Low skeletal muscle mass predicts

Ther Adv Med Oncol

2021, Vol. 13: 1-14 DOI: 10.1177/ 17588359211008844

© The Author(s), 2021. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Alexey Suroy 🕩 and Andreas Wienke 🕩

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this meta-analysis was to analyze the influence of sarcopenia, defined as low skeletal muscle mass, on clinical outcomes in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) based on a large sample.

relevant clinical outcomes in head and neck

squamous cell carcinoma. A meta analysis

Methods: The MEDLINE, EMBASE, and SCOPUS databases were screened for associations between sarcopenia and clinical outcomes in HNSCC up to December 2020. Overall, 27 studies met the inclusion criteria. The methodological quality of the studies involved was checked according to the QUADAS instrument. The meta-analysis was undertaken using RevMan 5.3 software. DerSimonian and Laird random-effects models with inverse-variance weights were used to account for heterogeneity between the studies.

Results: The 27 included studies comprised 7704 patients with different HNSCCs. The cumulative calculated frequency among the studies was 42.0% [95% confidence interval [CI] 35.34-48.65]. Sarcopenia was associated with occurrence of severe postoperative complications, odds ratio (OR) 4.79, 95% CI (2.52–9.11), p < 0.00001. Sarcopenia predicted disease-free survival (DFS), simple regression: hazard ratio (HR) 2.00, 95% CI (1.63–2.45), p < 0.00001, multiple regression: HR 1.64, 95% CI (1.33–2.03), p < 0.00001. Also, sarcopenia was associated with lower overall survival (OS), simple regression: HR 1.96, 95% CI (1.71–2.24). p < 0.00001, multiple regression: HR = 1.87, 95% CI (1.53–2.29), p < 0.00001. In patients who underwent definitive chemotherapy and/or radiation, sarcopenia predicted lower OS (simple regression), HR 1.95, 95% CI (1.61–2.36), p < 0.00001, multiple regression: HR = 1.51, 95% CI (1.17-1.94), p < 0.002). In patients with primary surgical strategy with or without adjuvant radiochemotherapy, sarcopenia was associated with lower OS (simple regression), HR 2.21, 95% CI (1.72-2.84), p < 0.00001, multiple regression: HR = 2.05, 95% CI (1.55-2.72), p < 0.00001. **Conclusion:** The cumulative prevalence of sarcopenia in HNSCC is 42.0%. Sarcopenia is an independent risk factor for OS and DFS in patients with HNSCC who undergo curative therapy. Sarcopenia is associated with the occurrence of severe postoperative complications.

Keywords: head and neck cancer, overall survival, sarcopenia

Received: 9 October 2020; revised manuscript accepted: 15 March 2021.

Introduction

Sarcopenia is a condition defined as a syndrome associated with loss of muscle mass and strength as well as decreased physical performance.¹ In clinical practice, low skeletal muscle mass (LSMM) on computed tomography (CT) is used as a surrogate marker of sarcopenia.^{2–4} LSMM is a prognostic biomarker predicting disease outcome in different

malignancies.^{2–7} So far, it has been shown that sarcopenic patients have higher rates of postoperative major cardiac and/or pulmonary complications in gastric cancer.² In breast cancer, patients with sarcopenia had more grade 3–5 toxicity under chemotherapy compared with non-sarcopenic patients.³ In surgically treated non-small cell lung cancer, patients with sarcopenia had a lower 5-year overall

Correspondence to: Alexey Surov

Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Otto-von-Guericke-University of Magdeburg, Leipziger Str. 44, Magdeburg, 39112, Germany

alexey.surov@med. ovgu.de

Andreas Wienke Institute of Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Informatics, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Sachsen-Anhalt, Germany

journals.sagepub.com/home/tam



Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

survival (OS) rate [risk ratio (RR)=1.63, 95% confidence interval (CI) = (1.13, 2.33); p = 0.008].⁴ In addition, sarcopenia was associated with a lower 5-year disease-free survival (DFS) rate [RR=1.59, 95% CI= (1.01, 2.52); p = 0.046].⁴ Similar results were also reported for pancreatic cancer,⁵ hepatocellular carcinoma,⁶ urothelial carcinoma,⁷ hematological malignancies,⁸ and ovarian cancer.⁹ Loss of skeletal muscle mass during neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy in rectal cancer patients is an independent prognostic factor for DFS and distant metastasis-free survival following curative intent resection.¹⁰ Some authors indicated that sarcopenia defined as LSMM can also play an essential role also in HNSCC.^{11,12}

The purpose of this meta-analysis was to analyze the influence of LSMM on OS in patients with HNSCC based on a large sample.

Materials and methods

Data acquisition

The MEDLINE library, and Cochrane, EMBASE, and SCOPUS databases were screened for the presence of sarcopenia in HNSCC and associations between LSMM and clinically relevant outcomes like survival, occurrence of complications, and therapy toxicity up to December 2020 (Figure 1).

For data acquisition, the following search criteria were used: "sarcopenia OR low skeletal muscle mass OR body composition AND head neck cancer OR head and neck squamous cell carcinoma OR neck cancer"

The primary search identified 1366 items. Inclusion criteria for the meta analysis were:

- human studies including patients with HNSCC of different origins;
- investigation of pretreatment status of the skeletal musculature by staging computed tomography (CT);
- English language.

Exclusion criteria were:

- Duplicate articles;
- review articles;
- experimental studies used animal models;
- case reports;
- non-English language.

Overall, 1339 articles were excluded and 27 items were included in the analysis. The included 27 articles provided information regarding prevalence of sarcopenia and/or the influence of sarcopenia on complications and survival in patients with HNSCC.^{13–39}

The following data were extracted from the included studies: authors, year of publication, diagnosis, number of patients, prevalence of sarcopenia, and statistical data about influence of sarcopenia on clinical outcomes [hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI]. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement was used for this research.⁴⁰

Meta-analysis

The methodological quality of the 27 included studies was checked by one observer (AS) using the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Studies (QUADAS) instrument.⁴¹ Figure 2 shows the QUADAS results.

