triad magic, magical 74; — effect 66; power 30, 89; - tools 43; weapon 34, 48; — use 67 Mağnūn passim; — theme 13, 14, 127 Mahzan al-asrār 106 Maimūn, well of 139 Mālikī (law school) 42 Manāt 32 maniyya 31

magām, magāmāt 84, 120

- Margoliouth, D.S. 15
- Marxist 127, 128, 132
- Maşāri^c al-^cuššāq 49, 83, 89, 94
- Masnavī 107, 113
- mațla^c 112, 113, 123
- matn 54
- MATTOCK, J.N. 6
- Mayya (beloved of Gaylan) 79
- McDonald, M.V. 6
- Mecca 117, 139, 140
- meditation 38, 40, 68
- Medjnoun (protagonist of ARAGON's Le Fou d'Elsa) 132
- metaphor 113, 114; see also symbol MEYEROVITCH, E. 5
- (1) MILLE A
- (al-) Mibradī, Ğamāladdīn 60
- microcosm, microcosmic; self 115; — and macrocosm 113, 128 Minā 139
- Ivina 159
- mișrā^c 110, 111, 123
- monorhyme 67
- Monroe, J. 6
- mosaic; composition 51, 55, 56, 57, 103; — structure of Mağnūn's story 1, 52, 129
- Moses 101, 119
- motif(s) 51, 67, 91, 106, 107, 118, 119, 122 popular — 52, 94 stereotyped — 62; traditional — 113 *mu^callaga* 92
- Mudriğ ar-Rīh 90 n
- Muhammad (the Prophet) 19, 20, 29, 32, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 68; see also: Prophet; — his *mi^crāģ* 43, 113
- Muhammad b. Umayya 63 n
- Mullā Şadrā Šīrāzī 46, 47
- munāğāt 112, 124
- al-Mu'nisa (Mağnūn's main poem) 64
- al-Muraqqiš 101
- Murra 64, 138, 140; Sheikh of the tribe of 55 n
- muruwwa 31, 32, 34, 35, 36
- Mușcab az-Zubayrī 60
- the Muse, the Muses 18, 24, 36
- Muslim (traditionalist) 28
- mystic, mystical; experiences 47;

- experiences in relation to erotic 98; Qays' first — experience 116; - symbolism 12; - dimensions 12, 14; — power 33; — strain 132; the — poet: Ğāmī 111; — melody 114; — romance 2; — drunkenness 119; virtues 119; - tance 4nmysticism 44, 46 Nabatean Lavla 79 Nafahāt al-uns 107 Nağd 55, 77, 138, 139, 140, 142 an-Nāmūs al-A^czam 38 Naqd an-nuşūs 107 Naqšbandiyya 112 narrative 14, 50, 51, 52, 58, 59, 62, 82, 83, 85, 116 nasīb 71, 92 natural law 115 nature 104, 105, 115, 124, 130, 131 Nawfal b. Musāḥiq 63, 126, 137 Neoplatonic strain in sūfism 109, 110, 131 NICHOLSON, R.A. 40 NIETZSCHE, F. 9 night; the - dragon 122, 124 Nizāmī 2, 12, 14, 24, 56, 71, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 112, 114 O'BRIEN-MOORE, A. 83 obsession 4, 77, 89, 95 One, the 1, 109, 110, 111, 113, 129 oral; — formulaic composition 37; — tradition and the unity of the poem 52; — transmission 28 organic; — composition 57; image 111; — relationship 52; unity 6, 51, 55, 97; - form 12 Orpheus 3, 4n, 77 orthodoxy 46, 96 Other, the 1, 2, 128, 130, 131 paradise 21, 22, 40, 42, 76, 132; - on earth 131 PARRY, M. 3, 6 Pascal 131 pīr 112, 113 pīrān-i masālik-i tarīgat 114

159

Plato 18, 20, 47, 78, 98; — Phaedrus

18 n; the poet, lover, madman and philosopher according to
18, 23; the Republic of — 19; *Timaeus* 47

