# INC AKAEPTIER AKAEPTIER SCHUERTER SCHUER

nicht behandelbar.<sup>1</sup> Noch gravierender ist, dass nahezu die Hälfte aller Betroffenen eine Behandlung überhaupt nicht mehr in Betracht zieht.<sup>1</sup> Wie Sie wissen, tritt Vitiligo meist im Teenageralter auf - und ohne zugelassene Therapie fühlen sich viele Betroffene in einem Zustand der Ungewissheit gefangen. Deshalb forschen wir an neuen wissenschaftlichen Ansätzen. Denn wenn wir uns alle mehr mit der Erkrankung Vitiligo befassen, haben Ihre Patientinnen und Patienten eines Tages vielleicht wieder eine Wahl.

entdeckevitiligo.de

Incyte Dermatology

## ENTDECKE VITILIGO 🔶

© 2022, Incyte Biosciences International Sart. All rights reserved. Date of preparation: May 2022 DE/OTHR/M/22/0002

 Bibeau K, et al. Diagnosis and Management of Vitiligo From the Perspectives of Patients and Healthcare Professionals: Findings From the Global VALIANT Study. Maui Derm for Dermatologists. Maui, HI. January 24th–28th 2022.

### 10 Commentaries

- 4 Manolio TA, Collins FS, Cox NJ et al. Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. Nature 2009; **461**:747–53.
- 5 Roda G, Chien Ng S, Kotze PG et al. Crohn's disease. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2020; 6:22.
- 6 Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Platzer M, Karagiannidis I et al. High copy numbers of  $\beta$ -defensin cluster on 8p23.1, confer genetic susceptibility, and modulate the physical course of hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa. J Invest Dermatol 2016; **136**:1592–8.
- 7 Hessam S, Gambichler T, Skrygan M et al. Reduced ten-eleven translocation and isocitrate dehydrogenase expression in inflammatory hidradenitis suppurativa lesions. Eur J Dermatol 2018; 28:449–56.
- 8 Sabat R, Jemec GBE, Matusiak Ł et al. Hidradenitis suppurativa. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2020; 6:18.
- 9 Kallberg H, Padyukov L, Plenge RM et al. Gene-gene and gene-environment interactions involving HLA-DRB1, PTPN22, and smoking in two subsets of rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Hum Genet 2007; 80:867–75.
- 10 Gotesman RD, Choi C, Alavi A. Hidradenitis suppurativa in East and Southeast Asian populations: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int J Dermatol 2021; 60:e433–9.
- 11 Jabbour AJ, van Straalen KR, Colvin A et al. Clinical translation of hidradenitis suppurativa genetic studies requires global collaboration. Br J Dermatol 2022; 186:183–5.

# The 'Chronic Itch Burden Scale': giving patients with chronic pruritus a voice

DOI: 10.1111/bjd.20714

### Linked Article: Theunis et al. Br J Dermatol 2022; 186:86–95.

More than a quarter of all people experience the burden of chronic pruritus (CP) during their lives.<sup>1</sup> Undoubtedly, CP negatively affects patients' health-related quality of life (HRQoL).<sup>2</sup> It may be perceived as disturbing during everyday activities, when sleeping and it may also affect how the patient is feeling. With various levels of severity, CP has more or less burdensome consequences for the patients and their functioning. For some individuals, scratching their skin is also embarrassing (itch–scratch cycle).

As CP and its impact on HRQoL are a subjective experience, only patients themselves can describe their symptoms and how they are affected. Therefore, patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) should be used in order to evaluate completely the severity of CP and its impact on HRQoL from the patient's perspective. Unfortunately, so far, there are few PROMs that assess the severity of CP and its impact on HRQoL.<sup>3–5</sup> Furthermore, these existing instruments were not necessarily developed following international guidelines.<sup>6</sup>

In this issue of the BJD, Theunis et al. present a newly developed instrument to fill this gap: the Chronic Itch Burden (CIB) scale.<sup>7</sup> Addressing the severity of CP together with its impact on HRQoL comprehensively, this approach and the resulting instrument is novel. According to international guidelines, the development of a PROM has to be patient oriented.<sup>8</sup> Theunis et al. have implemented this and involved many patients in the instrument's development. A conceptual framework was built. Domains and subdomains of CP severity and impact were identified. Cognitive debriefing interviews with affected patients were conducted to assess comprehensibility, comprehensiveness and relevance of the single items according to the COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments (COSMIN) criteria.<sup>9</sup> Modern psychometric analyses were performed to investigate measurement properties of the CIB scale.

The study was limited to a single pool of individuals from France. Despite this, a large sample size of 300 individuals was achieved. Further validations of this new instrument in other languages using large samples of patients with CP should be aimed for. Furthermore, future studies should consider the evaluation of further measurement properties of the CIB scale, such as test–retest reliability or the ability to detect change over time. Nevertheless, this comprehensive measure performed well in its initial validation and can therefore be used in clinical research to measure the severity and impact on HRQoL in patients with CP.

Acknowledgment: I am grateful to Professor Christian Apfelbacher for providing critical remarks on this commentary. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

### M. Gabes 🔟

Institute of Social Medicine and Health Economics, Otto-von-Guericke-University, Magdeburg, Germany Email: michaela.gabes@med.ovgu.de

Conflicts of interest: the author declares no conflicts of interest.