The meta-analysis was undertaken using RevMan 5.3 (Computer program, version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, the Cochrane Collaboration, 2014).^{42,43} Heterogeneity was calculated by means of the inconsistency index I². Furthermore, DerSimonian and Laird random-effects models with inverse-variance weights were performed without corrections, as reported previously.⁴⁴

Results

Included studies and patients

The 27 studies collected were published predominantly in the years 2019-2020 (n=20, 74%). Most were retrospective (n=24, 89%), with only three studies (11%) of prospective design. The included studies comprised 7704 patients (Table 1). There were 1666 women (21.6%) and 5847 men (75.9%) with a mean age of 62.4 ± 24.8 years. In 191 (2.5%) patients, gender was not reported. The patients had different HNSCC (Table 2). Most frequently, HNSCC of the nasopharynx occurred (n=3633, 47.1%).

In all cases, pretreatment CT images were analyzed for estimation of muscle mass. In most cases (27 studies, 93%), pretreatment skeletal muscle index (SMI) was calculated as a relation: skeletal





HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; PRISMA, preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses.



Figure 2. QUADAS-2 quality assessment of the included studies. QUADAS, quality assessment of diagnostic studies.

Authors	Design	Patients (<i>n</i>)	Analyzed clinical values
Achim et al. ¹³	Retrospective	70	Prevalence
Alwani <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴	Retrospective	168	Prevalence, postoperative complications
Ansari <i>et al</i> . ¹⁵	Retrospective	78	Prevalence, DFS, OS, postoperative complications
Bril <i>et al</i> . ¹⁶	Retrospective	235	Prevalence, postoperative complications, OS
Caburet <i>et al</i> . ¹⁷	Retrospective	68	Prevalence
Chargi <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸	Retrospective	85	Prevalence, OS
Cho et al. ¹⁹	Retrospective	221	Prevalence, OS
Choi <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	Retrospective	79	Prevalence, OS
Fattouh <i>et al.</i> ²¹	Retrospective	114	OS
Findlay et al. ²²	Retrospective	79	Prevalence, OS
Ganju <i>et al.</i> ²³	Retrospective	246	Prevalence, OS
Grossberg <i>et al.</i> ²⁴	Retrospective	190	Prevalence, OS
He et al. ²⁵	Prospective	1767	Prevalence, OS
Hua et al. ²⁶	Retrospective	862	Prevalence, OS
Huang et al.27	Prospective	394	Prevalence
Huiskamp <i>et al.</i> ²⁸	Retrospective	91	Prevalence, DFS, OS
Jung et al. ²⁹	Retrospective	258	Prevalence, DFS, OS
Nakamura <i>et al</i> . ³⁰	Retrospective	106	Prevalence, OS
Nishikawa <i>et al</i> . ³¹	Retrospective	85	Prevalence
Olson <i>et al.</i> ³²	Retrospective	245	Prevalence
Pai <i>et al.</i> ³³	Retrospective	881	Prevalence, OS
Schodo <i>et al.</i> ³⁴	Retrospective	41	Prevalence
Stone <i>et al.</i> ³⁵	Retrospective	260	Prevalence, OS
Tamaki <i>et al.</i> ³⁶	Retrospective	113	Prevalence, DFS, OS
van Rijn-Dekker <i>et al.</i> ³⁷	Prospective	744	Prevalence, DFS, OS
Wendrich et al. ³⁸	Retrospective	112	Prevalence
Zwart <i>et al</i> . ³⁹	Retrospective	112	Prevalence
DFS, disease-free survival; OS, ov	erall survival.		

Table	1.	Details	of	included	studies
		D 0 (0.100	•••		0.000

muscle area divided by the square of the height (cm^2/m^2) . In detail, in 18 studies (62%), skeletal muscle area was estimated at the third lumbar

vertebra. In nine cases (31%), skeletal muscle area was estimated at the third cervical vertebra, and, thereafter, it was converted *via* a special

Patients	n (%)
Total	7704
Female	1666 (21.6)
Male	5847 (75.9)
nr	191 (2.5)
Tumor localization	n (%)
Oral cavity	463 (6.0)
Nasopharynx	3633 (47.1)
Oropharynx	1555 (20.2)
Hypopharynx	490 (6.4)
Larynx	813 (10.6)
Salivary glands	21 (0.3)
Paranasal sinuses	19 (0.2)
Other (non specified)	710 (9.2)
Tumor stage	n (%)
1	302 (3.9)
2	693 (9.0)
3	2092 (27.1)
4	2655 (34.5)
nr	1962 (25.5)
nr, not reported.	

 Table 2.
 Data regarding patients and tumors.

equation to the skeletal muscle area at L3. Different threshold values of SMI were used for the definition of sarcopenia (Table 3). In the remaining two studies (7%), only skeletal muscle areas were estimated.

In most cases (26 studies, 7619 patients) different curative treatments were performed (Table 3). In one study (85 patients), a heterogeneous cohort with both curative and palliative treatment strategies was analyzed.

Prevalence of sarcopenia

The prevalence of sarcopenia was reported in 26 studies (7590 patients). It ranged from 6.6% to 77%. The cumulative calculated prevalence

among all included studies was 42.0% CI95% (35.34–48.65) (Figure 3a).

At the next step, the prevalence of sarcopenia in dependency on the reported SMI thresholds was calculated. In the subgroups that used thresholds of $52.4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for male patients and $38.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for female patients (seven studies, 1312 patients), the cumulative calculated prevalence among the studies was 44.29% I95%C (24.24-64.35) (Figure 3b). In the subgroups that used thresholds of $41.0-45.2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for all patients (10 studies, 1545 patients), the cumulative calculated prevalence among the studies was 50.41% 95% CI (41.54-59.27) (Figure 3c).

The remaining studies used different threshold values and, therefore, no other subgroups could be composed.

Postoperative complications

For this subanalysis, only reported data on the occurrence of severe complications according to the Clavien–Dindo classification of surgical complications were collected. Associations between the presence of preoperative sarcopenia and occurrence of postoperative complications were analyzed in three studies (481 patients with HNSCC). Simple regression of the collected data showed that sarcopenia was associated with occurrence of severe (three or more points according to the Clavien-Dindo classification) postoperative complications, OR 4.79, 95% CI (2.52–9.11), p < 0.00001 (Figure 4). Heterogeneity between the studies was low ($I^2 = 19\%$).

Disease-free survival

Associations between sarcopenia and DFS were investigated in five studies (1284 patients). Different curative treatment strategies were performed in the acquired studies. Simple regression of the acquired data showed that sarcopenia predicted DFS in patients with HNSCC, HR 2.00, 95% CI (1.63–2.45), p < 0.00001 (Figure 5a). There was no heterogeneity between the included studies ($I^2 = 0\%$).