Platonic love in relation to madness 98

Pleiades 90

- poet-oracles; Ğāmī and ARAGON as — 130
- poetic; creativity 108; convention 71, 82; — consciousness 66; — expression 122; — "folly" 82; — imagery 47, 92; — motifs 16, 51, 57, 104; — romance 56, 103; vision identified with madness 24, 66
- poetry 8, 91; oral 7; "unauthentic" — 8; — attributed to Mağnūn 5; — as part of the Mağnūn archetype: see under love; as way for sympathetic vision 23; Mağnūn's — 6, 15, 81
- popular; themes 51; ideas 56; — story telling 56, 96; — mind 48, 59, 61, 129; — imagination 53, 85, 99; — figure 88
- possessed 18, 87
- possessed-possessor relationship 24, 90
- possession 66, 82, 115
- possessor 24, 102
- Potiphar 86
- prophecy 20, 42, 46
- Prophet 18; the Muhammad 1, 4, 19, 29, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 68, 69, 93, 129, 139
- prophet-king 85
- prophetic vision 84
- prosody; classical 95; Arabic 52
- purification; self 122, 130; of the soul through suffering 117, 131 *qadar* 31
- al-Qālī, Abū 'Alī 60
- Qanā 140
- qasīda 6
- qasīd-poets 34
- al-Qaşīda al-hamriyya 107
- Qays (Mağnūn's name in Gāmī's

text) 115, 116, 117, 124, 125

- Qays b. Darīh 50 n, 64, 65, 141
- Qays b. Mu^cād (another name of Mağnūn) 135
- Qays b. al-Mulawwah 11, 61, 101, 129, 135, 137, 139
- Qays b. al-Mulawwah al-Mağnūn wadīwānuh 2, 11, 16, 49
- qibla 123
- qit^ca 7, 8
- quest; for the beloved 122; in life in Ğāmī 107; şūfī — and poetic — 111, 127; the — of Mağnūn 24, 130; spiritual — 112; — for the hidden Water of Life 1, 128
- Qulzum sea (Red Sea) 114
- ar-Rahmān ar-Rahīm 45
- raqs 118
- rastan 124
- rational and irrational 21, 42, 89
- Rationality 44, 88
- *rāwī* 9, 14, 28, 29, 52, 54, 58, 61, 63, 64
- the Real; Gāmī's and ARAGON's conceptions of the — 131, 132
- Reason 19, 88
- rebellion 20, 96
- Republic (Plato's) 19
- Resurrection Day 74
- return; to Nature 21; to the womb 21
- revelation 19, 42, 43, 44, 93; replacing multiplicity of visions 1, 129
- REVERDEN, O. 47
- RIMBAUD, A. 70, 71, 132
- RITTER, H. 15, 16, 81, 104
- ritual 22
- ar-Riyāšī 54
- romance 82; Persian 14
- romantic; dream 76; nostalgia 2, 88; — poetry in relation to religious poetry 98
- Romeo and Juliet of Shakespeare 14 Rousseau, J.J. 19
- Rūmī, Ğalāladdīn 12
- Rūzbihān-i Baqlī Šīrāzī 102
- **Курка, J.** 106

sacaq 94 sabk-i hindī 121 safā' 118 sağ^c 33, 40 Šāhnāma 104 $\bar{s}\bar{a}^{c}ir$ 1, 40, 43, 129 aš-Šām 138 samāc 118 samar 56 as-Samaw'al 32, 37 aš-Sanfara 37 sāqī 109, 111, 114 sar^c 94 as-Sarāt 138 Šarh-i rubā^ciyyāt 107 šarī⁰a 47 as-Sarrāğ, Abū Nașr 49 satan 75; see: šaytān Šathiyyāt 46 šaytān 36, 37, 43, 69, 70, 76, 95 Seal of the Prophets (of prophecy) 20, 43 seer 1, 68 self 20, 21; - the "I" 12; - annihilation 2, 77 Semites 32 Shakespeare, W. 14, 96 aš-Šiblī 46, 93, 94, 99, 102 sihr; — halāl 34 Šīcism 44, 45 sinā^ca 43 singer 55 ši^cr 33 Šīrāzī; see: Mullā Şadrā Šīrāzī social; — alienation 24; — obstacle 116; - restrictions 132; - norms 84, 88, 96, 115, 116 society; Mağnūn's contact with ---115; his alienation from - 50, 117, see also alienation; human - 117 Sokrates 20 Song of Songs 11, 127 soothsayer, soothsaying 40, 41 soul; individual — 22; — of the universe 22 spiral; the - movement of Mağnūn's way 112, 115, 120, 122 spirit-world 33, 34, 35, 39, 45, 69