### References

- Matterne U, Apfelbacher CJ, Vogelgsang L et al. Incidence and determinants of chronic pruritus: a population-based cohort study. Acta Derm Venereol 2013; 93:532–7.
- 2 Marron SE, Tomas-Aragones L, Boira S, Campos-Rodenas R. Quality of life, emotional wellbeing and family repercussions in dermatological patients experiencing chronic itching: a pilot study. Acta Derm Venereol 2016; 96:331–5.
- 3 Darsow U, Scharein E, Simon D et al. New aspects of itch pathophysiology: component analysis of atopic itch using the 'Eppendorf Itch Questionnaire'. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2001; **124**:326–31.
- 4 Elman S, Hynan LS, Gabriel V, Mayo MJ. The 5-D itch scale: a new measure of pruritus. Br J Dermatol 2010; **162**:587–93.
- 5 Reich A, Bożek A, Janiszewska K, Szepietowski JC. 12-Item Pruritus Severity Scale: development and validation of new itch severity questionnaire. Biomed Res Int 2017; 2017:3896423.
- 6 Dominick F, van Laarhoven AIM, Evers AWM, Weisshaar E. A systematic review of questionnaires on itch by the Special Interest Group "Questionnaires" of the International Forum for the Study of Itch (IFSI). Itch 2019; **4**:e26.
- 7 Theunis J, Nordon C, Falissard B et al. Development and preliminary validation of the patient-reported Chronic Itch Burden Scale,

British Journal of Dermatology (2022) 186, pp3-17

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2021 The Authors. British Journal of Dermatology

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Association of Dermatologists. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. assessing health-related quality of life in chronic pruritus. Br J Dermatol 2022; 186:86–95.

- 8 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/patient-reported-outcome-measures-use-medical-product-development-support-labeling-claims (last accessed 24 August 2021).
- 9 Terwee CB, Prinsen CA, Chiarotto A et al. COSMIN methodology for assessing the content validity of Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs). User manual. Available at: https://www.cosmin. nl/tools/guideline-conducting-systematic-review-outcome-measures (last accessed 24 August 2021).

### The critical need for patient-reported outcome measures to assess the severity and impact of systemic sclerosis

DOI: 10.1111/bjd.20777

### Linked Article: Sibeoni et al. Br J Dermatol 2022; 186:96-105.

Hand involvement is almost universal in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) and a major cause of pain and disability. The aetiology of hand involvement in SSc reflects the complexity of the disease and is often multifactorial. This includes progressive skin fibrosis, joint contractures, musculoskeletal disease (e.g. inflammatory arthritis and myositis), vasculopathy (e.g. Raynaud phenomenon and digital ulcers) and subcutaneous calcinosis.<sup>1–3</sup> There is also broad-ranging emotional impact, including patients' concerns about the physical appearance of their hands.

Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) provide valuable insights into the patients' perspectives of their disease. In SSc, PROMs are widely used in clinical practice and trials, including a number of SSc-specific instruments. However, a key issue for discernibility is that patients with SSc were largely not involved in the development of the majority of these instruments.<sup>4</sup> This is of key importance because PROMs should capture the multifaceted impact and severity of disease, and regulators require evidence of this to support drug labelling claims.<sup>5</sup> For example, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration requires a demonstration of clinical benefit (e.g. by feel, function and survival endpoints) for product approval.

In this issue of the BJD, Sibeoni et al. report the development of an SSc PROM: the Hand scleroderma lived Experience (HAnDE) scale.<sup>6</sup> The authors used a sequential mixedmethods approach. The first phase was an inductive process to understand the lived experience of patients, in order to generate a provisional 18-item scale. The second phase assessed the psychometric properties of the scale to validate the PROM, including reducing it to 16 items. The internal consistency of the scale was excellent. Construct validity was very good, including concurrent validity, which showed significant correlations with a number of widely used PROMs in SSc.

The HAnDE PROM was developed through a comprehensive approach, including understanding the lived patient experience. However, there are a number of aspects to consider. The study was conducted in a single country (France) and patients were recruited from specialist centres, which could limit the generalizability of the PROM for patients with milder hand involvement not requiring speciality services. The authors highlight that although the number of patients in phase two could appear small (n = 105), no consensus exists on the minimum number required for principle component analysis.<sup>6</sup> There were also differences in patient characteristics between the two phases, which could be important (e.g. the prevalence of active digital ulcers and calcinosis).

The HAnDE is a welcomed PROM to assess the overall impact of hand involvement in SSc. The PROM captures the broad-ranging impact of SSc and mirrors recent qualitative work undertaken to understand the patient experience of SSc digital vasculopathy.<sup>7–9</sup> This includes physical symptoms; impairment of physical and social activity; emotional impact, including personal relationships; and the impact of treatment. Of note, hand involvement has been reported to be a limitation to completion of PROMs in SSc.<sup>10</sup> Future research is warranted to further develop this comprehensive PROM for use in clinical practice and trials, including sensitivity to change, to assess the impact of treatment interventions prescribed by rheumatologists and occupational therapists, and adaption for other languages.

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Dr. Tracy Frech for review of the manuscript.

### M. Hughes $\bigcirc^{1}$ and C.P. Denton<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rheumatology, Tameside and Glossop Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust, Ashton-under-Lyne, UK<sup>2</sup>Division of Musculoskeletal and Dermatological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester and Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK<sup>3</sup>Centre of Rheumatology, Royal Free Hospital, University College London, London, UK Email: michael.hughes-6@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

Conflicts of interest: M.H. has received speaking fees from Actelion pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly and Pfizer, outside of the submitted work. C.D. has received personal consultancy fees from Roche, GSK, CSL Behring and Boehringer Ingelheim, and research grants to his institution from GSK, ARXX Therapeutics, CSL Behring and Servier, outside of the submitted work.