Also, multiple regression identified that sarcopenia predicted DFS, HR 1.64, 95% CI (1.33– 2.03), p < 0.00001 (Figure 5b). There was no heterogeneity between the acquired studies $(I^2=0\%)$.

Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology 13

Table 3. Thresholds of LSMM and treatment strategies performed in the included studies.

Authors	Performed treatment	Threshold values	for LSMM
		Men	Women
Achim <i>et al</i> . ¹³	Surgery alone (total laryngectomy)	52.4 cm ^{2/m2}	38.5 cm ^{2/m2}
Alwani <i>et al</i> .14	Surgery alone	41.6 cm ^{2/m2}	$32.0cm^{2/m^2}$
Ansari <i>et al</i> . ¹⁵	Surgery alone	$43.2cm^{2/m^2}$	$43.2cm^{2/m2}$
Bril <i>et al</i> . ¹⁶	Surgery alone (total laryngectomy)	$43.2cm^{2/m^2}$	$43.2cm^{2/m^2}$
Caburet <i>et al</i> . ¹⁷	Surgery alone	$52.4cm^{2/m^2}$	$38.5cm^{2/m^2}$
Chargi <i>et al</i> . ¹⁸	Curative treatments, non specified	$43.2cm^{2/m^2}$	$43.2cm^{2/m^2}$
Cho et al. ¹⁹	Concurrent CRT or definitive radiotherapy alone	$55cm^{2/m^2}$	$39cm^{2/m^2}$
Choi <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	Definitive RT	605.77 cm ³	445.42 cm ³
Fattouh <i>et al.</i> ²¹	Surgery and CRT	52.4 cm2/m ²	$38.5cm^{2/m^2}$
Findlay et al. ²²	Curative treatments: definitive RT, surgery and adjuvant CRT or RT; definitive CRT	$43cm^{2/m^2}$	41 cm ^{2/m2}
Ganju <i>et al.</i> ²³	Curative treatment: surgery and adjuvant CRT or RT	43 cm ^{2/m2}	41 cm ^{2/m2}
Grossberg <i>et al.</i> ²⁴	Curative treatment: definitive RT, surgery and adjuvant CRT or RT; definitive CRT	$52.4 cm^{2/m^2}$	38.5 cm ^{2/m2}
He et al. ²⁵	Definitive RT, surgery and adjuvant CRT or RT; definitive CRT	BMI adjusted ^a	BMI adjusted ^a
Hua et al. ²⁶	Concurrent CRT	$18.82 cm^{2/m^2}$	$18.82 cm^{2/m^2}$
Huang et al.27	Concurrent CRT	$42.4cm^{2/m^2}$	$42.4cm^{2/m2}$
Huiskamp <i>et al</i> . ²⁸	Concomitant cetuximab and RT	$45.2cm^{2/m^2}$	$45.2cm^{2/m^2}$
Jung et al. ²⁹	Definitive treatments: surgery alone; surgery and RT/CRT; RT alone/CRT	$52.4cm^{2/m^2}$	38.5 cm ^{2/m2}
Nakamura <i>et al</i> . ³⁰	Surgery alone	$36.16 cm^{2/m^2}$	$31.02 cm^{2/m^2}$
Nishikawa <i>et al</i> . ³¹	Definitive treatments: surgery alone; RT alone; CRT	$46.7cm^{2/m^2}$	$30.3cm^{2/m^2}$
Olson <i>et al.</i> ³²	Definitive treatments: surgery alone; RT alone	$52.4 cm^{2/m^2}$	$38.5cm^{2/m^2}$
Pai <i>et al.</i> ³³	Definitive treatments: RT alone; CRT	$51.74 cm^{2/m^2}$	$34.3cm^{2/m^2}$
Schodo <i>et al</i> . ³⁴	Concurrent CRT	$39.7 cm^{2/m^2}$	39.7 cm ^{2/m2}
Stone et al.35	Surgery alone	$52.4cm^{2/m^2}$	$38.5cm^{2/m^2}$
Tamaki <i>et al</i> . ³⁶	Curative treatment: definitive RT, surgery and adjuvant CRT or RT; definitive CRT	BMI adjusted ^b	41 cm ^{2/m2}
van Rijn-Dekker <i>et al.</i> 37	Concurrent CRT or definitive RT alone	42.4 cm ^{2/m2}	$30.6cm^{2/m^2}$
Wendrich et al. ³⁸	CRT	43.2 cm ^{2/m2}	$43.2cm^{2/m^2}$
Zwart et al.39	not reported	43.2 cm ^{2/m2}	43.2 cm ^{2/m2}

 $^{\circ}$ For patients with BMI $< 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$, sarcopenia was defined as an SMI of $< 52 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$. For men and $< 38 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for women. For patients with BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$, sarcopenia was defined as an SMI of $< 54 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for men and $< 47 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for women.

^bFor males, $SMI < 43 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ is defined as sarcopenic if the patient is in the BMI category of underweight ($<20.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$) or normal weight ($20.0 - 24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$). Overweight ($25.0 - 29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and obese ($>30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$) men are considered sarcopenic with an $SMI < 41 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$. For females, all BMI categories are defined as sarcopenic if SMI is $<41 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$.

BMI, body mass index; CRT, chemo-radiotherapy; LSMM, low skeletal muscle mass; RT, radiotherapy; SMI, skeletal muscle index.