stereotype(s) 82, 103, 110 stereotyped; - motifs 7; prosody 7; — values 8; — description 36 story-teller 103 subhdam 123 suffering: creative — 109, 118, 119 sūf 118 șūfi, passim Şūfism 14, 46, 47, 48, 58 šuhūd 102 sunna 44 sunnī (law schools) 44 supernatural 43 sūra; the very first -38surrealism, -stic, -ist 128, 131, 132 symbol 2, 23, 66, 67, 69, 92, 105, 106, 110, 111, 113, 132; literary -s 20 symbolic 48, 82, 87, 101; - ambiguity 2; — channels 22; — allusions 4; — dimensions 8; imagery 114; - patterns 17 symbolism 88, 93, 94, 97, 98, 103, 107, 108, 116, 118, 122, 124, 130 syncretism 41 tabc 43 tağallī 102 (at-) Takmila 107 tarāna 118 tarīga 118 tasawwuf 118 tawahhuš 87 Tawbād mountain 87 n ta'wīl 4, 43, 45, 99 Taymā' 138, 142 Tazyīn al-aswāq 15 thematic; — approach 16, 17; studies 13 Throne, the Supreme 119 Timdayn 65 time, Time 76, 132, 137 Tora 79, 101 totality of Being 110; Mağnūn as symbol of reconciliation with ---23, 115; loss of - 21, 22 tradition, Tradition 42, 43, 44, 129 traditional 104; - images 106 transmitter 59 Triad, Dreiklang (fundamental unity

161

of love, madness and poetry in Mağnūn's character) 3, 23, 25, 95; — in Ğāmī 107

- triadic communication with the Beloved 112
- Truth, the absolute 47
- ^c*U*<u>d</u>*rī* 4, 14, 15, 16, 64, 66, 77, 92, 94, 96, 100
- 'Umar b. Abī Rabī'a 66n
- Umayyad 44
- Umma 44, 45
- Umm Mālik (one of Laylā's nicknames) 72
- unconscious 82, 89; collective 8
- union; with the World 22; with the Absolute 22; mystic — 23
- unity; of Being 110; of composition 51, 103; — with the Divine 120; — with nature 85, 87
- ^cUqayl b. Ka^cb b. Rabī^ca (Mağnūn's clan) 135
- ^cUrwa b. Hizām 50 n
- ^cUrwa b. al-Ward 37
- al-cUzzā 32
- VADET, J.-C. 24, 82
- vision 18, 38, 39, 40, 89, 91, 115, 116, 119, 124, 125; beatific — 80, 101; poetic — 11, 20, 51, 66;

poetry and ecstatic - 68, 69, 81, 92, 94, 96, 119, 120, 121; poetry and madness as tools for - 76; prophetic - 23; - of reality 19, 20 visionary freedom 85 al-Wālibī, Abū Bakr 2, 11, 16, 25, 49, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 71, 79, 91, 97, 98, 111, 128, 129 Waraqa b. Nawfal 38, 39 wasf 8 al-Waššā' 71 n, 74 Water of Life 1, 112, 116, 120, 129 WEISWEILER, M. 12 wheel of time 119 WHEELRIGHT, Ph. 130 whole, the 128; becoming one with ----112, 117, 130; Mağnūn dissolves into the — 111, 115 wine 110, 112, 114 Word of God 29, 37, 43, 44, 45, 46 zāhid, zuhhād 102 zāhir 45 Zāhirī; — interpretation 98 zahm 110 az-Zahra, Kitāb 8 n, 49, 53, 62, 98, 99 Zuhayr b. Abī Sulmā 32 Zulayhā 85, 86