()					proportion	proportion
(a) _	Study or Subgroup	proportion	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
	Achim 2017	77.1	5.02	3.7%	77.10 [67.26, 86.94]	
	Alwani 2020	28	3.46	3.9%	28.00 [21.22, 34.78]	
	Ansari 2020	61.5	5.51	3.7%	61.50 [50.70, 72.30]	
	Bril 2019	46.4	3.25	3.9%	46.40 [40.03, 52.77]	-
	Caburet 2020	52.9	6.05	3.6%	52.90 [41.04, 64.76]	
	Chargi 2019	48.2	5.42	3.7%	48.20 [37.58, 58.82]	
	Cho 2018	29	3.05	3.9%	29.00 [23.02, 34.98]	-
	Choi 2020	13.9	3.9	3.9%	13.90 [6.26, 21.54]	
	Findlay 2020	53.2	5.61	3.7%	53.20 [42.20, 64.20]	
	Ganju 2019	58.1	3.15	3.9%	58.10 [51.93, 64.27]	-
	Grossberg 2016	35.3	3.47	3.9%	35.30 [28.50, 42.10]	
	He 2020	38.7	1.16	4.0%	38.70 [36.43, 40.97]	
	Hua 2020	19.7	1.36	4.0%	19.70 [17.03, 22.37]	+
	Huang 2019	33	2.37	4.0%	33.00 [28.35, 37.65]	-
	Huiskamp 2020	74.7	4.56	3.8%	74.70 [65.76, 83.64]	
	Jung 2019	6.6	1.54	4.0%	6.60 [3.58, 9.62]	T
	Nakamura 2019	32.1	4.53	3.8%	32.10 [23.22, 40.98]	
	Nishikawa 2018	45.9	5.4	3.7%	45.90 [35.32, 56.48]	
	Olson 2020	55.1	3.18	3.9%	55.10 [48.87, 61.33]	
	Pai 2018	50.1	1.68	4.0%	50.10 [46.81, 53.39]	
	Shodo 2020	26.8	6.92	3.5%	26.80 [13.24, 40.36]	
	Stone 2019	55.4	3.08	3.9%	55.40 [49.36, 61.44]	
	Tamaki 2019	28.3	4.24	3.8%	28.30 [19.99, 36.61]	
	van Rijn-Dekker 2020	25.4	1.6	4.0%	25.40 [22.26, 28.54]	-
	Wendrich 2017	54.5	4.71	3.8%	54.50 [45.27, 63.73]	
	Zwart 2019	48.2	4.72	3.8%	48.20 [38.95, 57.45]	
	Total (95% CI)			100.0%	42 00 [35 34 48 65]	
	Hotorogonoity: Tau ² -	283 12· Chi2 -	1004	75 df - 25	$(P < 0.0001)$; $I^2 = 0.8\%$	
	Tost for overall offect:	7 - 12 37 /D -	0 0000	10, ui – 20 11)	(1 < 0.00001), 1 = 30%	-100 -50 0 50 100
	Test for overall effect.	2 - 12.37 (P <	0.0000)))		
(h)					proportion	proportion
<u>, (</u> ,	Study or Subgroup	proportion	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
	Achim 2017	77.1	5.02	14.1%	77.10 [67.26, 86.94]	
	Caburet 2020	52.9	6.05	13.9%	52.90 [41.04, 64.76]	
	Cho 2018	29	3.05	14.4%	29.00 [23.02, 34.98]	-
	Grossberg 2016	35.3	3.47	14.3%	35.30 [28.50, 42.10]	
	Jung 2019	6.6	1.54	14.5%	6.60 [3.58, 9.62]	+
	Olson 2020	55.1	3.18	14.4%	55.10 [48.87, 61.33]	
	Stone 2019	55.4	3.08	14.4%	55.40 [49.36, 61.44]	-
	Total (95% CI)			100.0%	44 29 [24 24 64 35]	
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	717.97: Chi ² =	461.9	99. df = 6	$(P < 0.00001); ^2 = 99\%$	
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.33 (P <	0.0001)	(· · · · · ·), · · · · ·	-100 -50 0 50 100
					proportion	proportion
(c)	Study or Subgroup	proportion	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
	Ansari 2020	61.5	5.51	9.5%	61.50 [50.70, 72.30]	
	Bril 2019	46.4	3.25	10.5%	46.40 [40.03, 52.77]	
	Chargi 2019	48.2	5.42	9.5%	48.20 [37.58. 58.82]	
	Findlay 2020	53.2	5.61	9.5%	53.20 [42.20. 64.20]	
	Ganiu 2019	58 1	3.15	10.5%	58.10 [51.93 64 27]	
	Huang 2019	33	2.37	10.7%	33.00 [28.35 37 65]	
	Huiskamp 2020	74 7	4.56	9.9%	74.70 [65.76 83 64]	
	Tamaki 2019	28.3	4.24	10.1%	28.30 [19.99 36 61]	
	Wendrich 2017	5/ 5	4 71	Q Q%	54 50 [45 27 63 73]	_ _
	Zwart 2019	48.2	4.72	9.9%	48.20 [38.95, 57.45]	
						•
	I otal (95% CI)	101 00- 04:2	. 1 1 4 4	100.0%	50.41 [41.54, 59.27]	
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 11.14 (P <	0.000	19, ui – 9 (101)	r > 0.00001), r = 92%	-100 -50 0 50 100
		`		'		

Figure 3. Forest plots of reported prevalences of sarcopenia in patients with HNSCC. (a) Cumulative calculated prevalence among all studies. (b) Cumulative calculated prevalence among studies that used thresholds of $52.4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for male patients and $38.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for female patients. (c) Cumulative calculated prevalence among studies that used thresholds of $41.0-45.2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$.

CI, confidence interval; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; SE, standard error.

				Odds Ratio		Odds	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Rando	m, 95% Cl	
Alwani 2020	2.071	0.453	40.1%	7.93 [3.26, 19.28]				<u> </u>
Ansari 2020	0.964	0.544	29.9%	2.62 [0.90, 7.62]		-		
Bril 2019	1.496	0.543	30.0%	4.46 [1.54, 12.94]				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	4.79 [2.52, 9.11]			•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).06; Chi² = 2.48, df	= 2 (P	= 0.29); l ²	^e = 19%	1			
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 4.78 (P < 0.000	01)			0.05	0.2 1	S	20

Figure 4. Forest plots of reported HRs of sarcopenia regarding to occurrence of severe postoperative complications (three or more points according to the Clavien–Dindo classification) in patients with HNSCC. CI, confidence interval; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; SE, standard error.

(a)				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Ansari 2020	0.693	0.457	5.2%	2.00 [0.82, 4.90]	
Huiskamp 2020	0.884	0.617	2.8%	2.42 [0.72, 8.11]	
Jung 2019	0.85	0.354	8.6%	2.34 [1.17, 4.68]	
Tamaki 2019	0.642	0.359	8.4%	1.90 [0.94, 3.84]	
van Rijn-Dekker 2020	0.673	0.12	75.0%	1.96 [1.55, 2.48]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	2.00 [1.63, 2.45]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	00; Chi² = 0.34, df =	4 (P = 0	.99); l² = (0% –	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.67 (P < 0.00001)				0.2 0.5 1 2 5
(b)				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Ansari 2020	0.642	0.446	5.9%	1.90 [0.79, 4.55]	
Huiskamp 2020	1.331	0.852	1.6%	3.78 [0.71, 20.10]	
Jung 2019	1.118	0.458	5.6%	3.06 [1.25, 7.51]	
Tamaki 2019	0.655	0.355	9.3%	1.93 [0.96, 3.86]	

 van Rijn-Dekker 2020
 0.401 0.123 77.6% 1.49 [1.17, 1.90]

 Total (95% Cl)
 100.0%
 1.64 [1.33, 2.03] \bullet

 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 3.71, df = 4 (P = 0.45); l² = 0%
 0.05 0.2 1.52

 Test for overall effect: Z = 4.56 (P < 0.00001)</td>
 0.05 0.2 1.52 20

Figure 5. Forest plots of reported HRs of sarcopenia relating to DFS in patients with HNSCC. (a) Unadjusted HRs. (b) Adjusted HRs.

CI, confidence interval; DFS, disease-free survival; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; SE, standard error.

Overall survival

In 18 studies (6388 patients), relationships between sarcopenia and OS in HNSCC were analyzed. Sarcopenia was associated with lower OS (simple regression), HR 1.96, 95% CI (1.71– 2.24), p < 0.00001 (Figure 6a). Heterogeneity between the studies was low ($I^2 = 24\%$).

Furthermore, adjusted HRs of sarcopenia were studied. Meta-analysis (multiple regression)

identified that adjusted sarcopenia was also associated with lower OS, HR=1.87, 95% CI (1.53–2.29), p < 0.008 (Figure 6b). Heterogeneity among the studies was 52%.

On the next step, associations between pretreatment sarcopenia and OS in dependency on treatment strategy were analyzed. In six studies (2878 patients), definitive chemotherapy and/or radiation was performed. In this subgroup, sarcopenia

(a)				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Ansari 2020	0.788	0.359	3.1%	2.20 [1.09, 4.44]	
Bril 2019	0.796	0.177	9.4%	2.22 [1.57, 3.14]	
Chargi 2019	1.03	0.459	2.0%	2.80 [1.14, 6.89]	
Cho 2018	0.457	0.219	7.0%	1.58 [1.03, 2.43]	
Choi 2020	1.131	0.478	1.9%	3.10 [1.21, 7.91]	
Findlay 2020	0.688	0.405	2.5%	1.99 [0.90, 4.40]	
Ganju 2019	0.713	0.258	5.5%	2.04 [1.23, 3.38]	
Grossberg 2016	0.65	0.245	5.9%	1.92 [1.19, 3.10]	
He 2020	0.214	0.194	8.3%	1.24 [0.85, 1.81]	-+
Hua 2020	1.12	0.248	5.8%	3.06 [1.89, 4.98]	
Huang 2019	0.104	0.304	4.2%	1.11 [0.61, 2.01]	
Huiskamp 2020	0.897	0.382	2.8%	2.45 [1.16, 5.18]	
Jung 2019	1.176	0.303	4.2%	3.24 [1.79, 5.87]	
Nishikawa 2018	1.224	0.454	2.1%	3.40 [1.40, 8.28]	
Pai 2018	0.511	0.113	15.1%	1.67 [1.34, 2.08]	
Stone 2019	0.967	0.408	2.5%	2.63 [1.18, 5.85]	
Tamaki 2019	0.655	0.338	3.5%	1.93 [0.99, 3.73]	· · · · ·
van Rijn-Dekker 2020	0.652	0.122	14.1%	1.92 [1.51, 2.44]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.96 [1.71, 2.24]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.02; Chi ² = 22.38, df =	= 17 (P :	= 0.17); l ²	= 24%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 9.90 (P < 0.00001)				0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
(1-)				Henerd Datie	Harard Patia

(D)				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	I IV, Random, 95% CI
Ansari 2020	0.875	0.391	4.6%	2.40 [1.11, 5.16]	
Bril 2019	0.615	0.22	8.7%	1.85 [1.20, 2.85]	
Chargi 2019	0.307	0.53	3.0%	1.36 [0.48, 3.84]	
Cho 2018	0.182	0.269	7.3%	1.20 [0.71, 2.03]	
Choi 2020	0.742	0.548	2.8%	2.10 [0.72, 6.15]	
Fattouh 2018	1.082	0.371	5.0%	2.95 [1.43, 6.11]	
Findlay 2020	0.378	0.469	3.6%	1.46 [0.58, 3.66]	
Ganju 2019	0.604	0.27	7.2%	1.83 [1.08, 3.11]	
Grossberg 2016	0.637	0.258	7.6%	1.89 [1.14, 3.14]	
Hua 2020	1.034	0.251	7.8%	2.81 [1.72, 4.60]	
Huiskamp 2020	0.391	0.576	2.6%	1.48 [0.48, 4.57]	
Jung 2019	1.369	0.261	7.5%	3.93 [2.36, 6.56]	
Nishikawa 2018	1.253	0.541	2.9%	3.50 [1.21, 10.11]	
Pai 2018	0.217	0.118	12.2%	1.24 [0.99, 1.57]	
Tamaki 2019	0.664	0.339	5.6%	1.94 [1.00, 3.78]	
van Rijn-Dekker 2020	0.329	0.13	11.8%	1.39 [1.08, 1.79]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.87 [1.53, 2.29]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	.07; Chi² = 31.16, df =	= 15 (P =	= 0.008); l	² = 52%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.14 (P < 0.00001)	`	<i>,</i> ,,		0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10

Figure 6. Forest plots of reported HRs of sarcopenia with regard to OS in patients with HNSCC. (a) Unadjusted HRs. (b) Adjusted HRs.

CI, confidence interval; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; SE, standard error.