ZWETTLER, M.6

BEIRUTER TEXTE UND STUDIEN

HERAUSGEGEBEN VOM ORIENT-INSTITUT DER DEUTSCHEN MORGENLÄNDISCHEN GESELLSCHAFT

- MICHEL JIHA: Der arabische Dialekt von Bišmizzin. Volkstümliche Texte aus einem libanesischen Dorf mit Grundzügen der Laut- und Formenlehre. 1964. XVII, 185 S. DM 14,—
- BERNHARD LEWIN: Arabische Texte im Dialekt von Hama, mit Einleitung und Glossar. 1966. *48*, 230 S. DM 16,—
- 3. THOMAS PHILIPP: Ğurğī Zaidān, his life and thought. 1979. XI, 250 S. DM 27,-
- 4. CABD AL-GANĪ AN-NĀBULUSĪ: At-tuḥfa an-nābulusīya fī r-riḥla aṭ-ṭarābulusīya. Hrsg. u. eingel. von Heribert Busse. 1971. 10 S. dt. Text, *34*, 133 S. arab. Text. DM 16,—
- 5. BABER JOHANSEN: Muḥammad Ḥusain Haikal. Europa und der Orient im Weltbild eines ägyptischen Liberalen. 1967. XIX, 259 S. DM 18,—
- HERIBERT BUSSE: Chalif und Großkönig. Die Buyiden im Iraq (945-1055). 1969. XII, 610 S., 6 Tafeln, 2 Karten. DM 64,—
- JOSEF VAN ESS: Traditionistische Polemik gegen ^cAmr b. ^cUbaid. Zu einer Schrift des ^cAlī b. ^cUmar ad-Dāraquinī. 1967. 74 S. dt. Text, 14 S. arab. Text, 2 Tafeln. DM 12,—
- WOLFHART HEINRICHS: Arabische Dichtung und griechische Poetik. Hāzim al-Qarţāğannīs Grundlegung der Poetik mit Hilfe aristotelischer Begriffe. 1969. 289 S. DM 24,—
- 9. STEFAN WILD: Libanesische Ortsnamen. Typologie und Deutung. 1973. XII, 391 S. DM 48,-
- 10. GERHARD ENDRESS: Proclus Arabus. Zwanzig Abschnitte aus der Institutio Theologica in arabischer Übersetzung. 1973. 348 S. dt. Text, 90 S. arab. Text. DM 58,—
- 11. JOSEF VAN ESS: Frühe mu^ctazilitische Häresiographie. Zwei Werke des Nāši³ al-Akbar (gest. 293 H.). 1971. XII, 185 S. dt. Text, 134 S. arab. Text. DM 34,—
- 12. DOROTHEA DUDA: Innenarchitektur syrischer Stadthäuser des 16.-18. Jh. Die Sammlung Henri Pharaon in Beirut. 1971. VI, 176 S., 88 Taf., 6 Farbtaf., DM 70,—
- 13. WERNER DIEM: Skizzen jemenitischer Dialekte. 1973. IX, 166 S. DM 28,50
- 14. JOSEF VAN Ess: Anfänge muslimischer Theologie. Zwei antiqadaritische Traktate aus dem ersten Jahrhundert der Hiğra. 1977. XI, 280 S. dt. Text, 57 S. arab. Text. DM 48,—
- 15. GREGOR SCHOELER: Arabische Naturdichtung. Die zahrīyāt, rabī^cīyāt und raudīyāt von ihren Anfängen bis aş-Ṣanaubarī. 1974. XII, 371 S. DM 54,—

BEIRUTER TEXTE UND STUDIEN

HERAUSGEGEBEN VOM ORIENT-INSTITUT DER DEUTSCHEN MORGENLÄNDISCHEN GESELLSCHAFT

- HEINZ GAUBE: Ein arabischer Palast in Südsyrien. Hirbet el-Baida. 1974. XIII, 156 S., 14 Taf., 3 Klappläne, 12 Textabb. DM 24,—
- HEINZ GAUBE: Arabische Inschriften aus Syrien. 1978. XXII, 202 S., 19 Taf. DM 45,—
- 18. GERNOT ROTTER: Muslimische Inseln vor Ostafrika. Eine Komoren-Chronik des 19. Jahrhunderts. 1976. XII, 106 S. dt. Text, 116 S. arab. Text. DM 28,—
- 19. HANS DAIBER: Das theologisch-philosophische System des Mu^cammar Ibn ^cAbbād as-Sulamī (gest. 830 n. Chr.). 1975. XII, 604 S. DM 76,—
- 20. WERNER ENDE: Arabische Nation und islamische Geschichte. Die Umayyaden im Urteil arabischer Autoren des 20. Jahrhunderts. 1977. XIII, 309 S. DM 56,-
- 22. ULRICH HAARMANN / PETER BACHMANN, Hrsg.: Die islamische Welt zwischen Mittelalter und Neuzeit. Festschrift für Hans Robert Roemer zum 65. Geburtstag. 1979. XVI, 702 S. DM 98,—
- 23. ROTRAUD WIELANDT: Das Bild der Europäer in der modernen arabischen Erzähl- und Theaterliteratur. 1980. XXVII, 652 S.
- 24. REINHARD WEIPERT: Der Dīwān des Rācī an-Numairī. 1980.
- As^cAD E. KHAIRALLAH: Love, Madness, and Poetry. An interpretation of the Mağnūn Legend. 1980. 363 S.

WEITERE VERÖFFENTLICHUNGEN DES ORIENT-INSTITUTS

HELLMUT RITTER: Ţūrōyo. Die Volkssprache der syrischen Christen des Ţūr ¿abdîn.
A: Texte, Band I. 1967. *43*, 609 S. DM 68.— Band II. 1969. *23*, 697 S.
DM 68.— Band III. 1971. *26*, 704 S. DM 68.— B: Wörterbuch. 1979.
23, 606 S. DM 56.—