(a)				Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ra	atio		
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random,	95% CI		
Cho 2018	0.457	0.219	14.9%	1.58 [1.03, 2.43]			-		
Choi 2020	1.131	0.478	3.9%	3.10 [1.21, 7.91]		-			_
Hua 2020	1.12	0.248	12.3%	3.06 [1.89, 4.98]					
Huiskamp 2020	0.897	0.382	5.9%	2.45 [1.16, 5.18]		-			
Pai 2018	0.511	0.113	32.6%	1.67 [1.34, 2.08]		-	-		
van Rijn-Dekker 2020	0.652	0.122	30.4%	1.92 [1.51, 2.44]					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.95 [1.61, 2.36]			•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0	02; Chi² = 7.25, df = 5	5 (P = 0	.20); l² = 3	31%		0.5 1			10
Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.81 (P < 0.00001)				0.1 0.2	0.0 I	Z	3	10

(b)				Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Random, 95% C	I	
Cho 2018	0.182	0.269	14.8%	1.20 [0.71, 2.03]				
Choi 2020	0.742	0.548	4.9%	2.10 [0.72, 6.15]				
Hua 2020	1.034	0.251	16.2%	2.81 [1.72, 4.60]				
Huiskamp 2020	0.391	0.576	4.5%	1.48 [0.48, 4.57]				
Pai 2018	0.217	0.118	30.6%	1.24 [0.99, 1.57]		⊢∎ −		
van Rijn-Dekker 2020	0.329	0.13	29.0%	1.39 [1.08, 1.79]				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.51 [1.17, 1.94]		•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0	04; Chi² = 9.63, df =	5 (P = 0	.09); l ² = 4	48%				-+
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 3.16 (P = 0.002)				0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	Э	10

Figure 7. Forest plots of reported HRs of sarcopenia with regard to OS in patients with HNSCC treated by curative radio-chemotherapy. (a) Unadjusted HRs. (b) Adjusted HRs.

CI, confidence interval; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; SE, standard error.

was associated with lower OS (simple regression), HR 1.95, 95% CI (1.61–2.36), p < 0.00001(Figure 7a). Heterogeneity between the studies was 31%.

Adjusted sarcopenia (multiple regression) was also associated with lower OS, HR=1.51, 95% CI (1.17–1.94), p < 0.002) (Figure 7b). Heterogeneity among the studies was 48%.

In five studies (933 patients), primary surgical strategy with/or without adjuvant radiochemotherapy was performed. Sarcopenia was associated with lower OS (simple regression), HR 2.21, 95% CI (1.72–2.84), p < 0.00001 (Figure 8a). There was no heterogeneity between the studies ($I^2 = 0\%$). Adjusted sarcopenia (multiple regression) was also associated with lower OS, HR=2.05, CI95% (1.55–2.72), p < 0.00001), without heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$) among the studies (Figure 8b). In the other studies, different treatment strategies were performed. Therefore, no further subgroups in regard to treatment could be composed.

Discussion

Our data suggest that LSMM plays an important role in patients with HNSCC. Although numerous previous studies have investigated the role of sarcopenia in HNSCC, the data reported are inconsistent. In fact, the true prevalence of sarcopenia in HNSCC is unknown. As shown, prevalence ranges significantly among the reported studies. The present meta-analysis shows that it occurs in 42.0% of patients with HNSCC. This frequency is high and is caused by several factors. Firstly, HNSCC can mechanically impede the intake of nourishment. Secondly, HNSCC can also cause odynophagia and/or dysphagia. Thirdly, frequent alcohol and tobacco abuse in patients with HNSCC provokes malnutrition.

(d)				Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI		
Ansari 2020	0.788	0.359	12.8%	2.20 [1.09, 4.44]				
Bril 2019	0.796	0.177	52.6%	2.22 [1.57, 3.14]		│ -∎ -		
Ganju 2019	0.713	0.258	24.7%	2.04 [1.23, 3.38]				
Stone 2019	0.967	0.408	9.9%	2.63 [1.18, 5.85]				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	2.21 [1.72, 2.84]		•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi² = 0.28, df =	= 3 (P =	0.96); l ² =	0%			<u> </u>	-+
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 6.17 (P < 0.00001)			0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	5	10
(b)				Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio		
(b) Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI		Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl		
(b) Study or Subgroup Ansari 2020	log[Hazard Ratio] 0.875	SE 0.391	Weight 13.6%	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 2.40 [1.11, 5.16]		Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl		
(b) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Ansari 2020 Bril 2019	log[Hazard Ratio] 0.875 0.615	SE 0.391 0.22	Weight 13.6% 42.9%	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 2.40 [1.11, 5.16] 1.85 [1.20, 2.85]		Hazard Ratio		
(b) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Ansari 2020 Bril 2019 Fattouh 2018	log[Hazard Ratio] 0.875 0.615 1.082	SE 0.391 0.22 0.371	Weight 13.6% 42.9% 15.1%	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 2.40 [1.11, 5.16] 1.85 [1.20, 2.85] 2.95 [1.43, 6.11]		Hazard Ratio		
(b) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Ansari 2020 Bril 2019 Fattouh 2018 Ganju 2019	log[Hazard Ratio] 0.875 0.615 1.082 0.604	SE 0.391 0.22 0.371 0.27	Weight 13.6% 42.9% 15.1% 28.5%	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 2.40 [1.11, 5.16] 1.85 [1.20, 2.85] 2.95 [1.43, 6.11] 1.83 [1.08, 3.11]		Hazard Ratio		
(b) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Ansari 2020 Bril 2019 Fattouh 2018 Ganju 2019 Total (95% CI)	log[Hazard Ratio] 0.875 0.615 1.082 0.604	SE 0.391 0.22 0.371 0.27	Weight 13.6% 42.9% 15.1% 28.5% 100.0%	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 2.40 [1.11, 5.16] 1.85 [1.20, 2.85] 2.95 [1.43, 6.11] 1.83 [1.08, 3.11] 2.05 [1.55, 2.72]		Hazard Ratio		
(b) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Ansari 2020 Bril 2019 Fattouh 2018 Ganju 2019 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	log[Hazard Ratio] 0.875 0.615 1.082 0.604 0.00; Chi ² = 1.52, df =	SE 0.391 0.22 0.371 0.27 : 3 (P =	Weight 13.6% 42.9% 15.1% 28.5% 100.0% 0.68); l ² =	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 2.40 [1.11, 5.16] 1.85 [1.20, 2.85] 2.95 [1.43, 6.11] 1.83 [1.08, 3.11] 2.05 [1.55, 2.72] :0%	+ +	Hazard Ratio		

Figure 8. Forest plots of reported HRs of sarcopenia with regard to OS in patients with HNSCC treated by surgery with or without adjuvant radio-chemotherapy. (a) Unadjusted HRs. (b) Adjusted HRs. CI, confidence interval; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; SE, standard error.

We hypothesize that sarcopenia can also influence short-term postoperative complications in HNSCC. Our results confirm this assumption. As shown, sarcopenia is associated with occurrence of severe (three or more points according to the Clavien-Dindo classification) postoperative complications in patients with HNSCC. Previously, similar results were published for patients with other malignant tumor. So far, in gastric cancer, sarcopenia also predicts postoperative complications.45 Also in colorectal cancer, sarcopenia is associated with high risk of postoperative complications.46 As mentioned by Xue, sarcopenia might be a marker of a clinically distinct "frailty syndrome" characterized by declines in physiological reserves, which result in an inability to manage acute stressors.47

Importantly, our results show that sarcopenia can predict DFS in HNSCC. Interestingly, no heterogeneity among the studies involved was observed. These stable findings covering simple and multiple regression analyses suggest that sarcopenia really predicts DFS in HNSCC and that the calculated HRs are not influenced by study heterogeneity or other factors. The principle question is, however, whether sarcopenia can predict OS in HNSCC. If so, it can be used as a biomarker in this tumor entity. Some studies have indicated that low skeletal muscle mass also predicted OS in HNSCC.^{11,12} In agreement with these reports, the present meta-analysis based on a large cohort shows that sarcopenia was associated with lower OS. Remarkably, the calculated HRs among the studies do not differ largely. Moreover, also adjusted HRs of sarcopenia are well comparable with those of simple regression. Our data are in agreement with recently published smaller series.^{11,12} Importantly, sarcopenia can be used as a predictor for OS independent of treatment strategy. As shown, LSMM is associated with OS both in the subgroup treated with curative radio-chemotherapy and in the subgroup treated by surgery.

Overall, the present results have high clinical relevance because the fact that sarcopenia is potentially a modifiable factor, and because identification of sarcopenic patients may allow for early interventions to minimize treatment delays and improve outcomes. In fact, it has been shown that a preoperative exercise and nutritional support

program can reduce sarcopenia and improve postoperative outcomes in elderly sarcopenic patients with gastric cancer.⁴⁸ Also, in patients with HNSCC, additive nutrition programs can improve clinical outcomes.⁴⁹

Our analysis has some limitations. Firstly, it is based only on results in the English language. Secondly, there are some methodological problems in the included studies; most were retrospective. Some included studies also had high patient selection bias. Thirdly, different approaches were used among the studies to estimate sarcopenia. Most frequently, a measure at the level of L3 from CT images was performed. However, some authors performed a measure at the level of C3 from CT images. Furthermore, we included in the analysis only studies that estimated LSMM on CT. Recently, some reports indicated that ultrasound can also be used successfully to estimate skeletal muscle mass.50,51

Fourth, the adjustment variables in the multiple Cox regression models differed in the considered studies. Unfortunately, in all studies, tumors of different origins were pooled and, therefore, no sub-analyses in regard to tumor site and/or stage could be performed. Similarly, no analysis could be performed in regard to tumor grade. Clearly, further studies are needed to overcome the limitations mentioned.

In conclusion, in HNSCC, the cumulative prevalence of sarcopenia defined as LSMM is 42.0%. Sarcopenia is an independent risk factor of OS and DFS in patients with HNSCC who underwent curative therapy. Furthermore, sarcopenia is also associated with occurrence of postoperative complications in HNSCC.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Alexey Surov D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9273-3943

Andreas Wienke (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5871-2586

References

- 1. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, *et al.* Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing* 2019; 48: 16–31.
- 2. Kamarajah SK, Bundred J and Tan BHL. Body composition assessment and sarcopenia in patients with gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastric Cancer* 2019; 22: 10–22.
- Aleixo GFP, Williams GR, Nyrop KA, et al. Muscle composition and outcomes in patients with breast cancer: meta-analysis and systematic review. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2019; 177: 569–579.
- Deng HY, Hou L, Zha P, *et al.* Sarcopenia is an independent unfavorable prognostic factor of non-small cell lung cancer after surgical resection: a comprehensive systematic review and metaanalysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2019; 45: 728–735.
- Mintziras I, Miligkos M, Wächter S, et al. Sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity are significantly associated with poorer overall survival in patients with pancreatic cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2018; 59: 19–26.
- Chang KV, Chen JD, Wu WT, et al. Association between loss of skeletal muscle mass and mortality and tumor recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Liver Cancer* 2018; 7: 90–103.
- Hu X, Dou WC, Shao YX, *et al.* The prognostic value of sarcopenia in patients with surgically treated urothelial carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2019; 45: 747–754.
- Surov A and Wienke A. Sarcopenia predicts overall survival in patients with malignant hematological diseases: a meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr* 2021; 40: 1155–1160.
- Ubachs J, Ziemons J, Minis-Rutten IJG, et al. Sarcopenia and ovarian cancer survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cachexia* Sarcopenia Muscle 2019; 10: 1165–1174.
- Levolger S, van Vledder MG, Alberda WJ, et al. Muscle wasting and survival following preoperative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal carcinoma. *Clin Nutr* 2018; 37: 1728–1735.
- 11. Wong A, Zhu D, Kraus D, *et al.* Radiologically defined sarcopenia affects survival in head and neck cancer: a meta-analysis. *Laryngoscope* 2021; 131: 333–341.
- 12. Hua X, Liu S, Liao JF, *et al*. When the loss costs too much: a systematic review and meta-analysis

of sarcopenia in head and neck cancer. *Front* Oncol 2020; 9: 1561.

- Achim V, Bash J, Mowery A, et al. Prognostic indication of sarcopenia for wound complication after total laryngectomy. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2017; 143: 1159–1165.
- Alwani MM, Jones AJ, Novinger LJ, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on outcomes of autologous head and neck free tissue reconstruction. J Reconstr Microsurg 2020; 36: 369–378.
- Ansari E, Chargi N, van Gemert JTM, et al. Low skeletal muscle mass is a strong predictive factor for surgical complications and a prognostic factor in oral cancer patients undergoing mandibular reconstruction with a free fibula flap. Oral Oncol 2020; 101: 104530.
- Bril SI, Pezier TF, Tijink BM, et al. Preoperative low skeletal muscle mass as a risk factor for pharyngocutaneous fistula and decreased overall survival in patients undergoing total laryngectomy. *Head Neck* 2019; 41: 1745–1755.
- Caburet C, Farigon N, Mulliez A, et al. Impact of nutritional status at the outset of assessment on postoperative complications in head and neck cancer. Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis. Epub ahead of print 20 December 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.anorl.2019.12.005.
- Chargi N, Bril SI, Emmelot-Vonk MH, et al. Sarcopenia is a prognostic factor for overall survival in elderly patients with head-and-neck cancer. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2019; 276: 1475–1486.
- Cho Y, Kim JW, Keum KC, *et al.* Prognostic significance of sarcopenia with inflammation in patients with head and neck cancer who underwent definitive chemoradiotherapy. *Front Oncol* 2018; 8: 457.
- Choi Y, Ahn KJ, Jang J, *et al.* Prognostic value of computed tomography-based volumetric body composition analysis in patients with head and neck cancer: feasibility study. *Head Neck* 2020; 42: 2614–2625.
- Fattouh M, Chang GY, Ow TJ, et al. Association between pretreatment obesity, sarcopenia, and survival in patients with head and neck cancer. *Head Neck* 2019; 41: 707–714.
- 22. Findlay M, Brown C, De Abreu Lourenço R, et al. Sarcopenia and myosteatosis in patients undergoing curative radiotherapy for head and neck cancer: impact on survival, treatment completion, hospital admission and cost. J Hum Nutr Diet 2020; 33: 811–821.
- 23. Ganju RG, Morse R, Hoover A, *et al.* The impact of sarcopenia on tolerance of radiation and

outcome in patients with head and neck cancer receiving chemoradiation. *Radiother Oncol* 2019; 137: 117–124.

- 24. Grossberg AJ, Chamchod S, Fuller CD, *et al.* Association of body composition with survival and locoregional control of radiotherapy-treated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA Oncol* 2016; 2: 782–789.
- He WZ, Jiang C, Liu LL, *et al.* Association of body composition with survival and inflammatory responses in patients with non-metastatic nasopharyngeal cancer. *Oral Oncol* 2020; 108: 104771.
- Hua X, Liao JF, Huang X, et al. Sarcopenia is associated with higher toxicity and poor prognosis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Ther Adv Med* Oncol 2020; 12: 1758835920947612.
- 27. Huang X, Ma J, Li L, *et al.* Severe muscle loss during radical chemoradiotherapy for non-metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma predicts poor survival. *Cancer Med* 2019; 8: 6604–6613.
- Huiskamp LFJ, Chargi N, Devriese LA, et al. The predictive and prognostic value of low skeletal muscle mass for dose-limiting toxicity and survival in head and neck cancer patients receiving concomitant cetuximab and radiotherapy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2020; 277: 2847–2858.
- Jung AR, Roh JL, Kim JS, *et al.* Prognostic value of body composition on recurrence and survival of advanced-stage head and neck cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2019; 116: 98–106.
- Nakamura H, Makiguchi T, Yamaguchi T, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on postoperative surgical site infections in patients undergoing flap reconstruction for oral cancer. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020; 49: 576–581.
- Nishikawa D, Hanai N, Suzuki H, et al. The impact of skeletal muscle depletion on head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec 2018; 80: 1–9.
- 32. Olson B, Edwards J, Stone L, et al. Association of sarcopenia with oncologic outcomes of primary surgery or definitive radiotherapy among patients with localized oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2020; 146: e201154.
- 33. Pai PC, Chuang CC, Chuang WC, et al. Pretreatment subcutaneous adipose tissue predicts the outcomes of patients with head and neck cancer receiving definitive radiation and chemoradiation in Taiwan. *Cancer Med* 2018; 7: 1630–1641.

- 34. Shodo R, Yamazaki K, Ueki Y, et al. Sarcopenia predicts a poor treatment outcome in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma receiving concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. Epub ahead of print 8 August 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s00405-020-06273-4.
- Stone L, Olson B, Mowery A, et al. Association between sarcopenia and mortality in patients undergoing surgical excision of head and neck cancer. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2019; 145: 647–654.
- 36. Tamaki A, Manzoor NF, Babajanian E, et al. Clinical significance of sarcopenia among patients with advanced oropharyngeal cancer. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2019; 160: 480–487.
- van Rijn-Dekker MI, van den Bosch L, van den Hoek JGM, *et al.* Impact of sarcopenia on survival and late toxicity in head and neck cancer patients treated with radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2020; 147: 103–110.
- Wendrich AW, Swartz JE, Bril SI, et al. Low skeletal muscle mass is a predictive factor for chemotherapy dose-limiting toxicity in patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol 2017; 71: 26–33.
- Zwart AT, van der Hoorn A, van Ooijen PMA, et al. CT-measured skeletal muscle mass used to assess frailty in patients with head and neck cancer. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2019; 10: 1060–1069.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009; 6: e1000097.
- 41. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, *et al.* QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2011; 155: 529–536.
- 42. Leeflang MM, Deeks JJ, Gatsonis C, *et al.* Systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy. *Ann Intern Med* 2008; 149: 889–897.

- 43. Zamora J, Abraira V, Muriel A, *et al.* Meta-DiSc: a software for meta-analysis of test accuracy data. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2006; 6: 31.
- 44. DerSimonian R and Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1986; 7: 177–188.
- 45. Rinninella E, Cintoni M, Raoul P, *et al.* Muscle mass, assessed at diagnosis by L3-CT scan as a prognostic marker of clinical outcomes in patients with gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr* 2020; 39: 2045–2054.
- 46. van der Kroft G, Bours DMJL, Janssen-Heijnen DM, *et al.* Value of sarcopenia assessed by computed tomography for the prediction of postoperative morbidity following oncological colorectal resection: a comparison with the malnutrition screening tool. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2018; 24: 114–119.
- 47. Xue QL. The frailty syndrome: definition and natural history. *Clin Geriatr Med* 2011; 27: 1–15.
- Yamamoto K, Nagatsuma Y, Fukuda Y, et al. Effectiveness of a preoperative exercise and nutritional support program for elderly sarcopenic patients with gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2017; 20: 913–918.
- 49. Kabarriti R, Bontempo A, Romano M, *et al.* The impact of dietary regimen compliance on outcomes for HNSCC patients treated with radiation therapy. *Support Care Cancer* 2018; 26: 3307–3313.
- 50. Mueller N, Murthy S, Tainter CR, *et al.* Can sarcopenia quantified by ultrasound of the rectus femoris muscle predict adverse outcome of surgical intensive care unit patients as well as frailty? A prospective, observational cohort study. *Ann Surg* 2016; 264: 1116–1124.
- 51. Galli A, Colombo M, Carrara G, et al. Low skeletal muscle mass as predictor of postoperative complications and decreased overall survival in locally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: the role of ultrasound of rectus femoris muscle. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2020; 277: 3489–3502.

SAGE journals

Visit SAGE journals online

journals.sagepub.com/ home/tam